DRhoGEF2* encodes a member of the Dbl family of oncogenes and controls cell shape changes during gastrulation in *Drosophila

Udo Häcker and Norbert Perrimon^{1,2}

Department of Genetics, ¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115 USA

We have identified a gene, *DRhoGEF2*, which encodes a putative guanine nucleotide exchange factor belonging to the Dbl family of oncogenes. *DRhoGEF2* function is essential for the coordination of cell shape changes during gastrulation. In the absence of maternal *DRhoGEF2* gene activity, mesodermal and endodermal primordia fail to invaginate. The phenotype seen in *DRhoGEF2* mutants is more severe than the defects associated with mutations in two previously identified gastrulation genes, *folded gastrulation* and *concertina*, suggesting that *DRhoGEF2* acts in a signaling pathway independent of these genes. Expression of dominant-negative DRhoA during gastrulation results in phenocopies of the *DRhoGEF2* mutant, suggesting that a signaling cascade involving *DRhoGEF2* and the small GTPase DRhoA is responsible for the regulation of cell shape changes during early *Drosophila* morphogenesis.

[Key Words: *Drosophila*; RhoGEF; GTPase; Rho; gastrulation]

Received October 21, 1997; revised version accepted November 26, 1997.

The first outwardly visible sign of differentiation in all animals is the formation of the germ layers during gastrulation. In *Drosophila*, gastrulation is associated with a major morphological rearrangement of the embryo and begins with the invagination of the mesodermal primordium on the ventral side. Immediately after cellularization, the previously rounded apical surfaces of cells in an ~20-cell-wide stripe flatten and cells move into close contact with each other. Slightly later, a shallow groove, the ventral furrow, forms along the ventral midline. The furrow deepens as more lateral cells become incorporated in it and eventually closes to complete invagination of the mesoderm. A few minutes after the onset of ventral furrow formation, cells of the posterior midgut primordium start to invaginate. The mechanism underlying these invaginations is based on a series of coordinated cell shape changes. By constricting apically, and displacing cell nuclei from the apical to the basal end, cells change their shapes from columnar to trapezoidal, thus forcing the cell sheet to bend inward. Subsequently, this process is enhanced by a shortening of the cells along their apical-basal axes resulting in complete internalization of the epithelium. The force driving these cell shape changes is thought to be generated by the actin/myosin network underlying the cell surface, and myosin has been shown to accumulate specifically in the apices

of cells undergoing constriction (for review, see Leptin 1995; Sweeton et al. 1991).

Genetic analyses have identified a number of genes that are required for gastrulation to proceed normally. Formation of the ventral furrow has been shown to be under the control of the dorsal-ventral maternal system and its zygotic target genes *twist* (*twi*) and *snail* (*sna*) (Leptin and Grunewald 1990). In *twi* and *sna* mutant embryos, specific but distinct aspects of the cell shape changes observed in wild-type embryos do not occur. Consequently, both mutants form only a temporary ventral furrow and the mesoderm is not internalized. In *twi*, *sna* double mutant embryos almost no cell shape changes can be observed, and for this reason both genes have been suggested to control ventral furrow formation in a combinatorial fashion. Invagination of the posterior midgut (PMG) is controlled in a similar manner by the zygotic target genes of the terminal maternal system *huckebein* (*hkb*) and *tailless* (*tll*). In *hkb,tll* double mutant embryos, no posterior midgut invagination is formed.

All of the genes mentioned above do not only affect cell shape changes in invaginating tissues but are essential for the determination of mesodermal and endodermal cell fates, respectively (Leptin 1995). Two genes, *folded gastrulation* (*fog*) and *concertina* (*cta*), have been identified that are specifically involved in gastrulation. *fog* is a transcriptional target of *twi*, *sna*, *hkb*, and *tll* in the respective tissues and encodes a zygotically acting

²Corresponding author.

E-MAIL perrimon@rascal.med.harvard.edu; FAX (617) 432-7688.

putative secreted protein that is expressed locally in the presumptive invaginating tissues (Costa et al. 1994). In contrast to *fog*, *cta*, which encodes a putative G-protein α -subunit, acts strictly maternally and *cta* mRNAs are distributed ubiquitously throughout the embryo (Parks and Wieschaus 1991). Mutations in both genes result in virtually identical phenotypes, which are most severe in the endoderm where invagination of the posterior midgut is blocked. In the mesoderm, the phenotype of *fog* and *cta* mutants is significantly less severe compared to *twi* or *sna* mutants. Although the induction of cell shape changes is delayed and coordination of the invagination process is disrupted, the mesoderm is eventually internalized.

The close resemblance of the phenotypes caused by *fog* and *cta* mutations, and the fact that both genes encode putative signaling molecules, has led to a model tentatively placing both genes in a common signal transduction pathway. Because of the nonessential function of *fog* and *cta* in the mesoderm, however, this pathway has been implicated in the coordination, rather than the control of cell shape changes during gastrulation (Costa et al. 1994).

We have identified a gene, named *DRhoGEF2*, which is essential for the invagination of mesodermal and endodermal primordia during gastrulation. Mutations in *DRhoGEF2* disrupt the coordinated induction of cell shape changes. *DRhoGEF2* encodes a putative guanine nucleotide exchange factor belonging to the Dbl family of oncoproteins, suggesting that a signaling cascade involving Rho family GTPases regulates cell shape changes during early *Drosophila* morphogenesis.

Results

Identification of *DRhoGEF2* mutations

DRhoGEF2 was isolated from a large screen designed to characterize the maternal effects of zygotic lethal mutations (Perrimon et al. 1996). Examination of cuticle phenotypes of mutant lines and stainings of embryos derived from germ-line clones using tissue-specific markers led to the identification of a P-element insertion, *l(2)04291* (Spradling et al. 1995) (referred to as *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}*), and three chemically induced alleles (see Materials and Methods) falling into the same complementation group. Embryos homozygous for *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* die during late embryogenesis or early larval stages with no obvious phenotypes. However, embryos derived from mothers homozygous mutant for *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* in the germ line (henceforth referred to as *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos) develop with ventral open cuticles. Examination of these embryos revealed deep transverse folds forming during germ-band elongation (see Fig. 1L). This phenotype is reminiscent of mutants that fail to invaginate the endodermal germ layer and suggests that *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos develop defects during gastrulation. Because all embryos show identical defects the observed phenotype depends solely on maternal contribution and cannot be rescued

Figure 1. Invagination of mesodermal and endodermal primordia in wild-type and *DRhoGEF2* mutant embryos. All embryos are stained immunohistochemically for the transcription factors Twi (A–F) or Fkh (G–L). Expression of Twi is initiated in the wild-type (A) and *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* (B) embryos during syncytial blastoderm. At stage 6 the ventral furrow has invaginated in the wild type. The PMG primordium has flattened and moved to a dorsally shifted position (C). The pole cells are attached to the PMG primordium (arrow in C). In *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos no ventral furrow is formed (embryo shown is at a slightly later stage than in C). The mesoderm is not extending to the posterior pole as in the wild type. The PMG primordium is not moving dorsally and the pole cells are deeply embedded into the epithelium (arrow in D). At stage 9 the mesoderm, with the exception of the most lateral cells of the primordium, has completely invaginated in the wild type. The germ band is extending around the posterior pole to the dorsal side of the embryo (E). In *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos at the same stage the mesoderm remains on the surface of the embryo. The germ band is not moving around the posterior pole (F). Expression of Fkh is established in the PMG primordium at the late syncytial blastoderm stage in wild type (G) and *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos (H). At stage seven the posterior midgut has started to invaginate in the wild type (I). In *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos no invagination is formed at this stage. Note the folds forming in the dorsal epithelium indicating that the germ band has started to elongate (J). At stage 10 the PMG and the AMG (arrowhead) are fully internalized and have started to elongate in the wild type (K). In *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos PMG and AMG remain uninvaginated (arrowhead). The germ band forms deep transverse folds (L).

zygotically by a paternal wild-type chromosome. The zygotic lethality of the *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* mutation is

most likely caused by reutilization of the corresponding gene during late embryonic and early larval development. The lethality and the maternal effect phenotype can be reverted by excision of the P element, suggesting that no other zygotic lethal mutations reside on the chromosome and the P-element insertion is responsible for the observed phenotype.

DRhoGEF2 is essential for the invagination of mesodermal and endodermal primordia

To characterize the effect of the *DRhoGEF2* mutation during gastrulation we stained *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos using antibodies against the transcription factors *twi* (Thisse et al. 1988) and *forkhead* (*fkh*) (Weigel et al. 1989). *twi* determines mesodermal cell fates and is expressed in all cells undergoing ventral furrow invagination throughout extension of the germ band (Leptin and Grunewald 1990). *fkh* expression is initiated by *hkb* (Brönner et al. 1994) and *tlf* (Pignoni et al. 1990) at the late syncytial blastoderm in the gut primordia and is maintained in these tissues throughout embryonic development. Expression of *twi* and *fkh* is initiated normally in *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos (Fig. 1, cf. A with B and G with H), suggesting that mesodermal and endodermal cell fates are established correctly in the absence of *DRhoGEF2* gene activity.

DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291} embryos develop defects during gastrulation. On the ventral side of the embryo no ventral furrow is formed (Fig. 1D). As a consequence mesodermal cells remain on the surface of the embryo even after germ-band extension is completed (Fig. 1F). At the posterior pole, *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos do not form the amnioproctodeal invagination. Although the PMG primordium is initially pushed into a slightly dorsally shifted position (Fig. 1J), probably by the force of the extending germ band, it never moves around the posterior end onto the dorsal side (Fig. 1L). At the anterior end, *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos fail to invaginate the anterior midgut (AMG) (Fig. 1L, arrowhead). These observations suggest that cell shape changes necessary to internalize endodermal and mesodermal germ layers are not initiated in *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos.

DRhoGEF2 regulates cell shape changes during gastrulation

Defects in the ability of *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos to induce cell shape changes during gastrulation were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). In wild-type embryos the first sign that gastrulation has begun is a flattening of cell surfaces in an ~20-cell-wide stripe along the ventral midline (Fig. 2A) (Sweeton et al. 1991). This step is thought to be independent of the actin cytoskeleton and appears to occur in *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos (Fig. 2E). Subsequently apical constriction of cells is induced in the wild type at the ventral midline resulting in formation of a shallow groove (Fig. 2A). This process is severely disrupted in the *DRhoGEF2* mutant. Al-

Figure 2. Cell shape changes in wild type (A–D) and *DRhoGEF2* mutants (E–H) analyzed by SEM. Following cellularization, cells in an ~20-cell-wide stripe on the ventral surface of the embryo (indicated by brackets in A and E) flatten their surfaces and move into close contact with each other. Subsequently apical constriction of cells near the midline (notice the membrane blebs indicative of this process) forces the epithelium to bend inward and form a shallow groove (A). As more lateral cells constrict, the furrow deepens and closes over to invaginate the mesoderm (B). In *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos the initial flattening of the cell surfaces appears to occur. However, only very few cells undergo apical constriction in a spatially disorganized fashion (E). As the germ band starts to elongate (indicated by the formation of transverse folds laterally) temporary clefts form at random in the ventral mesoderm (F). No ventral furrow is formed. The PMG primordium is invaginated by a very similar mechanism as the mesoderm. After the initial flattening, cells located dorsal to the pole cells constrict first, as seen by the occurrence of membrane blebs in this region (C). Subsequently a cup shaped invagination is formed harboring the pole cells (D). In *DRhoGEF2*^{l(2)04291} embryos, cells in the dorsal polar region do not undergo any specific shape changes and no invagination is formed. The pole cells are deeply embedded into the epithelium. On the dorsal surface of the embryo, groups of cells undergo apical flattening or apical constriction in random regional patterns (G). As the germ band starts to elongate some dorsal cells form cytoplasmic protrusions (arrowhead in H). Altogether cell shape changes appear randomized (H). A, B, E, and F are ventral views; C, D, G, and H are dorsal views. All embryos are oriented with anterior to the left.

though some cells undergo apical constriction in a spatially disorganized fashion, others expand their apices and remain at the surface (Fig. 2E). As the germ band

starts to elongate and the ventral furrow has closed in the wild type (Figure 2B), temporary clefts induced by randomly constricting cells form across the mesoderm in *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos, and no ventral furrow is formed (Fig. 2F).

In the wild-type PMG primordium, cell shape changes are first induced dorsally to the pole cells (Fig. 2C). In *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos no coordinated changes in cell shape are seen in this region. Most cells remain unconstricted with rounded surfaces (Fig. 2G). Shortly after the onset of germ-band elongation a deep invagination harboring the pole cells has formed in the wild type (Fig. 2D). In *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos cell shape changes appear to occur at random and no invagination is formed (Fig. 2H). Interestingly, not only cells in invaginating tissues but those throughout the embryo are affected. On the dorsal side, groups of cells with flattened surfaces can frequently be observed to form around constricted cells embedded in temporary clefts. The pole cells are deeply embedded into the epithelium and indistinguishable from neighboring cells (Fig. 2G; cf. Figure 1D, arrow). Later, some cells form cytoplasmic protrusions (Fig. 2H, arrow). These observations suggest that cells do not lose the ability to change shape in the *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* mutant but, rather, undergo random shape changes because of loss of an instructive signal.

The behavior of cells in the ventral mesoderm at the onset of gastrulation was examined in further detail in cross sections of embryos stained with anti-Twi antibodies. Ventral furrow formation begins in the wild type immediately following cellularization (Fig. 3A) when cell nuclei start to be displaced from the apical to the basal end in cells at the ventral midline (Fig. 3B). By constricting apically, cells change from a columnar to a trapezoidal shape forcing the epithelium to bend inward (Fig. 3C). This process is subsequently supported by a shortening of the cells along their apical-basal axis (Fig. 3D). Although cellularization appears to be unaffected in *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos (Fig. 3E), the basally directed movement of cell nuclei occurs at random positions across the mesoderm (Fig. 3F). Only very few cells constrict their apices in a spatially uncoordinated fashion (Fig. 3G). The shortening of cells along the apical-basal axis is not observed in *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos. Instead, cells lose their epithelial character and pile up in multiple layers failing to form a ventral furrow (Fig. 3H).

DRhoGEF2 encodes a putative guanine nucleotide exchange factor of the *Dbl* family

To molecularly characterize the gene affected by the *DRhoGEF2* mutation, we isolated genomic DNA adjacent to the insertion site of P-element *l(2)04291* by plasmid rescue (Cooley et al. 1988). The plasmid rescue DNA fragment was used to screen a genomic DNA library, and 24 kb of DNA encompassing the genomic region flanking the P element was obtained. A screen for cDNAs located in this region led to the identification of two transcription units, T1 and T2. T1 codes for a 5-kb transcript terminating 1.9 kb short of the P-element in-

Figure 3. Analysis of cell shape changes during ventral furrow formation in wild-type (A–D) and in *DRhoGEF2* (E–H) mutants in cross sections immunohistochemically stained for Twi. Shortly after cellularization is completed on the ventral side of the embryo (A), nuclei of cells at the ventral midline start to move basally (B). Apical constriction of midventral cells forces the epithelial sheet to bend inward (B,C). Shortening of cells along their apical-basal axis supports this process and results in internalization of the mesoderm (D). *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos appear normal during cellularization. Cell nuclei are lined up along the apical end of cells (E). At the onset of gastrulation, nuclei are seen to move basally in a random manner (F). Very few cells undergo apical constriction (G). Cells do not shorten along their apical basal axes; they lose their epithelial character and pile up in several layers. No ventral furrow is formed (H).

sertion point (Fig. 4A). Expression of this transcript was found to be unaltered in *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos, suggesting that T1 is unaffected by the insertion (Fig. 4B). Transcript T2 is located downstream of T1 and oriented in tandem. The 5' end of T2 was mapped 1.1 kb upstream of the P element, leaving a short intergenic region of 0.8 kb between T1 and T2 and placing the P element inside the T2 transcript. Northern blot analyses showed that two mRNAs, 8.5 and 10.5 kb in length, are

Figure 4. Molecular cloning, Northern analysis, and expression of the *DRhoGEF2* gene. (A) Genomic map of the region surrounding the P-element insertion *l(2)04291*. Two transcription units, T1 and T2, were identified near the P-element insertion point. The P element was found to be inserted into an intron in the untranslated leader region of the T2 transcript. The 3' end of T2 was mapped ~20 kb from the P-element insertion. Solid boxes indicate exons; arrows denote transcribed regions. (B) Northern blot analysis of T1 and T2 on poly(A)⁺ RNA derived from 0- to 12-hr wild type (left lane) and *DRhoGEF2*^{*l(2)04291*} (right lane) embryos. A single T1 transcript, 5.0 kb in length, is detected by the T1 cDNA in RNA prepared from wild-type and *DRhoGEF2*^{*l(2)04291*} embryos, suggesting that the P insertion is not interfering with the expression of this gene. In wild-type RNA cDNAs of T2 detect two transcripts, 8.5 and 10.5 kb in length. Both transcripts are absent in RNA derived from *DRhoGEF2*^{*l(2)04291*} embryos indicating that expression of T2 is abolished by the P element insertion. (C) In situ hybridization of a genomic DNA fragment, encompassing part of the T2 transcription unit, to wild-type embryos. *DRhoGEF2* transcripts are abundant at the syncytial blastoderm stage (top left). *DRhoGEF2* mRNAs are distributed evenly throughout the embryo during gastrulation (top right and bottom left). *DRhoGEF2* transcripts are no longer detectable after extension of the germ band (bottom right). (D) Schematic representation of the *DRhoGEF2* protein. The *DRhoGEF2* cDNA contains an ORF for a protein of 2559 amino acids with several regions of homology to sequences present in the databases. A PDZ domain is located near the amino terminus (PDZ). In the central region *DRhoGEF2* contains a cysteine-rich diacylglycerol-binding motif (DAG). A DH and a PH domain are located in tandem in the carboxy-terminal half of the protein and identify *DRhoGEF2* as a putative GEF for small GTPases of the Rho family. The hatched box in the amino-terminal third of the protein represents the region not encoded in the shorter version of the cDNA (see Materials and Methods). Numbers represent amino acid positions.

derived from the T2 locus. Both of these transcripts are absent from *DRhoGEF2*^{*l(2)04291*} embryos (Fig. 4B). This observation, together with the insertion of the P element inside the T2 transcript, provides compelling evidence that T2 identifies the *DRhoGEF2* gene. Furthermore the absence of T2 transcripts, from the 0- to 12-hr embryonic poly(A)⁺ RNA preparation used in the Northern blot analysis indicates that there is no significant zygotic contribution to the expression of *DRhoGEF2* during the first 12 hr of embryogenesis. This is consistent with the lack of paternal rescue in the *DRhoGEF2* mutant and with the ubiquitous early expression of *DRhoGEF2* observed by in situ hybridization to whole mount embryos (Fig. 4C).

Sequencing of a *DRhoGEF2* cDNA revealed an ORF of 7677 bp coding for a putative hydrophilic protein of 281 kD, which contains several regions with similarity to sequences present in the databases (Fig. 4D). A region of ~80 amino acids near the amino terminus of *DRhoGEF2* encodes a PDZ domain (for review, see Fanning and Anderson 1996), which shows the highest homology to a motif found in Rhophilin (Watanabe et al. 1996), a mouse protein implicated in G-protein-coupled signaling events (Figs. 4D and 5A). Interestingly the GLGF motif, which

is thought to comprise the ligand binding pocket of PDZ domains, is changed to GYGM in *DRhoGEF2*. In the central region of *DRhoGEF2* a cysteine-rich zinc butterfly motif is found, which is also present in isoforms of protein kinase C (PKC) and the mouse Dbl family oncoprotein Lfc (Figs. 4D and 5B) (Kazanietz et al. 1994; Whitehead et al. 1995). The carboxy-terminal region of *DRhoGEF2* contains an extensive region of homology to two separate protein motifs characteristic of the Dbl family of oncoproteins (Fig. 4D and 5C,D) (Cerione and Zheng 1996). The first motif, termed Dbl homology domain (DH domain), was found to promote the exchange of guanine nucleotides on Rho family GTPases (Hart et al. 1994). The second domain, located carboxy-terminally juxtaposed to the DH domain, is a Pleckstrin homology domain (PH domain) (Haslam et al. 1993; Mayer et al. 1993). Both domains are invariantly present in all members of the Dbl family and identify *DRhoGEF2* as a putative guanine nucleotide exchange factor (RhoGEF) for Rho family GTPases.

DRhoA is involved in early morphogenesis

The identification of *DRhoGEF2* as a RhoGEF suggests a

dermal cells during gastrulation in a manner distinct from DRac and DCdc42.

To examine an earlier role of DRhoA during gastrulation, we expressed *DRhoA^{N19}* using a maternal GAL4 driver. When *DRhoA^{N19}* was expressed using *matα4-GAL-VP16* (a gift from Daniel St Johnston, Wellcome/Cancer Research Campaign Institute, Cambridge, UK), ventral furrow formation as well as PMG invagination were blocked resulting in a phenocopy of *DRhoGEF2⁽²⁾⁰⁴²⁹¹* embryos (Fig. 6D,E). Examination of *matα4-GAL-VP16, UASDRhoA^{N19}* embryos in cross sections revealed that cell shape changes are disrupted in a manner very similar to *DRhoGEF2⁽²⁾⁰⁴²⁹¹* embryos (Fig. 6, cf. F and G to H). Our observations suggest that DRhoA is required for invagination of mesodermal and endodermal primordia during gastrulation and its activity is likely to be regulated by DRhoGEF2.

We have identified a gene, *DRhoGEF2*, which encodes a putative guanine nucleotide exchange factor belonging to the Dbl family of oncogenes. Mutations in *DRhoGEF2* have a maternal effect phenotype disrupting the coordination of cell shape changes during gastrulation. Unlike previously identified gastrulation genes, *DRhoGEF2* is

Figure 6. Expression of dominant-negative forms of Rho family GTPases during gastrulation. Embryos are stained immunohistochemically for either Twi (A,B,D,F-H) or Fkh (C,E). In wild-type embryos all mesodermal cells have been internalized at stage 10 (A). In *twiGAL4/UASDRhoA^{N19}* embryos at the same stage patches of mesodermal cells along the germ band have failed to invaginate (B). Invagination of the AMG is blocked (arrowhead in C, cf. Fig. 1L). (D-G) *matα4-GAL-VP16/UASDRhoA^{N19}* embryos: The phenotype caused by the maternal expression of dominant-negative DRhoA strongly resembles that seen in *DRhoGEF2⁽²⁾⁰⁴²⁹¹* embryos. No ventral furrow is formed (D; cf. Fig. 1D) and no PMG invagination is seen in the posterior dorsal region (E, cf. Fig. 1J). Cells fail to undergo shape changes during ventral furrow formation (F,G) in a way very similar to *DRhoGEF2⁽²⁾⁰⁴²⁹¹* embryos (H).

essential for the invagination of mesodermal and endodermal primordia. In addition, we have obtained evidence that the small GTPase DRhoA plays a role during gastrulation very similar to DRhoGEF2, suggesting that a signal transduction pathway involving DRhoGEF2 and DRhoA regulates cell shape changes during early *Drosophila* morphogenesis.

DRhoGEF2 regulates the induction of cell shape changes

Embryos mutant for *DRhoGEF2* appear normal during cellularization. The first developmental defects coincide with the onset of gastrulation suggesting that DRhoGEF2 is specifically required during this process. The failure of cell shape changes, necessary to invaginate mesodermal and endodermal primordia to occur in *DRhoGEF2* mutants, is reminiscent to the phenotype associated with the gastrulation mutants *fog* and *cta*. Both genes encode putative signaling molecules and have been tentatively placed in a common signal transduction pathway. It has been proposed that a localized signal generated by Fog is received by an unknown receptor and transduced via the putative G protein Cta to the cytoskeleton. The fact that two transcriptional activators of *fog*, *twi*, and *fkh* are expressed normally in *DRhoGEF2* mutants and the identification of DRhoGEF2 as a putative RhoGef suggest that DRhoGEF2 may be required to transduce the signal elicited by Fog to the cytoskeleton. However, there is a significant difference between the mutant phenotypes of *fog* and *cta* on one hand and

DRhoGEF2 on the other: In contrast to *DRhoGEF2*, *fog* and *cta* are not essential for ventral furrow formation. For this reason DRhoGEF2 must be activated in a Fog/Cta-independent way (Fig. 7). In fact, because of the non-essential function of *fog* and *cta* in the mesoderm, a second pathway instructing cells to undergo shape changes has been postulated (Costa et al. 1994). We propose that DRhoGEF2 identifies this pathway as a G-protein-coupled signaling cascade involving the GTPase DRhoA. Whether this pathway is also required to transduce the Fog signal is presently unclear and this question will require further attention in the future.

The phenotype of *DRhoGEF2* mutants and the broad expression of *DRhoGEF2* transcripts show that DRhoGEF2 is not only required for the generation of cell shape changes in invaginating tissues but that it plays an important role in the control of cell shape throughout the early embryo (Fig. 2). This raises the question: How are cells in different regions of the embryo induced to respond differently to DRhoGEF2 activity during gastrulation? One can envision several mechanisms to regulate cell shape in a localized fashion. Specificity could be generated through differential subcellular activation of DRhoGEF2 to different levels in response to localized, tissue-specific upstream factors. Fog could be one of these factors contributing to a synergistic activation of

Figure 7. Model of the signaling events regulating cell shape changes during gastrulation. Boxed components have been identified in *Drosophila*. Boxes with rounded corners represent postulated components. (see text for details).

DRhoGEF2 in invaginating tissues; however, as ventral furrow formation does still occur in *fog* mutants, at least one additional activator must exist. Alternatively, *fog* could be involved in a different aspect of cytoskeletal regulation and cell shape changes in the mesoderm could depend solely on the localized activity of an unidentified "zygotic initiator."

In an alternative model DRhoGEF2 could be constitutively active in all cells to maintain cell shape throughout the epithelium. Mesodermal cells could be induced to change their shape by the spatially and temporally regulated expression of specific cytoskeleton-associated proteins linking the signaling pathway to the actin cytoskeleton in a Rho-dependent manner. Although the isolation of factors conferring specificity during gastrulation has proven to be difficult in the past, we believe that the identification of DRhoGEF2 will open the path for a new approach to this problem.

The Dbl family of oncogenes

The Dbl family includes a growing number of oncoproteins that are characterized by the presence of two closely juxtaposed regions of homology (Cerione and Zheng 1996). The first motif, the DH domain, spans ~220 amino acids and has been demonstrated to promote the exchange of guanine nucleotides on small GTPases of the Rho family resulting in the activation of these molecules (Hart et al. 1994). Deletions within this domain result in a loss of the transforming activity in the prototype gene of the family, the oncogene Dbl (Ron et al. 1991). The second region of homology is a widespread protein motif that was first described in the cytoskeleton-associated protein Pleckstrin (Tyers et al. 1988). Although poorly conserved at the sequence level this motif seems to adopt a common three-dimensional structure as determined by nuclear magnetic resonance and x-ray crystallography. The PH domain is most likely involved in signal-dependent membrane localization (for review, see Shaw 1996) and may direct proteins to specific cytoskeletal locations to activate Rho family GTPases.

In vertebrates the Dbl family presently consists of ~15 genes, of which 2, *FGD1* and *vav*, have been shown to be involved in embryonic development. The human *FGD1* gene was determined to be the locus responsible for faciogenital dysplasia (Aarskog-Scott syndrome), a multi-systemic developmental disease affecting skeletal and urogenital systems (Pasteris et al. 1994). In the mouse, a knockout of the *vav* oncogene has been found to result in embryonic lethality (Zmuidzinas et al. 1995). While the function of these vertebrate genes has been inferred from their molecular identity, the *Cdc24* gene, a member of the Dbl family isolated from yeast, has been shown by biochemical and genetic means to function as the physiological activator of the small GTPase Cdc42 (Sloat et al. 1981; Zheng et al. 1994).

In *Drosophila* two members of the Dbl family have been identified previously. One of these genes, named *still life*, has been shown to play a role during differentiation of synaptic terminals (Sone et al. 1997). The second

Drosophila RhoGEF, termed DrtGEF or DRhoGEF1, is expressed during oogenesis and throughout embryogenesis in morphogenetically active tissues (Werner and Manseau 1997), suggesting a role for another RhoGEF during gastrulation.

In addition to the DH and PH domains, DRhoGEF2 contains an amino-terminal PDZ domain. The PDZ domain is a widespread modular protein motif and has been implicated in the assembly of signaling molecules to the plasma membrane by binding to the carboxyl terminus of transmembrane receptors or by forming heterodimers with other PDZ domain-containing proteins (for review, see Fanning and Anderson 1996). Interestingly the family typical GLGF motif that is thought to specifically interact with a (S/T)XV motif commonly found at the carboxyl terminus of transmembrane receptors is not conserved in DRhoGEF2. Consequently, the DRhoGEF2-PDZ motif might have a different binding specificity or may exclusively form heterodimers. Consistent with this idea, the PDZ adaptor protein InaD, which has been implicated in the assembly of a signaling complex during G-protein-mediated photoreceptor signaling in the eye, contains five PDZ domains with modified substrate-binding motifs (Tsunoda et al. 1997). The DRhoGEF2-PDZ domain might link the RhoGEF to a similar adaptor protein present in invaginating tissues during gastrulation.

In its central region DRhoGEF2 contains a cysteine-rich zinc butterfly motif that is also found in another member of the Dbl family, the mouse oncogene *lfc* (Whitehead et al. 1995) and in isoforms of PKC (Kazanietz et al. 1994). In PKC this domain has been shown to bind the second messenger diacylglycerol, which is generated following signal-dependent hydrolysis of membrane lipids (Ahmed et al. 1991; Bell and Burns 1991; Hubbard et al. 1991; Quest et al. 1992). Similar to the PH and the PDZ domains, this motif could promote membrane association of DRhoGEF2 and activation in response to specific signals. The presence of three different regulatory domains might provide a mechanism to activate DRhoGEF2 to different levels in response to distinct cellular signals; for example, the second messenger diacylglycerol could activate DRhoGEF2 throughout the epithelium to maintain cell shape while the PDZ domain mediates specific signals present locally in the invaginating tissues.

A role for Rho during gastrulation

The members of the Rho family, Rho, Rac, and Cdc42, belong to the Ras superfamily of GTP-binding proteins and cycle between a GDP and a GTP bound form. The level of activity of these molecules is regulated by guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) like DRhoGEF2, which convert the GTPase into its active GTP-bound form, and GTPase-activating proteins (GAPs) which stimulate the hydrolysis of GTP (Fig. 7). The alternation between an active and an inactive form allows small GTPases to act as bimodal switches in various intracel-

lular signal transduction pathways (for review, see Ridley 1996).

In *Drosophila*, homologs of several members of Rho family GTPases have been cloned. In studies using dominant-negative as well as activated forms of these molecules DRho, DRac, and DCdc42 have been shown to play a role in regulation of cell shape changes during dorsal closure and head involution as well as in morphogenesis during axon outgrowth muscle development and oogenesis (Luo et al. 1994; Harden et al. 1995; Murphy and Montell 1996). In addition, mutations in *DRhoA* have been shown recently to disrupt tissue polarity during imaginal development (Strutt et al. 1997).

DRho, *DRac*, and *DCdc42* are expressed uniformly throughout development and are most likely supplied maternally to the embryo (Hariharan et al. 1995). An important question arising from this observation is: How is specificity generated among these very similar molecules? It has been demonstrated that RhoL, DRac, and DCdc42 fulfill specific but distinct functions during oogenesis (Murphy and Montell 1996). Similarly, expression of dominant-negative forms of DRac and DCdc42 in neurons during axon outgrowth and during muscle development results in distinct phenotypes. Our studies indicate that the function of DRac and DCdc42, if any, during gastrulation is clearly distinguishable from DRhoA in that only DRhoA^{N19}, but not DRac^{N17}, or DCdc42^{N17}, interferes with the invagination of mesodermal and endodermal precursors. Furthermore, maternal expression of DRhoA^{N19} resulted in the generation of phenocopies of *DRhoGEF2* mutant embryos. Based on these observations, we propose that DRhoGEF2 acts as a DRhoA-specific RhoGEF and that specificity in G-protein-coupled signaling pathways acting simultaneously in the same tissues is generated at least in part by the use of GTPase-specific GEFs.

Materials and methods

Drosophila stocks

UASDRhoA^{N19}/Cyo was obtained from M. Mlodzik (Strutt et al. 1997). *UASDRac^{N17}* and *UASDCdc42^{N17}* were provided by Y.N. Jan (Luo et al. 1994) and the *twiGAL4*-driver line was from M. Akam (Greig and Akam 1993). The *mat α 4-GAL-VP16* line contains a construct consisting of the DNA-binding domain (amino acids 1–144) of GAL4 fused to the VP16 transcriptional activation domain expressed from the α 4-tubulin promoter and was a gift of D. St Johnston.

Genetics of DRhoGEF2

A single *P*[*LacZ*, *ry⁺*] element insertion, *l(2)04291*, was identified in a screen for maternal effects of zygotic lethal mutations. Association of the observed phenotype with the P-element insertion was confirmed by mobilization of the P element using a *y w*; Δ 2-3, *Sb/TM6* strain (Robertson et al. 1988). Of 108 excision lines, 83 were homozygous viable. From a parallel screen, using chemically induced mutagenesis, three further alleles, *DRhoGEF2^{B27.8}*, *DRhoGEF2^{3W18}*, and *DRhoGEF2^{5K19}*, were identified by complementation analysis. Embryos derived from germ-line clones of all these alleles show phenotypes identical to *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* null embryos during gastrulation. Females

with germ-line clones were generated using the autosomal FLP-DFS technique (Chou and Perrimon 1996).

Cloning of DRhoGEF2

A 5-kb genomic DNA fragment flanking the *DRhoGEF2* P-element insertion was obtained by plasmid rescue (Cooley et al. 1988). This fragment was subsequently used to screen a genomic DNA library (Stratagene) to obtain clones covering 24 kb of genomic DNA. Using subfragments covering this entire region, 36 cDNAs were isolated from poly(dT)-primed (Brown and Kafatos 1988) and random-primed (Clontech) cDNA libraries. Two of the cDNAs correspond to the T1 transcript (see Fig. 4B) and are 3.5 and 5.0 kb in length, respectively. All other cDNAs were between 1.2 and 5.5 kb in length and encode different subregions of the T2 transcript. Restriction analysis and DNA sequencing allowed the reconstitution of two distinct transcripts from these cDNAs, 8607 and 7545 bp in length. Both transcripts are represented by multiple independent cDNAs and contain all the regions of homology to other proteins found in the T2 sequence. In the shorter transcript the region of the ORF from amino acid 429 to 782 (DAPTVK....GGQATG) is not present (see Fig. 4D). We presume that the two alternative proteins are encoded by the two respective transcripts seen on Northern blots. The discrepancy in transcript sizes observed between the Northern blot analysis and the T2 cDNAs is most likely due to gel migration artifacts caused by secondary structure formation in the RNA.

DNAs were sequenced using the dideoxy chain termination method (Sanger et al. 1977). The precise point of P-element insertion was determined by sequencing the P-element rescue DNA fragment using a primer derived from the P-element LTR as well as genomic DNA fragments. The P element is inserted into an intron in the untranslated leader region of the transcript (as determined by comparison of genomic and cDNA sequences) 260 bp upstream of the 3' intron boundary. The intron is 1.1 kb in length.

Northern Blot analysis

Northern blots were carried out by standard procedures (Sambrook et al. 1989) using 5 μ g poly(A)⁺-RNA per lane. Transcript sizes of T1 and T2 were first determined in separate experiments (not shown) using the 5-kb T1 cDNA or the 5.5-kb T2 cDNA (extending from the 5' end and encompassing both transcripts) as probes. Northern blots using both probes simultaneously were carried out on poly(A)⁺-RNA prepared from 0- to 12-hr collections of wild-type or *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos. The absence of both T2 transcripts from *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* embryos suggests that *DRhoGEF2^{l(2)04291}* is a null allele and that there is no significant zygotic expression of *DRhoGEF2* during the first 12 hr of embryogenesis.

Immunohistochemistry

Embryos were fixed by shaking in 4 ml of PEM (100 mM PIPES, 1 mM EGTA, 20 mM MgSO₄), 4% formaldehyde, and 5 ml of heptane for 20 min and devitellinized by addition of 10 ml of methanol and vigorous shaking for 30 sec. Stainings were carried out by standard procedures. The polyclonal rabbit anti-Twi antibody (gift of Siegfried Roth, Max-Planck-Institut für Entwicklungsbiologie, Tübingen, Germany) was used at a dilution of 1/5000. The polyclonal Fkh antiserum (gift of Pilar Carrera, CSIC, Barcelona, Spain) was diluted 1/2000.

In situ hybridization to whole mount embryos

In situ hybridization was carried out by standard protocols using digoxigenin (Boehringer)-labeled double-stranded DNA probes.

The stainings shown in Figure 4C were reproducible with several genomic DNA fragments encompassing different regions of the T2 transcript. Control fragments yielded no staining.

SEM

Embryos were collected at timed intervals, dechorionated, fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde for 2 hr, and devitellinized with methanol. Embryos were then washed twice in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (pH 7.4), postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide and 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (pH 7.4) for 1 hr, and dehydrated in an ethanol series. After exchange of ethanol against carbon dioxide in a critical point dryer, embryos were mounted on stubs, gold-palladium coated in a sputter coater, and photographed in the SEM.

Sectioning of embryos

Embryos stained with polyclonal antiserum against Twi were dehydrated in an ethanol series and then transferred into ethanol/xylene (1:1) and finally into xylene. After mounting in araldite (Serva) on a slide, embryos were staged under the light microscope and selectively embedded (in araldite) for sectioning. After polymerization of the araldite overnight at 80°C, 5 µm sections were cut on a Leitz microtome, mounted in araldite, and photographed under Nomarski optics on a Zeiss Axiophot microscope.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. Strutt and M. Mlodzik for sending us the *UASDRhoA^{N19}* line, Y.N. Jan for the *UASDRac^{N17}* and *UASDCdc42^{N17}* lines, A. Michelson for providing the *twi-GAL4* line, and D. St Johnston for providing us with the *matα4-GAL-VPI6* line prior to publication. We extend our special gratitude to S. Roth for the anti-Twi antibody and to P. Carrera who made the anti-Fkh antibody. We also thank E. Seling for his expertise with the SEM and K. Barrett and J. Settleman for discussing results prior to publication. We are grateful to members of the Perrimon laboratory, and in particular to B. Mathey-Prevot for comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by a Human Frontier Science Program Organization long-term fellowship to U.H. and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute which N.P. is an Investigator.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Note

Initially the gene described in this article was named *akkordeon* (*akk*); however, we subsequently became aware that the same gene had been independently isolated and named *shar pei* by K. Barrett and J. Settleman (pers. comm.). To avoid confusion and to reflect its putative function, we mutually agreed to rename the gene *DRhoGEF2*.

The DNA and protein sequences of DRhoGEF2 have been submitted to GenBank and have been assigned accession number AF031930.

References

Ahmed, S., R. Kozma, J. Lee, C. Monfries, N. Harden, and L. Lim. 1991. The cysteine-rich domain of human proteins, neuronal chimaerin, protein kinase C and diacylglycerol kinase binds zinc. Evidence for the involvement of a zinc-

dependent structure in phorbol ester binding. *Biochem. J.* **280**: 233–241.

Bell, R.M. and D.J. Burns. 1991. Lipid activation of protein kinase C. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**: 4661–4664.

Brand, A.H. and N. Perrimon. 1993. Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* **118**: 401–415.

Brönner, G., Q. Chu-LaGriff, C.Q. Doe, B. Cohen, D. Weigel, H. Taubert, and H. Jäckle. 1994. Sp1/egr-like zinc-finger protein required for endoderm specification and germ-layer formation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* **369**: 664–668.

Brown, N.H. and F.C. Kafatos. 1988. Functional cDNA libraries from *Drosophila* embryos. *J. Mol. Biol.* **203**: 425–437.

Cerione, R.A. and Y. Zheng. 1996. The Dbl family of oncogenes. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **8**: 216–222.

Chou, T.-B. and N. Perrimon. 1996. The autosomal FLP-DFS technique for generating germline mosaics in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **144**: 1673–1679.

Cooley, L., R. Kelley, and A. Spradling. 1988. Insertional mutagenesis of the *Drosophila* genome with single P elements. *Science* **239**: 1121–1128.

Costa, M., E.T. Wilson, and E. Wieschaus. 1994. A putative cell signal encoded by the folded gastrulation gene coordinates cell shape changes during *Drosophila* gastrulation. *Cell* **76**: 1075–1089.

Fanning, A.S. and J.M. Anderson. 1996. Protein-protein interactions: PDZ domain networks. *Curr. Biol.* **6**: 1385–1388.

Greig, S. and M. Akam. 1993. Homeotic genes autonomously specify one aspect of pattern in the *Drosophila* mesoderm. *Nature* **362**: 630–632.

Harden, N., H.Y. Loh, W. Chia, and L. Lim. 1995. A dominant inhibitory version of the small GTP-binding protein Rac disrupts cytoskeletal structures and inhibits developmental cell shape changes in *Drosophila*. *Development* **121**: 903–914.

Hariharan, I.K., K.Q. Hu, H. Asha, A. Quintanilla, R.M. Ezzell, and J. Settleman. 1995. Characterization of rho GTPase family homologues in *Drosophila melanogaster*: Overexpressing Rho1 in retinal cells causes a late developmental defect. *EMBO J.* **14**: 292–302.

Hart, M.J., A. Eva, D. Zangrilli, S.A. Aaronson, T. Evans, R.A. Cerione, and Y. Zheng. 1994. Cellular transformation and guanine nucleotide exchange activity are catalyzed by a common domain on the dbl oncogene product. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**: 62–65.

Haslam, R.J., H.B. Koide, and B.A. Hemmings. 1993. Pleckstrin domain homology. *Nature* **363**: 309–310.

Hubbard, S.R., W.R. Bishop, P. Kirschmeier, S.J. George, S.P. Cramer, and W.A. Hendrickson. 1991. Identification and characterization of zinc binding sites in protein kinase C. *Science* **254**: 1776–1779.

Kazanietz, M.G., X.R. Bustelo, M. Barbacid, W. Kolch, H. Mischak, G. Wong, G.R. Pettit, J.D. Bruns, and P.M. Blumberg. 1994. Zinc finger domains and phorbol ester pharmacophore. Analysis of binding to mutated form of protein kinase C zeta and the vav and c-raf proto-oncogene products. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**: 11590–11594.

Leptin, M. 1995. *Drosophila* gastrulation: From pattern formation to morphogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* **11**: 189–212.

Leptin, M. and B. Grunewald. 1990. Cell shape changes during gastrulation in *Drosophila*. *Development* **110**: 73–84.

Luo, L., Y.J. Liao, L.Y. Jan, and Y.N. Jan. 1994. Distinct morphogenetic functions of similar small GTPases: *Drosophila* Drac1 is involved in axonal outgrowth and myoblast fusion. *Genes & Dev.* **8**: 1787–1802.

- Mayer, B.J., R. Ren, K.L. Clark, and D. Baltimore. 1993. A putative modular domain present in diverse signaling proteins. *Cell* **73**: 629–630.
- Murphy, A.M. and D.J. Montell. 1996. Cell type-specific roles for Cdc42, Rac, and RhoL in *Drosophila* oogenesis. *J. Cell. Biol.* **133**: 617–630.
- Parks, S. and E. Wieschaus. 1991. The *Drosophila* gastrulation gene *concertina* encodes a G α -like protein. *Cell* **64**: 447–458.
- Pasteris, N.G., A. Cadle, L.J. Logie, M.E. Porteous, C.E. Schwartz, R.E. Stevenson, T.W. Glover, R.S. Wilroy, and J.L. Gorski. 1994. Isolation and characterization of the faciogenital dysplasia (Aarskog-Scott syndrome) gene: A putative Rho/Rac guanine nucleotide exchange factor. *Cell* **79**: 669–678.
- Perrimon, N., A. Lanjuin, C. Arnold, and E. Noll. 1996. Zygotic lethal mutations with maternal effect phenotypes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. II. loci on the second and third chromosomes identified by P-element-induced mutations. *Genetics* **144**: 1681–1692.
- Pignoni, F., R.M. Baldarelli, E. Steingrimsson, R.J. Diaz, A. Pataoutian, J.R. Merriam, and J.A. Lengyel. 1990. The *Drosophila* gene *tailless* is expressed at the embryonic termini and is a member of the steroid receptor superfamily. *Cell* **62**: 151–163.
- Quest, A.F., J. Bloomenthal, E.S. Bardes, and R.M. Bell. 1992. The regulatory domain of protein kinase C coordinates four atoms of zinc. *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**: 10193–10197.
- Ridley, A.J. 1996. Rho: Theme and variations. *Curr. Biol.* **6**: 1256–1264.
- Robertson, H.M., C.R. Preston, R.W. Phillis, D.M. Johnson-Schlitz, W.K. Benz, and W.R. Engels. 1988. A stable genomic source of P element transposase in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* **118**: 461–470.
- Ron, D., M. Zannini, M. Lewis, R.B. Wickner, L.T. Hunt, G. Graziani, S.R. Tronick, S.A. Aaronson, and A. Eva. 1991. A region of proto-dbl essential for its transforming activity shows sequence similarity to a yeast cell cycle gene, CDC24, and the human breakpoint cluster gene, bcr. *New Biol.* **3**: 372–379.
- Sambrook, J., E.F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A.R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **74**: 5463–5467.
- Shaw, G. 1996. The pleckstrin homology domain: An intriguing multifunctional protein module. *BioEssays* **18**: 35–46.
- Sloat, B.F., A. Adams, and J.R. Pringle. 1981. Roles of the CDC24 gene product in cellular morphogenesis during the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell cycle. *J. Cell. Biol.* **89**: 395–405.
- Sone, M., M. Hoshino, E. Suzuki, S. Kuroda, K. Kaibuchi, H. Nakagoshi, K. Saigo, Y. Nabeshima, and C. Hama. 1997. Still life, a protein in synaptic terminals of *Drosophila* homologous to GDP-GTP exchangers. *Science* **275**: 543–547.
- Spradling, A.C., D.M. Stern, I. Kiss, J. Roote, T. Lavery, and G. Rubin. 1995. Gene disruption using P transposable elements: An integral component of the *Drosophila* genome project. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 10824–10830.
- Strutt, D.I., U. Weber, and M. Mlodzik. 1997. The role of RhoA in tissue polarity and Frizzled signalling. *Nature* **387**: 292–295.
- Sweeton, D., S. Parks, M. Costa, and E. Wieschaus. 1991. Gastrulation in *Drosophila*: the formation of the ventral furrow and posterior midgut invaginations. *Development* **112**: 775–789.
- Thisse, B., C. Stoetzel, C. Gorostiza-Thisse, and F. Perrin-Schmitt. 1988. Sequence of the twist gene and nuclear localization of its protein in endomesodermal cells of early *Drosophila* embryos. *EMBO J.* **7**: 2175–2183.
- Tsunoda, S., J. Sierralta, Y. Sun, R. Bodner, E. Suzuki, A. Becker, M. Socolich, and C.S. Zuker. 1997. A multivalent PDZ-domain protein assembles signalling complexes in a G-protein-coupled cascade. *Nature* **388**: 243–249.
- Tyers, M., R.A. Rachubinski, M.I. Stewart, A.M. Varrichio, R.G. Shorr, R.J. Haslam, and C.B. Harley. 1988. Molecular cloning and expression of the major protein kinase C substrate of platelets. *Nature* **333**: 470–473.
- Watanabe, G., Y. Saito, P. Madaule, T. Ishizaki, K. Fujisawa, N. Morii, H. Mukai, Y. Ono, A. Kakizuka, and S. Narumiya. 1996. Protein kinase N (PKN) and PKN-related protein rhophilin as targets of small GTPase Rho. *Science* **271**: 645–648.
- Weigel, D., G. Jürgens, F. Kuttner, E. Seifert, and H. Jäckle. 1989. The homeotic gene fork head encodes a nuclear protein and is expressed in the terminal regions of the *Drosophila* embryo. *Cell* **57**: 645–658.
- Werner, L.A. and L.J. Manseau. 1997. A *Drosophila* gene with predicted RhoGEF, pleckstrin homology and SH3 domains is highly expressed in morphogenic tissues. *Gene* **187**: 107–114.
- Whitehead, I., H. Kirk, C. Tognon, G. Trigo-Gonzalez, and R. Kay. 1995. Expression cloning of lfc, a novel oncogene with structural similarities to guanine nucleotide exchange factors and to the regulatory region of protein kinase C. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**: 18388–18395.
- Zheng, Y., R. Cerione, and A. Bender. 1994. Control of the yeast bud-site assembly GTPase Cdc42. Catalysis of guanine nucleotide exchange by Cdc24 and stimulation of GTPase activity by Bem3. *J. Biol. Chem.* **269**: 2369–2372.
- Zmuidzinas, A., K.D. Fischer, S.A. Lira, L. Forrester, S. Bryant, A. Bernstein, and M. Barbacid. 1995. The vav proto-oncogene is required early in embryogenesis but not for hematopoietic development in vitro. *EMBO J.* **14**: 1–11.

DRhoGEF2* encodes a member of the Dbl family of oncogenes and controls cell shape changes during gastrulation in *Drosophila

Udo Häcker and Norbert Perrimon

Genes Dev. 1998, **12**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.12.2.274](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.12.2.274)

References

This article cites 45 articles, 22 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/12/2/274.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).