Ribonuclease E organizes the protein interactions in the Escherichia coli RNA degradosome
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The Escherichia coli RNA degradosome is the prototype of a recently discovered family of multiprotein machines involved in the processing and degradation of RNA. The interactions between the various protein components of the RNA degradosome were investigated by Far Western blotting, the yeast two-hybrid assay, and coimmunopurification experiments. Our results demonstrate that the carboxy-terminal half (CTH) of ribonuclease E (RNase E) contains the binding sites for the three other major degradosomal components, the DEAD-box RNA helicase RhlB, enolase, and polynucleotide phosphorylase (PNPase). The CTH of RNase E acts as the scaffold of the complex upon which the other degradosomal components are assembled. Regions for oligomerization were detected in the amino-terminal and central regions of RNase E. Furthermore, polypeptides derived from the highly charged region of RNase E, containing the RhlB binding site, stimulate RhlB activity at least 15-fold, saturating at one polypeptide per RhlB molecule. A model for the regulation of the RhlB RNA helicase activity is presented. The description of RNase E now emerging is that of a remarkably complex multidomain protein containing an amino-terminal catalytic domain, a central RNA-binding domain, and carboxy-terminal binding sites for the other major components of the RNA degradosome.
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bonucleases found in the exosome has a human homolog, suggesting that a similar complex could also exist in animal cells. In addition to the 3' → 5' exoribonuclease activity, the mtEXO complex contains a putative DExH-box helicase. Similarly, two ATP-dependent RNA helicases may be associated with the exosome (Anderson and Parker 1998; de la Cruz et al. 1998). The identification of multiprotein complexes in bacteria, plants, and yeast suggests that assemblies of ribonucleases with other enzymes such as RNA helicases could be a common feature in RNA processing and decay.

E. coli RNase E is a large protein containing 1061 amino acids (Cormack et al. 1993; Casarégola et al. 1992). The amino-terminal half of the protein contains the catalytic site for the single-strand-specific endonuclease (ENDO) activity (Taraseviciene et al. 1995; McDowall and Cohen 1996). It was discovered recently that this region also contains a 3' polyadenylate or polyuridylate nuclease (PAUN) activity (Huang et al. 1998). The carboxy-terminal half (CTH) of RNase E is characterized by regions of different amino acid composition including proline-rich, highly charged, and acidic segments (Claverie-Martin et al. 1991; Casarégola et al. 1992). The highly charged segment contains the previously described arginine-rich RNA binding domain (AR-RBD) (Cormack et al. 1993; Taraseviciene et al. 1995; McDowall and Cohen 1996). The AR-RBD is not necessary for ENDO or PAUN activity (McDowall and Cohen 1996; Huang et al. 1998). In this study we have characterized protein–protein interactions within the degradosome. We show that the CTH of RNase E contains binding sites for each of the three other major degradosomal components, PNPase, RhlB, and enolase. In addition, a polypeptide from the highly charged region of RNase E, containing the RhlB binding site and the AR–RBD, strongly stimulates RhlB activity. A model for the regulation of the RNA helicase activity within the degradosome is presented.

Results

The RNA helicase RhlB binds to the highly charged region of RNase E

We used Far Western blotting in our initial analysis of the protein interactions within the degradosome. Each of the degradosomal proteins were expressed by the pET system, separated by SDS–PAGE, transferred to a membrane, and renatured in situ. In an initial experiment using RNase E as a probe, we detected an interaction with the RNA helicase RhlB, but not enolase or PNPase (data not shown). To localize the RhlB binding site of RNase E, different polypeptides encompassing the entire RNase E protein were tested. Figure 1A shows a Coomassie-stained SDS–polyacrylamide gel of E. coli extracts in which these polypeptides were lightly overexpressed by a limited induction of <30 min (lanes 4–9). In Figure 1C, the blotted proteins were incubated with RhlB in solution, and the bound protein was detected by use of a rabbit anti-RhlB serum. As expected, the over-

**Figure 1.** RhlB interacts with the highly charged region of RNase E. (A) Crude extracts of BL21(DE3) containing the control vector pET11a (lane 1) or plasmids overexpressing RhlB, RNase E, RneA, RneB, RneC, RneD, RneHCl, and RneHC2 (lanes 2–9) were analyzed on a 9% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and stained with Coomassie blue. (C) For the Far Western analysis, the proteins were blotted to a membrane, incubated with RhlB in solution, and probed with an anti-RhlB antibody. The RhlB in solution was partially purified from E. coli with a pET derivative expressing RhlB. (B) A control in which the membrane was incubated with a mock preparation from E. coli containing the pET11a vector. In A, protein molecular masses (kD) are marked at left. The position of the recombinant proteins was determined with Ponceau red and is indicated by arrowheads. (D) A schematic representation of the RNase E polypeptides overexpressed by the pET system. The carboxy-terminal half can be roughly divided, by amino acid content, into a proline-rich segment (residues 524–568, 33% proline), a highly charged (HC) domain (residues 600–820, 45% charged), and an acidic terminal part rich in proline residues (845–1061, 20% acidic, 11% proline). The highly charged domain consists of three successive regions: a mixed charged region alternating arginine and acidic residues (845–1061, 20% acidic, 11% proline). The highly charged region consists of three successive regions: a mixed charged region alternating arginine and acidic residues (600–738), a second proline-rich segment (739–778), and a short region mainly charged in arginine (789–820).
interacts with full-length RNase E on the membrane, confirming our initial reciprocal binding assay. Many proteins in lane 3 do not bind RhlB (cf. Fig. 1, A and C) showing the specificity of the interaction under the conditions used. The interaction of RhlB with RneB, C, and D (lanes 5–7), but not RneA (lane 4), maps the binding site to the central region of RNase E (Fig. 1D). Two smaller polypeptides, RneHC1 and RneHC2, also interact with RhlB (lanes 8,9), further limiting the binding site to the highly charged region of RNase E from residues 628–843. The highly charged composition of these polypeptides is conferred by a large region containing both positively and negatively charged residues (48% mixed charged), a proline-rich region (17% mixed charged) and a short arginine-rich stretch (43% positively charged).

In Figure 1C, the RhlB protein used as a probe was partially purified (see Materials and Methods). This preparation was > than 70% RhlB by Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE (not shown). The control experiment in Figure 1B excludes any possibility that the anti-RhlB serum cross-reacts with RNase E. The signal below RhlB (Fig. 1B,C) is the result of a reaction of the anti-RhlB serum with another protein present in all the extracts. It is unlikely that the RNase E-RhlB interaction detected here is caused by an RNA bridge. First, the bulk nucleic acid was removed by precipitation with polyethyleneimine (PEI) during the preparation of the RhlB probe. Second, the blots were incubated in the presence of pancreatic ribonuclease. Because the RhlB probe used in these experiments is not completely pure, we cannot exclude the possibility that the interaction with RNase E is mediated by another protein. However, the yeast two-hybrid analysis presented next argues for a direct interaction between these two proteins.

Protein interactions detected by the yeast two-hybrid system

We also examined the interactions between the major proteins of the RNA degradosome using the yeast two-hybrid system that is based on a transcription activation assay (Golemis et al. 1994). RNase E was divided into three regions: amino terminal (1–528), central (500–752), and carboxy terminal (734–1061). The entire protein was used for PNase, RhlB, and enolase. These proteins were fused to either the DNA-binding or the transcriptional activation domains. As shown in Table 1, all of the possible pair-wise combinations were tested. Six of the pairs activated transcription: (1) RNase E amino-terminal region with itself; (2) RNase E central region with itself; (3) RNase E central region with RhlB; (4) RNase E carboxy-terminal region with RhlB; (5) RNase E carboxy-terminal region with enolase; and (6) enolase with itself. For the three non-self interactions, each of the two possible pair-wise combinations activated transcription. The interaction of the central (500–752) and carboxy-terminal (734–1061) regions of RNase E with RhlB confirms the results of the Far Western analysis and provides unambiguous support for a direct interaction between RNase E and RhlB. The interaction with both regions suggests that important determinants for RhlB binding are located in the small overlap between the two constructs (734–752). This interpretation is supported by the in vivo analysis described below. The association of enolase with the carboxy-terminal region (734–1061) of RNase E, but not with PNase, was unexpected because enolase was shown previously to copurify with PNase (see Discussion). However, no interaction was detected between enolase and PNase by use of the two-hybrid system or by Far Western blotting (data not shown), and the in vivo analysis described below also argues against a direct interaction between PNase and enolase. The enolase self reaction is consistent with a previous biochemical study showing that native enolase is a dimer (Spring and Wold 1971).

### Table 1. Yeast two-hybrid analysis of interactions between the components of the RNA degradosome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activation domain</th>
<th>RNase E</th>
<th>DNA-binding domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>amino-terminal</td>
<td>central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNase E</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amino-terminal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>central</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carboxy-terminal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RhlB</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enolase</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNase</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The regions of RNase E correspond to 1–528, amino-terminal; 500–752, central; 734–1061, carboxy-terminal. The entire protein was used for RhlB, enolase, and PNase. All of the positive interactions (+) were Lac+ and Leu+ on the appropriate indicator plates. All the negative interactions (−) were Lac− and Leu−.

^aFor the enolase-enolase result (x), Leu+ was detected but Lac+ was only observed when the plasmid with the DNA binding domain was introduced after the plasmid with the activation domain.
temperature-sensitive amber suppressor (Fig. 2A). The resulting strain, pBRN1, grew at 30°C but not at 42°C, the nonpermissive temperature. This conditional growth was expected because RNase E is an essential protein. Growth at 42°C was restored by complementation with a low-copy-number plasmid, pAM–rne, encoding the intact rne gene. A set of deletions in the carboxy-terminal region of RNase E was constructed by inverse PCR of the pAM–rne plasmid (Fig. 2B). These deletions were designed to remove the proline-rich, the highly charged, and the acidic regions of RNase E. All the deletions except the largest, RneΔ15, were able to complement the rne amber strain at 42°C. This result confirms the report that E. coli strains with deletions of the CTH of RNase E are viable (Kido et al. 1996). Figure 2C shows that the plasmid encoded RNase E (lane 1) or the mutant proteins (lanes 2–6) are detected by Western blotting at the nonpermissive temperature (RneΔ16 and RneΔ18 not shown). As expected, the endogenous RNase E protein was not detected under these conditions.

Analysis of the composition of the degradosome by coimmunopurification

In an initial experiment with the pAM–rne plasmid expressing wild-type RNase E in the PBRN1 strain, it was difficult to detect the coimmunopurification of RhlB with RNase E. RhlB is probably poorly expressed because of the lack of a recognizable Shine-Dalgarno translation initiation sequence. The protein was not detected by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis of total proteins even when multiple copies of the gene are present (Kalman et al. 1991). We introduced into the PBRN1 strain a medium-copy-number plasmid with rhlB under the control of the lac transcription and translation signals. The basal expression without IPTG induction was sufficient to permit easy detection of RhlB by Western blotting. All the results reported below were obtained in the presence of this plasmid.

In Figure 3, coimmunopurifications were performed by use of rabbit antibodies, against RNase E (A,C) or PNPase (B), which were cross-linked to protein A–Sepharose beads. The immunopurified complexes were released with SDS, separated by SDS-PAGE, and visualized by silver staining (A,B). The identity of PNPase, RhlB, and enolase was verified by Western blotting (A–C). Figure 3A, lane 3, shows that enolase, PNPase, and RhlB efficiently copurify with the full-length RNase E. For comparison, lane 1 shows a preparation of the RNA degradosome purified by ion exchange chromatography and glycerol gradient sedimentation (Py et al. 1996). Lane 2 is a control showing the background using beads with preimmune serum. All of the deletions in the CTH of RNase E affected the composition of the RNA degradosome except RneΔ12 (lane 6) in which only the proline-rich segment of RNase E was deleted. The changes in composition range from subcomplexes missing PNPase (RneΔ10) or RhlB and enolase (RneΔ13 and RneΔ14) to no degradosomal proteins associated with RNase E (RneΔ11).

The association of RhlB and enolase with RNase E

With RneΔ10 and RneΔ12 (Fig. 3A, lanes 4,6), RhlB and enolase still copurify with RNase E, showing that neither the acidic carboxyl terminus, nor the first proline-rich region, are essential for the presence of these proteins in the RNA degradosome. In contrast, they do not copurify with RneΔ11, RneΔ13, and RneΔ14. These have in common a deletion (636–845) covering a large part of the highly charged region of RNase E (see Fig. 2B). These in vivo results agree with experiments presented above showing that polypeptides from this region are sufficient to bind to RhlB. Although the copurification of enolase...
with the RNase E mutants is the same as for RhlB, it is unlikely that the two proteins interact directly. First, using an antibody against enolase, RhlB does not copurify in the RneΔ11 strain (data not shown). Furthermore, the two-hybrid analysis (see above) revealed a direct interaction between enolase and the carboxy-terminal part of RNase E (734–1061). Taken together, these data argue for an enolase binding site between residues 734–845 of RNase E. Most of this region was deleted in RneΔ16 (739–845) to test the interaction with enolase. As shown by the Western analysis in Fig. 3C, enolase does not copurify with RneΔ16. However, RhlB still copurifies. This result shows clearly that the second half of the highly charged region of RNase E (residues 739–845) is important for the interaction with enolase.

In the yeast two-hybrid analysis, RhlB interacted with the central (500–752) and carboxy-terminal (734–1061) regions of RNase E (Table 1) suggesting that the RhlB binding site spans the overlap (734–752). When most of this region was deleted in RneΔ16 (739–845), RhlB still efficiently copurifies with RNase E (Fig. 3C, lane 4) indicating that residues 739–752 are not critical for RhlB binding. However, with the slightly larger deletion, RneΔ18 (728–845), the interaction with RhlB was disrupted (lane 5), showing that residues necessary for the binding are located in the small segment, from 734 to 738 (SVAEE), in the highly charged region of RNase E.

The association of PNPase with RNase E

PNPase copurifies with all of the RNase E deletions except RneΔ10 and RneΔ11 (Fig. 3A, lanes 4,5). The loss of interaction with PNPase in the RneΔ11 strain confirms the report that the CTH of RNase E is required for the interaction with PNPase (Kido et al. 1996). The RneΔ10 strain permits a more precise mapping of the interaction site to the acidic segment in the last 217 residues of RNase E. This result was confirmed by immunopurification of PNPase (Fig. 3B). Full-length RNase E, enolase, and RhlB copurified with PNPase as expected (lane 3). The RneΔ10 and RneΔ11 polypeptides did not copurify with PNPase (lanes 4,5). Enolase and RhlB were also not detected. Moreover, RneΔ13 (lane 6), which still contains the last 217 amino acids of RNase E, copurified with PNPase, but not enolase and RhlB. Thus, a direct interaction between PNPase and enolase or RhlB cannot be detected, indicating that their association in the degradosome is mediated through their independent interaction with the CTH of RNase E.

The association of DnaK with RNase E

Additional minor polypeptides were shown previously to copurify with the RNA degradosome (Carpousis et al. 1994; Py et al. 1996). One of these components was identified as DnaK in the degradosome purified by use of a FLAG-tagged RNase E and in our degradosome preparations (Miczack et al. 1996; Blum et al. 1997). As shown in Figure 3 (A,B), DnaK was detected by silver staining and Western analysis in our purified degradosome preparation (lane 1) and also by immunopurification of full-length RNase E (lane 3). DnaK efficiently copurified with RneΔ10, a deletion of the acidic carboxyl terminus of RNase E which disrupts the association with PNPase (Fig. 3A, lane 4), yet did not coimmunopurify with PNPase in the RneΔ10 background (Fig. 3B, lane 4). These results demonstrate that DnaK does not interact directly with PNPase. DnaK did not copurify with RneΔ11 (Fig. 3A, lane 5), implicating the central part of RNase E in the association of DnaK with the degradosome. Whether DnaK interacts directly with RNase E, or through RhlB and enolase, remains to be clarified.

The interaction with the highly charged region of RNase E stimulates RhlB activity

Our results show that RhlB and RNase E physically interact. Because we found previously that RhlB by itself
had no detectable ATPase activity (Py et al. 1996), we tested the effect of RNase E binding on RhlB activity. Preliminary experiments with the full-length RNase E and the RneD polypeptide suggested that these proteins can stimulate RhlB activity. Figure 4 shows an assay of RhlB ATPase activity in the presence of increasing concentrations of the RneHC2 polypeptide. The values are the average of duplicate assays. The reactions, containing 1 µg of RhlB and 0–2 µg of the RneHC2 polypeptide, were as described (Py et al. 1996), except that the yeast RNA was increased to 200 µg/ml. Less than 15% of the ATP was converted to ADP and Pi under the conditions of maximal activity. RhlB* carries the E166K point mutation in the DEAD motif (DEAD→DKAD). RhlB, RhlB*, and RneHC2 were partially purified from cells overexpressing these proteins. Each protein was judged to be at least 70% pure by SDS-PAGE. Protein concentrations were determined by Lowry assay using a BSA standard.

**Discussion**

The carboxy-terminal half of RNase E organizes the degradosomal protein interactions

The protein interactions between the components of the RNA degradosome were investigated by three complementary techniques: Far Western blotting, the yeast two-hybrid assay, and coimmunopurification. The Far Western and two-hybrid analyses were particularly useful in identifying specific regions of interaction within RNase E, whereas the coimmunopurification experiments provided direct information about the organization of the degradosome in the bacterial cell. The main conclusion of the combined approaches is that the CTH of RNase E constitutes the structural core of the degradosome around which RhlB, enolase, and PNPase assemble (Fig. 5A). Our results clearly demonstrate that the CTH of RNase E contains distinct binding sites for each of these proteins. In addition, we did not detect any direct interactions between RhlB, enolase, and PNPase. By deleting their binding sites in the CTH of RNase E, it was possible to generate subcomplexes of the degradosome containing three components (RNase E-RhlB-enolase and RNase E-RhlB-PNPase) or two components (RNase E-
PNPase and RNase E-RhIB). This shows that the individual deletions do not significantly affect the other binding sites, revealing a multidomain structural organization of the CTH of RNase E.

Only the amino-terminal half of RNase E, known to contain the ENDO activity, is essential for cell viability (Jain and Belasco 1995; Taraseviciene et al. 1995). Nevertheless, we believe that the integrity of the degradosome is important for normal mRNA turnover. Several E. coli mutants expressing an RNase E that is missing the CTH of the protein were obtained in a selection for extragenic suppressors of a temperature-sensitive mukB allele (Kido et al. 1996). The suppressor strains overexpress the defective MukB protein twofold, apparently caused by the slower turnover of the press the defective MukB protein twofold, apparently allele (Kido et al. 1996). The suppressor strains overexpress the defective MukB protein twofold, apparently caused by the slower turnover of the mukB message. In our strains, the deletion of the CTH of RNase E (RneΔ111) leads to a threefold slower degradation of RNA1 (N.F. Vanzo and A.J. Carpousis, unpubl.), an antisense RNA whose degradation depends on both RNase E and PNPase (Cohen 1995; Xu and Cohen 1995). Whether these effects are caused by the disruption of the RNA degradosome or defective RNase E activity remains to be clarified.

Protein interactions in the RNA degradosome

Figure 5B summarizes our current understanding of the structural and functional features of RNase E. The regions of RNase E identified here, involved in the interaction with itself or the other components of the degradosome, are indicated in the lower part of Figure 5B. The best localized site is that of RhIB. An interaction with the central region of RNase E was detected by Far Western analysis and a core site from 734 to 738 was determined on the basis of the two-hybrid and coimmunopurification results. This stretch of five residues (SV0E) lies at the carboxy-terminal end of the mixed charge region in the highly charged domain of RNase E. Our in vivo results indicate that the core site is essential for RhIB association with the degradosome, but flanking residues could also be important for the structure of this site. The enolase binding site (739–845), defined by a combination of two-hybrid and coimmunopurification experiments, includes the proline- and arginine-rich segments of the highly charged region. The in vivo analysis of the degradosome has permitted us to restrict the PNPase binding site to residues 844–1045 in the acidic carboxy-terminal part of RNase E. For enolase and PNPase, the actual binding sites could be smaller than defined here and further experiments will be required to identify the critical residues for these interactions. We were not able to detect the RNase E-PNPase interaction by Far Western blotting or two-hybrid analysis. Highly purified, enzymatically active PNPase is a trimer of the 78-kD chains, forming a large 234-kD native protein (Littauer and Soreq 1982). This native trimeric state could be critical for the interaction with RNase E. In contrast to the experiments in the bacterial cell where PNPase can properly fold and assemble, its misfolding on a membrane or in yeast could account for the failure to detect an interaction. Our results highlight the importance of using a variety of techniques to identify the proteins interactions within a complex such as the RNA degradosome.

One of these methods have detected a direct interaction between PNPase and enolase. Because of the identification of the β-subunit of PNPase as enolase (Py et al. 1996), this result is surprising. The β-subunit copurifies with PNPase under certain conditions, but it is not essential for phosphorylase activity (Portier 1975; Soreq and Littauer 1977). Our in vivo experiments clearly show that enolase fails to copurify with PNPase in the absence of RNase E, demonstrating that RNase E serves as a bridge between these two proteins. It is known that RNase E is very sensitive to proteolysis during purification (Carpousis et al. 1994). We believe that the copurification of enolase with PNPase reported previously could be mediated by degradation products from the CTH of RNase E. Because the enolase and PNPase binding sites are next to each other in the CTH of RNase E, a relatively small RNase E polypeptide of 100–300 amino acids could bind both proteins.

The self interactions of the amino and central regions of RNase E detected in our two hybrid analysis is the first direct evidence for its capacity to oligomerize. A previous analysis of the degradation of the S20 ribosomal protein message suggested that native RNase E is composed of at least two active subunits (Mackie et al. 1997). Many enzymes are only active in their multimeric native state and the oligomerization of the amino-terminal half of RNase E could be necessary for the ENDO or PAUN activities. The self interaction of the central region suggests that the CTH of RNase E may also be oligomeric. Whether this oligomerization is important for the protein interactions remains to be determined. The quaternary structure of RNase E in the complex is unsure as a result of the significant heterogeneity in the degradosome preparations. Carpousis et al. (1994) suggested that RNase E was dimeric on the basis of the stoichiometry of the degradosomal components in an immunoprecipitate, and an estimation of the molecular weight of the complex by sedimentation.

Activation of the RNA helicase RhIB by the highly charged region of RNase E

On the basis of a sequence analysis of its gene, RhIB was initially identified as a member of the DEAD-box family of ATP-dependent RNA helicases, known to unwind double-stranded regions (Kalman et al. 1991). Consistent with this identification, in vitro experiments showed that RhIB in the degradosome facilitates the PNPase-mediated degradation of structured RNA in an ATP-dependent reaction (Py et al. 1996). In addition, the purified RNA degradosome has an RNA-dependent ATPase, a characteristic activity of the DEAD-box proteins. Nevertheless, overexpressed and partially purified RhIB does not by itself have a detectable ATPase activity. The work described here shows that RhIB interacts with the highly charged region of RNase E and that this interaction stimulates its activity at least 15-fold. The RneHC2 polypeptide, containing the core RhIB binding site, can
fully activate RhlB to a specific activity comparable with that previously measured in the RNA degradosome. This stimulation saturates at a stoichiometry of one molecule of RneHC2 per molecule of RhlB.

RhlB is the second example of a DEAD-box helicase stimulated by a protein partner. The eukaryotic translation factor eIF4B stimulates the RNA unwinding activity of eIF4A, the prototype of the DEAD-box family (Rozen et al. 1990; Pause et al. 1992). Members of this family share a core region of ~300 amino acids containing eight highly conserved motifs (Schmid and Linder 1992). It has been proposed that this core domain is insufficient for RNA helicase activity and that an additional RNA-binding site is required (Gibson and Thompson 1994). This could be provided by a domain adjacent to the core or by a protein partner. eIF4B has been suggested to supply the additional RNA-binding domain for the activation of eIF4A (Milburn et al. 1990; Pause et al. 1992). RhlB contains an arginine-rich stretch at the carboxyl terminus that is predicted by primary sequence analysis (Gascuel and Golmard 1988) to be in a random coil conformation. The interaction with RneHC2 could fold this region into a functional RNA-binding site, activating the helicase. The other possibility is that RneHC2, which contains most of the AR–RBD, supplies the additional RNA-binding site. This would directly implicate the AR–RBD, which is not required for ENDO or PAUN activity, in the regulation and specificity of RhlB. Recent experiments with purified RhlB and RneHC2 demonstrate the unwinding of short RNA duplexes in an ATP-dependent reaction (N.F. Vanzo and A.J. Carposuis, unpubl.). Thus, it should be possible to directly examine the mechanism of stimulation of the RhlB helicase activity by the highly charged region of RNAse E.

There are now many examples of enzymes, acting in the same biological process, that are associated in a complex (see Alberts 1998 and references therein). Recently, degradosome-like complexes have also been described in eukaryotes (see introductory section). It is evident that these sophisticated protein machines offer the possibility to regulate and coordinate the activities of the individual components. The activation of the RNA helicase RhlB by RNAse E, demonstrated here, is one example of this type of complex.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and plasmids

The XA103 strain (nalA rifD argE(am) supF metB thi ara3(lac-pro)) and the supF strain PA4144 (KH5402; Kimura et al. 1979) were kindly provided by J. Miller (UCLA) and D. Lane (CNRS), respectively. AC23 (ams zce-726::Tn10), a derivative of MC1061, is isogenic with the previously described CH1828 strain (Mudd et al. 1990). The wild-type gene of XA103 was substituted by the ams allele by P1 transduction using AC23 strain as donor. A streptomycin-resistant derivative of XA103 was isolated by plating on 200 µg/ml of the antibiotic. The resulting strain was named XA103:

The E. coli strain used for most of DNA manipulations in this study was DH5αF- (F’/endA1 hsdR17 (rK- mK+) supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA (NalR) rpsL (AmpR) F’ proAB lacY1 galK) recA1 gyrA (NalR) rpsL (AmpR) F’ proAB lacY1 galK. The BL21(DE3) strain (Novagen) was used for protein overexpression. To overexpress RNase E, the E. coli pnp coding region was amplified by PCR with pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene) by use of primers pnp1 and pnp2 (Table 2, below). This PCR product was cloned into pUC19 and then into pET11a, as described for the pcpB gene by Raynal et al. (1996). The pET11a derivatives for the overexpression of endolase and RhlB were constructed previously (Py et al. 1996) by the same procedure.

Vectors encoding polypeptides derived from the rne gene

The plasmid pET-rne overexpressing full-length RNase E protein was constructed as follows. First, a 3.5-kb Apol–Apol chromosomal fragment containing the entire rne gene was cloned in the EcoRI site of the pET11a vector. A clone having the gene in the same orientation as the T7 RNA polymerase transcription gave the pET–rne plasmid. Second, a PCR-generated fragment encoding residues 1–217 of the RNase E protein was cloned into the Smal site of pUC18 vector to give the pUC–rne1 plasmid. The primers for PCR were me541 and me1192 (Table 3, below). After sequencing, the NdeI– HindIII me fragment was excised and ligated to the pET–rne1 plasmid digested by the same enzymes to make the pET–rne plasmid. Other constructions are as shown in Table 2 and the primers for PCR are listed in Table 3. Polypeptides RneB, RneD, RneHC1, and RneHC2 are translational fusions with the first 13 residues of bacteriophage T7 gene 10 protein. To construct the pET–rneD plasmid, a HindIII–Apol me fragment was excised from pET–rne plasmid and subcloned into pGem–T7 vector (Promega) digested by HindIII–EcoRI to give pGem–rneD plasmid. This plasmid was digested by BamHI and Apol, liberating BamHI–BamHI and BamHI–Apol me fragments. Both were ligated with the pET11b vector digested by BamHI and EcoRI. A clone with the correct orientation of the BamHI fragment was chosen on the basis of restriction analysis.

Protein overexpression and preparation of extracts

Crude protein extracts for the blots in the Far Western analysis were prepared as follows. A fresh overnight colony of BL21(DE3) containing the appropriate plasmid was grown at 37°C in LB-ampicillin to OD600nm = 0.4. The culture was then shifted to 30°C to optimize solubilization of overexpressed proteins and induced from 20–30 min with 1 mM IPTG. Lysates were prepared as in Carposuis et al. (1994) with some modifications. Briefly, the cultures were concentrated 25-fold and suspended in the lysosyme-EDTA buffer, and the cells were broken with a freeze-thaw step. The DNAase-Triton buffer was added, and the suspension was incubated for 30 min with agitation and then adjusted to 1 M NH₄Cl.

To obtain partially purified proteins as probes for the Far Western analysis, and for the RhlB ATPase assay, crude extracts were prepared as described above except that the induction time was for 2 hr. The extracts were clarified by centrifugation (15 min, 10,000g), the supernatants were collected and PEI was added slowly with gentle agitation to a final concentration of 0.2% (Gegenheimer 1990). The precipitated nucleic acid was removed by centrifugation (15 min, 10,000g), the PEI was removed by precipitating proteins with 60% ammonium sulfate, and the pellets were suspended in buffer A containing 200 mM NaCl (Carposuis et al. 1994). Western and Far Western analysis

Protein gels and Western blotting were performed as described
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in Raynal et al. (1996) except that gels were electroblotted with a carbonate transfer buffer (Dunn 1986) for 12 hr at 60 mA. Polyclonal antibodies against the purified components of the RNA degradosome were raised in rabbits (Eurogentec). Polyclonal rabbit antiserum against *E. coli* DnaK was a gift from Bernd Bukau (University of Freiburg, Germany). For Far Western analysis, the blots were placed in TEN 50 buffer (Cormack et al. 1993) and stored at 4°C for a minimum of 5 days to permit in situ renaturation of proteins. Filters were blocked for 1 hr in HHB (HEPES-hybridization buffer; 20 mM HEPES at pH 7.7, 75 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, 0.05% Triton) containing 5% nonfat milk. Membranes were then incubated overnight at 4°C in HHB supplemented with 1% nonfat milk, RNase A (0.2 µg/ml final), and the appropriate partially purified protein. Filters were washed three times for 10 min with HBB supplemented with 1% nonfat milk and submitted to Western analysis.

**Yeast plasmids**

The plasmid vectors used for yeast two-hybrid analysis, pEG202, pJG4-5, pJK101, pRFHM-1, pSH17-4, and pSH18-34, were provided by Roger Brent and are described in Golemis et al. (1994). pBluescript K+ was from Stratagene. Genes encoding components of the degradosome were amplified with *Vent* polymerase (New England Biolabs) and inserted into either pEG202

### Table 2. Plasmids expressing RNase E polypeptides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vector (restriction sites)</th>
<th>rne insert</th>
<th>Plasmid (residues encoded)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pET11c (BamHI)</td>
<td>BstYI–BamHI from pET–rne</td>
<td>pET–rneB (263–844)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET11b (BamHI–ApolI)</td>
<td>BamHI–Apol from pGEM–rneD</td>
<td>pET–rneD (185–1061)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET11c (BamHI filled)</td>
<td>PCR-generated fragmenta</td>
<td>pET–rneH1 (501–843)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET11c (BamHI filled)</td>
<td>PCR-generated fragmentb</td>
<td>pET–rneH2 (628–843)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (from 5’ to 3’)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>me215</td>
<td>ggaattcTACGGAATAACCCATTTTGCCGCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me541</td>
<td>atATGAAAGAAGTGTATTACACGCACTCAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me627</td>
<td>GATGCAGCCGACTTAATCGTGTTGCTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me192</td>
<td>ATGCTGGCAAGGCACGCACCATAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me2041</td>
<td>ATGCTGGCAAGGCACGCACCATAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me2064</td>
<td>TTCTTCATGACGCTCGGCAACGATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me2422</td>
<td>AAAGCTGACGCAAGGCACGTAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me2445</td>
<td>ATTACGGGATGACGCAAGGCACGTAAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me2721</td>
<td>CTGGATGAGCTGAGCTACAAGCAGGCACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me2754</td>
<td>TTCTTGCCGTCAGCTGGTCGTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me3069</td>
<td>CCAGACCTTTGGCCAGAGGCCAGGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me3076</td>
<td>TATCAGCAATTGATGCTCCGACAAAGATGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>me3676</td>
<td>GCAAAAGCGATCATGCTCTGCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meYSL1</td>
<td>GAGTAAgaattcATGAAAGAAGTGTATTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meYSL2</td>
<td>GCGCCTGGAGATTACGCCAGGTTGTTGCGGACGTTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meYSL3</td>
<td>CCAACCGaattcTACATGCTGAGGCACGTTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meYSL4</td>
<td>TTCTTGCCGTCAGCTGGTCGTAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meYSL5</td>
<td>CGTTAgaattcAGCGTAGCCTGCCGAGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meYSL6</td>
<td>ACTTCTGGAGATTACGCCAGGTTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pnp1</td>
<td>atATGCTTAATCCGATCTGCGTAATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pnp2</td>
<td>TTACTCAGCCCTGTTACGAGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pnpYSL1</td>
<td>GGGGCGaattcATGCTTAATCCGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pnpYSL2</td>
<td>GGGGCGaattcATGCTTAATCCGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhlB607K</td>
<td>ATTCAGCGTTGGTGTATCGGCAAGGCCATGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhlB128R</td>
<td>ATTTGGTCCGAGAATTGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhlBYSL1</td>
<td>GGGGCGaattcATGCTTAATCCGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhlBYSL2</td>
<td>GGGGCGaattcATGCTTAATCCGAGGACG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enoYSL1</td>
<td>CCTGAGGgaattcTACATGCCAAAAATCGTAATATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enoYSL2</td>
<td>CTAAGGTCTGGACAGATGCTGATTAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enoYSL3</td>
<td>CTGAGGGAAGTCTGGACAGATGCTGATTAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>enoYSL4</td>
<td>GCTAGGACAGATGCTGATTAGCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

aThe lowercase letters, showing the substitutions introduced to manufacture restriction sites, prevent secondary structure formation within the primer or create mutations.
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LexAop–LEU2 vectors. Some of the inserts were blunt-end ligated into pBluescript K+. Plasmid pBEC, which expresses the carboxy-terminal domain used the same amplified genes as the pBEN, pAEcn, pAECN expressed RNase E amino-terminal domain, pAEC expressing RNase E (residues 500–752), primers rneYSL3 and rneYSL2 were used. For pBECN, which expresses the central domain of RNase E (residues 734–1061), primers rneYSL5 and rneYSL6 were used. These fragments were all cloned into pEG202 digested with EcoRI and Xhol. Plasmid pBENO, which expresses the carboxy-terminal domain of RNase E, was constructed similarly with primers rneBYSL1 and rneYSL2 and cloned into pEG202 cut with BamHI and Xhol.

Plasmids pA series of plasmids result in a fusion between the protein of interest and the DNA-binding protein LexA. The pA series of plasmids result in a fusion between the protein of interest and an acidic domain (acid blob), which functions as a portable transcriptional activation motif.

LexAop–LEU2 vectors. (to generate bait plasmids; pB series) or into pG4-5 (to generate activation plasmids; pA series). Restriction sites were added to aid cloning. The pB series of plasmids result in a fusion between the protein of interest and the DNA-binding protein LexA. The pA series of plasmids result in a fusion between the protein of interest and an acidic domain (acid blob), which functions as a portable transcriptional activation motif.

Plasmid pBPl, containing the E. coli pnp gene, was constructed by PCR with primers pnpYSL1 and pnpYSL2, cloning the EcoRI- and XhoI-digested PCR product into pEG202 digested with the same enzymes. Plasmid pBB, which expresses RhlB, was constructed similarly with primers rhlBYSL1 and rhlBYSL2. For pBEN, which expresses residues 1–528 of the amino-terminal domain of RNase E, primers rneYSL1 and rneYSL2 were used. For pBECN, which expresses the central domain of RNase E (residues 500–752), primers rneYSL3 and rneYSL4 were used. For pBEC, which expresses the carboxy-terminal domain of RNase E (residues 734–1061), primers rneYSL5 and rneYSL6 were used. These fragments were all cloned into pEG202 digested with EcoRI and Xhol. Plasmid pBENO, which expresses the carboxy-terminal domain used the same amplified genes as the pB vectors, but cloned into pG4-5 cut with EcoRI and Xhol. Plasmid pAECN, expressing enolase, was made with primers enoYSL1 and enoYSL2 and cloned into pEG202 cut with BamHI and Xhol. Some of the inserts were blunt-end ligated into pBluescript KⅢ before being subcloned into their appropriate yeast two-hybrid vectors.

Yeast two-hybrid analysis

The two-hybrid method used here is based on the LexA–B42 acid blob system (Gyrus et al. 1993). The two reporter genes are LexAop–LEU2 and LexAop–LacZ. EGY48 (ura3, trp1, his3, LexA operator–LEU2) was the yeast strain used throughout. Cells were grown in YPD or complete minimal (CM) dropout media as described by Golemis et al. (1994) except for X-gal plates that were grown in YPD or complete minimal (CM) dropout media containing 0.07 M of potassium phosphate (pH 7.0), and 0.04 µg/ml X-gal (Sambrook et al. 1989). Yeast transformations were performed according to Gietz et al. (1992), and nutritional selection, the selection steps were as described previously (Cornet et al. 1994). Only recombinants carrying the amber allele were able to grow at 42°C. The ty25am mutation was transferred into PBR144 strain by P1 transduction by use of the Tn10 marker that is linked to the me loci. A tetracycline-resistant transductant with a thermosensitive phenotype at 42°C was selected and the presence of the amber mutation was confirmed by PCR amplification and sequencing. A recA2 derivative of this strain was constructed by P1 transduction by use of GY8382 strain as the donor (Sommer et al. 1998). The recA2 phenotype was checked by sensitivity to UV irradiation. This strain was named PBR11.

Construction of deletions within the me gene

The pAM–rne plasmid was constructed as follows. A PstI–PstI chromosomal fragment encoding the entire RNase E protein was cloned into the PstI site of pGB2-derived plasmid pAM238 (Chuchward et al. 1984) containing a polylinker upstream of the lac promoter (J.-P. Bouché, unpub.) and the ampicillin resistance gene. All me internal deletions were generated by use of inverse PCR (Hemsley et al. 1989). In each PCR reaction, the pAM–rne plasmid was amplified with primer sets located back to back on the me sequence. The upstream oligonucleotides used to construct: Rne18 and Rnec11 were mec369 and mec2064, respectively, and the downstream oligonucleotide was mec3676 (Table 3). For Rne12, the oligonucleotides were mec2064 and mec2422. For Rne15, the oligonucleotides were mec1192 and mec3676. The upstream primers for Rne13, Rne14, Rne16, and Rne18 were mec2064, mec2445, mec2754, and mec2721, respectively. The same downstream primer, mec3076, was used for these deletions. PCR to amplify long templates was performed as in Cheng et al. (1994) except that Taq Polymerase (Stratagene) were used in a ratio of 2.5–0.05 units. PCR products were gel purified, 3′-end blunted and 5′-end phosphorylated with T4 DNA polymerase and T4 polynucleotide kinase (Biolabs), self-ligated, and used to transform DH5α. Two independent clones, containing the appropriate deletion, complementing the PBR1 strain at 42°C.

Construction of the PBR1 strain

Introduction of the amber mutation was carried out in two steps. First, we cloned into the thermosensible pSc101-based vector pFC13 (Cornet et al. 1994) the me gene in which the first tyrosine codon (TAG), encoding amino acid 25 of RNase E, was changed to an amber stop codon (TAG). Then, we used this plasmid to introduce the tyr25am mutation on the chromosome by homologous recombination.

Introduction of the tyr25am mutation in the me gene and cloning into the pFC13 vector was carried out in several steps. First, a BamHI–EcoRV me fragment containing the amber mutation was constructed by PCR-directed mutagenesis. The downstream mutagenic primer was mec627 (lowercase c creates the amber mutation TAG, Table 3). The upstream primer was mec215. The former hybridizes near the RNase E processing site in the 5′ UTR me gene and creates a BamHI site (lowercase letters, Table 3) at the 5′ end of the PCR product. Amplification was carried out with Pfu DNA polymerase as recommended by Stratagene by use of pET–rne1 as the template. This PCR product was cloned into the Smal site of pUC18 and sequenced. The plasmid pUC–rne1, constructed as described above (with the Ndel–HindII me fragment in the opposite sense of plac), was digested by BamHI and EcoRV, and the excised region was replaced by the BamHI–EcoRV fragment carrying the tyr25am mutation. A BamHI–HindII me fragment was purified and ligated together with the HindII–XhoI carboxy-terminal me fragment (from the pGEM–rne plasmid) into the pFC13 vector digested by BamHI–XhoI. The resulting plasmid named pFCrne was used to introduce the amber mutation on the chromosome of XA103 strain by homologous recombination. This strain has a thermosensitive phenotype provided by the asm allele and carries a constitutive supF suppressor. After transformation, the selection steps were as described previously (Cornet et al. 1994). Only recombinants carrying the amber allele were able to grow at 42°C. The tyr25am mutation was transferred into PBR144 strain by P1 transduction by use of the Tn10 marker that is linked to the me loci. A tetracycline-resistant transductant with a thermosensitive phenotype at 42°C was selected and the presence of the amber mutation was confirmed by PCR amplification and sequencing. A recA2 derivative of this strain was constructed by P1 transduction by use of GY8382 strain as the donor (Sommer et al. 1998). The recA2 phenotype was checked by sensitivity to UV irradiation. This strain was named PBR11.

Construction of deletions within the me gene

The pAM–rne plasmid was constructed as follows. A PstI–PstI chromosomal fragment encoding the entire RNase E protein was cloned into the PstI site of pGB2-derived plasmid pAM238 (Chuchward et al. 1984) containing a polylinker upstream of the lac promoter (J.-P. Bouché, unpub.) and the ampicillin resistance gene. All me internal deletions were generated by use of inverse PCR (Hemsley et al. 1989). In each PCR reaction, the pAM–rne plasmid was amplified with primer sets located back to back on the me sequence. The upstream oligonucleotides used to construct: Rne18 and Rnec11 were mec369 and mec2064, respectively, and the downstream oligonucleotide was mec3676 (Table 3). For Rne12, the oligonucleotides were mec2064 and mec2422. For Rne15, the oligonucleotides were mec1192 and mec3676. The upstream primers for Rne13, Rne14, Rne16, and Rne18 were mec2064, mec2445, mec2754, and mec2721, respectively. The same downstream primer, mec3076, was used for these deletions. PCR to amplify long templates was performed as in Cheng et al. (1994) except that Taq Polymerase (Stratagene) were used in a ratio of 2.5–0.05 units. PCR products were gel purified, 3′-end blunted and 5′-end phosphorylated with T4 DNA polymerase and T4 polynucleotide kinase (Biolabs), self-ligated, and used to transform DH5α. Two independent clones, containing the appropriate deletion, complementing the PBR1 strain at 42°C.
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and expressing a mutant protein of the correct size, were further analyzed in the communopurification experiments. In each case, both clones gave the same result and only one is presented in Figure 3.

Immunopurification of the RNA degradosome from the PBRN1 strain

 Cultures (100 ml) were grown at 42°C to stationary phase. Partially purified protein extracts were prepared as described above for the overexpressed degradosomal proteins. The ammonium sulfate pellets were suspended in 200 μl of Buffer A (with 300 mM NaCl throughout this protocol) (Carpousis et al. 1994). The protein concentration was estimated by the optical density at 280 nm.

A anti-RNase E or anti-PNPase antibodies were cross-linked to protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia) as follows. All incubations were at 4°C with gentle agitation. The beads were incubated for 1 hr with the polyclonal rabbit antiseraum, washed three times with 10 vol of CLB (cross-linking buffer–20 mM Na2HPO4, 5 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA), suspended in 5 vol of CLB containing 1% glutaraldehyde and incubated for another hour, and washed three times with 10 vol of CLB and twice with 10 vol of buffer A. These beads were then incubated with 2 μg of protein for 2 hr, washed three times with buffer A, suspended in 200 μl of SDS-PAGE loading buffer without β-mercaptoethanol, and heated for 15 min at 55°C. These conditions prevented the release of the antibodies. The beads were removed by centrifugation, and the supernatants were boiled for 3 min with β-mercaptoethanol to denature the proteins completely. Silver staining was performed as in Monod et al. (1997).

Mutagenesis of the RhlB DEAD motif to DKAD

The mutation was created by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis. A PCR product encoding amino acids 159–383 of the RhlB protein was generated with primers rhlB1282R and rhlB607K (see Table 3; the lowercase a shows the substitution rhlB607K → rhlB1282R) (Vanzo et al. 1998). The mutation was created by oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis. A PCR product encoding amino acids 159–383 of the RhlB protein was generated with primers rhlB1282R and rhlB607K (see Table 3; the lowercase a shows the substitution rhlB607K → rhlB1282R) (Vanzo et al. 1998).
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