Functionally interacting telomerase RNAs in the yeast telomerase complex

John Prescott and Elizabeth H. Blackburn¹

Departments of Microbiology and Immunology, and Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94143-0414 USA

The ribonucleoprotein (RNP) enzyme telomerase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* adds telomeric DNA to chromosomal ends in short increments both in vivo and in vitro. Whether or not telomerase functions as a multimer has not been addressed previously. Here we show, first, that following polymerization, the telomerase RNP remains stably bound to its telomeric oligonucleotide reaction product. We then exploit this finding and a previously reported mutant telomerase RNA to demonstrate that, unexpectedly, the *S. cerevisiae* telomerase complex contains at least two functionally interacting RNA molecules that both act as templates for DNA polymerization. Here, functional telomerase contains at least two active sites.

[Key Words: Telomerase; yeast; dimer; nondissociative; nonprocessive]

Received May 28, 1997; revised version accepted September 12, 1997.

Maintenance of telomeres in most eukaryotes involves replenishment of telomeric DNA using telomerase, a highly specialized cellular reverse transcriptase with a short segment of its RNA subunit as a template for the polymerization of telomeric DNA (Yu et al. 1990; for review, see Greider 1996). In most eukaryotes, telomeric DNA consists of direct repeats of a simple sequence that is typically G-rich on the strand extending 5' → 3' toward the telomeric terminus (TTAGGG in vertebrates, T(G)₂₋₃(TG)₁₋₆ in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; for review, see Henderson 1995). Although the bulk of telomeric DNA is double stranded, the extreme terminus contains a 3' single-stranded overhang during part, if not all, of the cell cycle (Klobutcher et al. 1981; Henderson and Blackburn 1989; Wellinger et al. 1993; Makarov et al. 1997). Proteins that bind sequence specifically to double-stranded telomeric DNA (Shore 1994; Chong et al. 1995; Promisel Cooper et al. 1997) are involved in regulating telomere length, which suggests that the telomeric DNA-protein complex controls the action of telomerase at the telomeric terminus (Krauskopf and Blackburn 1996; Li and Lustig 1996; Promisel Cooper et al. 1997; van Steensel and de Lange 1997). Telomere maintenance is important because telomeres provide many functions to the cell: They "cap" and protect chromosome ends (Blackburn 1994; Zakian 1995), may mediate proper chromosome separation in mitosis, and may be involved in positioning chromosomes within the nucleus (Dernberg et al. 1995). Removal of a telomere in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* results in rapid chromosome loss (Sandell and Zakian 1993), and mutating the template sequence of telomerase RNA in *Tetrahymena* blocks cells in late

anaphase, preventing chromosome segregation (Kirk et al. 1997). Telomere-nuclear envelope associations and telomere-telomere associations are well documented phenomena in both mitotic and meiotic cells, although neither their function nor the mechanisms by which they occur are well understood (Dernberg et al. 1995).

Telomerase activity has been identified from a wide variety of eukaryotes (Greider and Blackburn 1985; Zahler and Prescott 1988; Morin 1989; Shippen-Lentz and Blackburn 1989; Prowse et al. 1993; Cohn and Blackburn 1995; Fitzgerald et al. 1996). Telomerase from *Tetrahymena* contains a 159-nucleotide RNA and at least two protein subunits of 80 and 95 kD (Greider and Blackburn 1989; Collins et al. 1995). Mammalian telomerases contain an ~450-nucleotide RNA and at least one protein, an ~250-kD protein subunit with homology to *Tetrahymena* p80 (Blasco et al. 1995; Feng et al. 1995; Harrington et al. 1997; Nakayama et al. 1997). A second likely protein subunit of human telomerase, which contains conserved reverse transcriptase motifs and homology to the only known protein subunit of yeast telomerase, was identified recently (Meyerson et al. 1997; Nakamura et al. 1997). *S. cerevisiae* telomerase contains a 1.3-kb RNA (TLC1) (Singer and Gottschling 1994) and at least one protein component, the 103-kD Est2p (Lendvay et al. 1996; Lingner et al. 1997).

Previously, we characterized a series of mutant telomerases containing base changes in the template domain of the TLC1 RNA (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). Most of these mutant enzymes were active and could stably maintain telomeres, albeit in a somewhat shortened form. However, one template mutation, 476GUG, destroyed telomerase activity both in vivo and in vitro (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). This mutation caused progressive telomere shortening, slow growth, and even-

¹Corresponding author.
E-MAIL porter@itsa.ucsf.edu; FAX (415) 476-8201.

Yeast telomerase acts as a nondissociative dimer

tual cellular senescence, phenotypes characteristic of yeast cells unable to replenish their telomeric DNA (Lundblad and Szostak 1989; Singer and Gottschling 1994; McEachern and Blackburn 1995). However, unexpectedly, coexpressing the mutant and wild-type telomerase RNAs caused restoration of activity of the *tlc1-476GUG* mutant telomerase RNA, both in vivo and in vitro.

Here we show that telomerase in *S. cerevisiae* is active in a multimeric form containing at least two functional RNAs in a single telomerase ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex. We demonstrate that this functioning as a multimer is required for wild-type TLC1 RNA to restore activity of 476GUG telomerase, demonstrating that the active sites interact with each other. Following even very limited polymerization in vitro, this multimeric polymerase remains stably bound to its DNA oligonucleotide reaction product. These results suggest the possibility that following telomere elongation telomerase may remain bound to the telomere and hence might contribute to capping functions and telomere–telomere interactions.

Results

S. cerevisiae telomerase exhibits single turnover kinetics

We and others have shown that in vitro, *S. cerevisiae* telomerase polymerizes primarily a single, often incomplete round of TLC1 RNA-templated primer elongation under a variety of conditions (Cohn and Blackburn 1995; Lingner et al. 1997; Prescott and Blackburn 1997). This preponderance of single-round reaction products suggested three possibilities: (1) Reaction products dissociate readily from telomerase and are not further elongated because of competition by excess primer; (2) telomerase is inherently limited to one round of extension and must be reactivated before additional extension cycles; or (3) reaction products remain tightly associated with telomerase but fail to translocate, preventing subsequent rounds of elongation. To distinguish between these possibilities, we first analyzed the kinetics of the telomerase reaction. Using the DNA primer shown in Figure 1A, polymerization to the end of the template sequence in the TLC1 telomerase RNA produced reaction products extended primarily by up to 7 nucleotides, as described previously (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). Product yield increased linearly with increasing enzyme concentration, indicating that enzyme was limiting in these reactions (Fig. 1B). Varying the primer concentration indicated that the apparent K_m for the reaction was well below the primer concentration used in standard assays (Fig. 1C). However, under reaction conditions in which enzyme was limiting and primer in vast excess, total product yield reached a plateau by ~1–2 min (Fig. 1D,E). During the reaction, the product profile gradually shifted, from products initially extended mainly by 3–5 nucleotides (referred to as +3 to +5 products) to more predominant +5 to +7 products late in the reaction (Fig.

Figure 1. *S. cerevisiae* telomerase exhibits single turnover kinetics. (A) Optimal alignment between the TLC1 RNA templating domain and the standard 14-nucleotide primer. (B,C) Products from in vitro telomerase reactions containing 0.1, 0.3, 1, 3, or 10 μl (~30–3000 fM TLC1 RNA) (B, lanes 1–5) wild-type telomerase, and 0, 0.001, 0.003, 0.01, 0.03, 0.1, 0.3, or 1.0 μM primer (C, lanes 1–8). Terminal transferase labeled primer (lane M) marks the primer +1 position. (D) Products from in vitro telomerase reactions incubated for various time periods. (E) The total of the seven major reaction products in D are quantitated, in arbitrary units. (F) Products from a 2-min reaction (lane 1) followed by an additional 28-min incubation with (lane 2) or without (lane 3) excess unlabeled dTTP.

1D, cf. first five lanes with last five lanes). In pulse/chase experiments (chased with excess unlabeled dTTP), the +4 and +5 labeled products were chased into longer (+6 and +7) products (Fig. 1F, cf. lanes 1 and 2). Such results are not predicted if telomerase undergoes multiple rounds of dissociative primer elongation. Instead, they were consistent with either telomerase being inherently limited to a single round of telomere extension, requiring “reactivation” before further catalysis, or with telomerase remaining functionally associated with its newly elongated primer in a manner that prevents further elongation.

S. cerevisiae telomerase fails to release its reaction product

To test directly whether telomerase remains stably associated with its reaction product, following a polymerization reaction the reaction mix was size-fractionated using gel filtration chromatography. Conditions were used in which the large telomerase enzyme complex elutes in the void volume, ahead of unincorporated α - ^{32}P -labeled nucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) and free, product-length oligonucleotides. As shown in Figure 2A, a shoulder of ^{32}P label (fractions 13–16) eluted ahead of the unincorporated ^{32}P dNTPs (fractions 17 and higher), suggesting that the short (15- to 21-nucleotide) ^{32}P -labeled reaction products remained associated with a large complex. Strikingly, no detectable telomerase reaction products eluted after these shoulder fractions, in the region where nontelomeric ^{32}P -labeled oligonucleotides of the same size as the reaction products eluted, as shown by denaturing acrylamide gel electrophoresis (Fig. 2B, fractions 19–22; data not shown). Instead, the shoulder fractions contained all of the ^{32}P -labeled telomerase reaction products (Fig. 2B, fractions 12–17). These telomerase reaction products coeluted with the telomerase RNP, as shown by analyzing aliquots of the same column fractions by nondenaturing gel electrophoresis. The discrete band of ^{32}P -labeled products present in the shoulder fractions (Fig. 2C, fractions 13–16, arrow) comigrated exactly on the native gel with the telomerase RNP, as determined by transfer to a nytran membrane and hybridization to a ^{32}P -labeled *TLC1* gene probe (Fig. 2D, fractions 13–16, arrow). Furthermore, the elution profile of this discrete band across the fractions (Fig. 2C) coincided with the elution profiles of both the telomerase RNP (Fig. 2D) and the bona fide oligonucleotide

telomerase reaction products (Fig. 2B). The gel filtration spanned a period of 15 min at 22°C, indicating that the apparent $t_{1/2}$ for all extension products at 22°C is at least 15 min. Gel filtration spanning a period of 1–2 hr at 22°C showed preferential elution of the +1 to +4 elongation products in the region of the column in which free oligonucleotide markers eluted (data not shown), demonstrating that the longer (+5, +6, and +7) reaction products are bound more stably by telomerase than the shorter products. Finally, UV cross-linking of these shoulder fractions produced a single ^{32}P -labeled protein species of ~103 kD (Fig. 2E). This protein is discussed below. We conclude that the *S. cerevisiae* telomerase RNP remains stably bound to its reaction product following a single, often incomplete, round of extension.

Stable enzyme–product complex formation requires interactions besides RNA template–DNA product base-pairing

The stable binding of the telomerase RNP to its DNA product predicted that a “challenge” primer, added after the initial extension reaction, would be unable to compete with the bound product for the enzyme’s active site and therefore would not be elongated. This was tested by primer challenge reactions initiated with a telomeric primer, present in large excess over telomerase, dGTP, [α - ^{32}P]dTTP, and limiting enzyme, incubated for (typically) 7 min, followed by addition of a telomeric challenge primer of a different length and further incubation. The sets of products from each primer were distinguishable because of their different lengths. In the experiment shown in Figure 3A, each of the primers used as the initiating primer was efficiently elongated when it was

Figure 2. Telomerase remains stably bound to its primer substrate following polymerization. (A–C) Products from an in vitro telomerase reaction were separated on Sephacryl S-300, and aliquots of each fraction were counted in a scintillation counter (A) before being either separated on a 15% acrylamide/8 M urea gel (B), or a 3% acrylamide/0.6% agarose native gel (C) and exposed to film. (D) The gel in C was transferred to nytran, hybridized to a labeled *TLC1* DNA probe, and exposed to film ~30-fold shorter than in C. Lane numbers correspond to fraction numbers. The arrows in C and D align with each other and mark the position of the telomerase RNP. (E) Either the first third (lane 1) or all (lanes 2–4) of the telomerase containing Sephacryl S-300 fractions were pooled, UV irradiated, and separated on 9% SDS-PAGE. Control reactions were incubated with RNase A prior to (lane 3), or proteinase K following (lane 4), UV irradiation. The arrow marks an ~103-kD cross-linked protein.

Figure 3. Formation of a stable enzyme–product complex prevents the use of a challenge primer and is not solely dependent on template–substrate base-pairing. (A–E) Products from *in vitro* telomerase reactions initiated with one primer (primer 0', *top* box), with a second primer added halfway through the reaction (primer 7', *bottom* box). Arrows mark the positions of primer +1 reaction products. Unless indicated otherwise (B), all reactions contained dNTPs throughout the reaction. Primers used are 14 (GTGTGGTGTGTGGG), 29 (GGGTGTGGTGTGTGGGTGTGGTGTGTGGG), 14–478 (GTGTGGTGTGCACG), 14–480 (GTGTGGTGTGCACGG), 14* (GTGTGGTGTGTGCA), NT (TAAATTAACAACT), and 5' NT (GACCGCGGTGTGTGGG).

added, either together or in separate reactions, at the beginning of the two-stage reactions (lanes 1,2,5). However, neither of these primers was elongated when it was the second, or challenge, primer added after elongation of the first primer (Fig. 3A, lanes 4,7). The same result was obtained using the primer (TG)₇, which is efficiently utilized by telomerase (Fig. 3E, lane 1). Although this primer has less complementarity to the template, after being elongated in the first incubation period, it completely blocked use of a second primer (Fig. 3E, lane 2). Failure to elongate a second, challenge primer was not due to a short half-life of telomerase activity under the reaction conditions, because incubating telomerase with dNTPs only, omitting primer from the first reaction period still allowed efficient extension of a primer added after that period (Fig. 3A, lanes 3,6). However, strikingly, when telomerase was incubated for the first reaction period in the presence of primer but without dNTPs, and dNTPs were then added along with the challenge primer, there was a large reduction in the subsequent elongation of both primers (Fig. 3B, lane 3). Additionally, allowing telomerase to add only a single nucleotide to the initiating primer during the first incubation period similarly blocked both extension of the challenge primer and further elongation of the initiating primer (Fig. 3B, lane 2).

Together, these findings demonstrate that telomerase exists in two distinct states: an active RNP in an elongation-competent state, and a “stalled” nonelongatable complex with the telomeric DNA primer or product stably bound to it. The finding that preincubation of telomerase in the presence of primer and the absence of dNTPs

is able to prevent elongation of either the initial or the challenge primers suggests that primer binding alone is sufficient to induce this stalled state.

The primer challenge assay enabled us to examine the factors contributing to the formation and stability of the nonproductive stalled telomerase–product complex. First, the role of RNA template–DNA product base-pairing was assessed using two telomeric sequence oligonucleotides, each containing six potential base pairs with the template sequence interrupted by three internal mismatches. Neither primer was efficiently elongated by telomerase (Fig. 3C, lanes 1,4); and neither primer, when present in the first incubation period together with telomerase and dNTPs, prevented use of a second primer added after the first incubation period (Fig. 3C, lanes 3,6). Furthermore, primer 14*, which also has a largely telomeric sequence that can potentially form seven uninterrupted base pairs with the TLC1 templating domain, but cannot be efficiently elongated by telomerase because it contains two mismatches at its 3' end, also was unable to block challenge primer utilization (Fig. 3D, lanes 1,2). Likewise, a completely nontelomeric oligonucleotide, which was not a telomerase substrate, did not prevent the use of the telomeric challenge primer (Fig. 3D, lanes 3,4). Hence, incubation of telomerase in the presence of an excess of an oligonucleotide that had mismatches with the template, or was not a substrate for elongation, did not induce formation of the nonproductive stalled state of telomerase.

The contributions of interactions outside the template region were also assessed, using an initial primer con-

taining nontelomeric residues at its 5' end (5' NT) but with the same nine potential base pairs with the TLC1 RNA template as the standard 14-nucleotide primer. Although primer 5' NT was itself efficiently extended, it also allowed a second, challenge primer to be extended (Fig. 3E, lanes 3,4). Thus, sequences at the 5' end of the primer, internal to the region predicted to anneal to the RNA template, are required to stabilize the telomerase/reaction product complex. This result demonstrates that the stability of the enzyme/product complex is not solely due to Watson-Crick base-pairing between the DNA reaction product and the RNA template domain. Thus, the unusually stable enzyme-product complex we have found in yeast telomerase involves interactions between the DNA product and the telomerase RNP in addition to the predicted Watson-Crick base-pairing between the product and the template of the TLC1 RNA.

The stalled telomerase-telomeric DNA complex described here may be the counterpart of the "dead-end" ternary complex formed by *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase and its product and template following polymerization on certain template sequences (Nudler et al. 1995). Release of the stalled RNA polymerase complex involves cleavage of the 3' end of the product, a reaction that has also been reported for several telomerases, including *S. cerevisiae* telomerase (Collins and Greider 1993; Melek et al. 1996; Prescott and Blackburn 1997). However in the case of *S. cerevisiae* telomerase, frequent stalling has been proposed to be part of the normal action of the enzyme in vivo, as this mode of synthesis can explain the degenerate telomeric repeat seen in *S. cerevisiae* telomeres (Singer and Gottschling 1994; Cohn and Blackburn 1995; Prescott and Blackburn 1997) and

the lack of processivity demonstrated in vivo (Prescott and Blackburn 1997).

The S. cerevisiae telomerase complex has at least two active sites

Previously, we described a mutant template telomerase, 476GUG. In both *tlc1-476GUG* haploid cells (Prescott and Blackburn 1997) and *tlc1-476GUG/tlc1-476GUG* diploid cells (A. dePace, J. Prescott, and E.H. Blackburn, unpubl.), *tlc1-476GUG* RNA is found at normal levels in a stable telomerase RNP complex but telomerase is inactive. However, 476GUG telomerase activity was restored, both in vivo and in vitro, by coexpressing wild-type TLC1 RNA gene with the mutant *tlc1-476GUG* RNA gene (i.e., in *TLC1/tlc1-476GUG* heterozygous diploids; Prescott and Blackburn 1997). These observations suggested that the restoration of activity to the *tlc1-476GUG*-containing telomerase requires assembly of this mutant RNA into an active RNP in the presence of wild-type TLC1 RNA. Therefore we tested a possible explanation for this observation that has not been suggested previously, namely that telomerase acts as a multimer (Fig. 4A). Estimates of the macromolecular form of the telomerase RNP have been confounded by the lack of suitable RNP standards for comparison. The partially purified *S. cerevisiae* telomerase complex characterized here sedimented in a glycerol gradient as a single symmetric peak (Fig. 4B), indicating a single predominant form of the enzyme (i.e., either monomeric or dimeric). The sedimentation coefficient of this telomerase preparation (24S), was comparable to that reported for unfractionated *S. cerevisiae* telomerase (Lingner et al. 1997).

Figure 4. Telomerase is active as a dimer. (A) Model of dimeric (left) or monomeric (right) telomerase in the presence of 5'-biotinylated (top) and nonbiotinylated (bottom) primers. (B) TLC1 RNA content (arbitrary units) of telomerase separated on a 25% (fraction 93) to 45% (fraction 1) glycerol gradient. Arrows indicate positions of thyroglobulin (698 kD), ferritin (418 kD), catalase (206 kD), and aldolase (167 kD). (C) Products from in vitro telomerase reactions (lanes 1-3) were incubated with streptavidin and separated into unbound (lanes 4-6) and bound (lanes 7-9) fractions. Primers used are 14 (GTGTG-GTGTGTGGG) and B42 (GGGTGTGGT-GTGTGGGTGTGTGTGGGTGTGGTGTGGT-GTGTGGG, biotinylated at the 5' end). Arrows indicate primer +1 products.

Although the size of telomerase prepared from several species has been estimated using gel filtration chromatography or glycerol gradient sedimentation (Lingner and Cech 1996; Lingner et al. 1997; Nakayama et al. 1997; Wang and Blackburn 1997), these measurements do not distinguish monomeric from dimeric complexes. The nondissociative behavior of *S. cerevisiae* telomerase described above, together with the ability to distinguish between utilization of wild-type RNA and 476GUG mutant RNA utilization, allowed us to test directly the possibility that the *S. cerevisiae* telomerase RNP contains more than one active site.

Wild-type telomerase was incubated with two telomeric primers, one biotinylated at its 5' end and the other unbiotinylated. The sets of products from each primer were distinguishable because of their different lengths. Both primers, together or separately, were elongated by telomerase in vitro (Fig. 4C, lanes 1–3), although with both primers present in the same reaction, the unbiotinylated primer was used four times more than the biotinylated primer (Fig. 4C, lane 2; see Materials and Methods). If a single telomerase RNP contains more than one active site (Fig. 4A, dimer), then reaction products of both the biotinylated and the nonbiotinylated primers will copurify on streptavidin. In contrast, if telomerase contains a single active site (Fig. 4A, monomer), then only the biotinylated reaction products will bind to streptavidin. With both primers present in the same elongation reaction, a subset of the nonbiotinylated products copurified with the biotinylated products on streptavidin (Fig. 4C, cf. bracketed bands in lane 8 with lane 2). Quantitation of the bound ³²P-labeled products showed that for every biotinylated reaction product bound, no more than one reaction product from the unbiotinylated primer was bound to streptavidin (Fig. 4C, lane 8), despite the fourfold excess of total unbiotinylated reaction products (Fig. 4C, lane 2). This is the result expected if coretenion on streptavidin depends on the nondissociative elongation of one biotinylated and one unbiotinylated primer by the same telomerase RNP (Fig. 4A, dimer). The efficiency of copurification of the nonbiotinylated reaction products on streptavidin was almost exactly that predicted for a polymerase with two active sites (see Materials and Methods). Furthermore, the preferential coretenion of the +5, +6, and +7 unbiotinylated reaction products was consistent with the length dependence for the most stable enzyme-product association described above. This preferential coretenion of specific telomerase products also demonstrated that coretenion was not the result of nonspecific interactions between biotinylated and nonbiotinylated oligonucleotides but, rather, was limited to oligonucleotides that had been extended by telomerase. In control reactions containing only one primer substrate, the biotinylated reaction products bound to streptavidin while the unbiotinylated ones did not, as expected (Fig. 4C, cf. lanes 3, 6, and 9, upper bands, and cf. lanes 1, 4, and 7, lower bands). From the specific coretenion of unbiotinylated with biotinylated reaction products on streptavidin, we conclude that the active *S. cerevisiae* telomerase RNP complex contains two or more active sites.

These combined data are most simply consistent with a homogeneous telomerase complex containing two active sites, although it cannot be ruled out that this complex is trimeric or tetrameric.

The 476GUG mutant telomerase RNA is only active in a telomerase complex containing wild-type telomerase RNA

As described above, the 476GUG telomerase RNA cannot function alone but is functional when coexpressed in the same cell with wild-type telomerase RNA. We used the same assay described in the previous section to test whether this transactivation of the 476GUG telomerase required that the 476GUG RNA be present in the same heterodimeric complex with wild-type TLC1 RNA. Telomerase was prepared from *TLC1/tlc1-476GUG* diploid cells and incubated with a 40-nucleotide biotinylated primer (B40*) specific for the 476GUG template mutant telomerase, in the presence of either wild-type-specific (14) or mutant-specific (14*) unbiotinylated primer. If the 476GUG template was utilized by a heterodimeric (wild-type/476GUG) enzyme, then wild-type specific (14) reaction products would copurify with B40* reaction products on streptavidin (Fig. 5, A, top, and B, lanes 4–6). Similarly, if homodimeric 476GUG/476GUG telomerase was active, then the mutant-specific (14*) reaction products would also copurify with B40* reaction products (Fig. 5, A, bottom, and B, lanes 1–3). The various combinations of biotinylated and unbiotinylated, wild-type-specific and mutant-specific, primers were tested in reactions with telomerase from *TLC/tlc1-476GUG* cells (Fig. 5; data not shown). Strikingly, while the wild-type-specific unbiotinylated reaction products copurified with the mutant-specific biotinylated reaction products, mutant-specific unbiotinylated reaction products did not (Fig. 5B, cf. lanes 3 and 6, bracket). This result demonstrated that while 476GUG RNA templates the addition of telomeric DNA in wild-type/476GUG heterodimeric telomerase, 476GUG/476GUG homodimers were nonfunctional. This finding further demonstrated that coretenion of biotinylated and unbiotinylated products does not result from nonspecific interactions between DNA reaction products, including DNA-DNA interactions that might have occurred on the enzyme complex. The inactive, homodimeric 476GUG/476GUG telomerase isolated from *tlc1-476GUG* haploids was assembled into an RNP complex that appeared indistinguishable from wild-type telomerase, as assessed by its comigration with wild-type telomerase in native gel electrophoresis (Fig. 5C). Hence, even by itself the 476GUG telomerase RNA is capable of assembly into a normally migrating RNP complex, but the complex is enzymatically inactive. In summary, we conclude that the mutant 476GUG telomerase RNA template can only be utilized when assembled into an RNP telomerase complex that also contains wild-type telomerase RNA.

Figure 5. 476GUG telomerase is only active as a 476GUG/WT heterodimer. (A) Model of 476GUG/WT (wild type) heterodimeric (*top*) or 476GUG/476GUG homodimeric (*bottom*) telomerase in the presence of biotinylated and nonbiotinylated primers. (B) Products from in vitro telomerase reactions were incubated with the indicated primers, bound to streptavidin, washed, and eluted. Primers used are either mutant specific (B40*, GTGTGGTGTGTGGGTGTGGTGTGTGGGTGTGTGTGTGCA, biotinylated at the 5' end, or 14*, GTGTGGTGTGTGTGCA), or wild-type-specific (14, GTGTGGTGTGTGGG). Arrows indicate primer +1 products. Control reactions containing only a single primer show that binding to streptavidin is dependent on the presence of biotin (*cf.* lanes 1 and 4 with biotinylated primers with lanes 2 and 5 with nonbiotinylated primers). (C) Telomerase prepared from *TLC1* haploids, *tlc1-476GUG* haploids, or *TLC1/tlc1-476GUG* diploids was separated, along with a 1:1 mixture of the two haploid enzyme preparations, on a 3% acrylamide/0.6% agarose native gel, transferred to nylon, and hybridized to a labeled *TLC1* DNA probe.

Discussion

Yeast telomerase and other polymerases

Here we have taken advantage of the finding that *S. cerevisiae* telomerase forms a stable complex with its telomeric DNA product to demonstrate that this telomerase RNP contains at least two active polymerization sites. Hence, this telomerase is active as a multimeric, most likely dimeric, polymerase (Fig. 6). This is the first such demonstration for any telomerase. We were prompted to test the possibility of a functional higher order structure for telomerase by our previous genetic results with the 476GUG telomerase RNA mutant of *S. cerevisiae*: This mutant telomerase RNA was functional in vivo and in vitro only when coexpressed with another, functional telomerase RNA (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). Further-

more, restoration of 476GUG RNA function is allele specific: Two mutated telomerase RNAs, the template-region mutants 467GUG and 472GUG, are competent to restore 476GUG function, whereas two other telomerase RNA template mutants, 478GUG and 480GUG, fail to do so (J. Prescott, E.H. Blackburn, A. dePace, and S. Chan, unpubl.). These novel findings provide the first evidence for functional interaction and interdependence between two different telomerase RNA molecules in the telomerase RNP.

The action of DNA polymerases in the form of dimers is a commonly recurring theme. A dimeric yeast telomerase is a possible parallel to dimeric chromosomal replicases, which carry out coordinated leading and lagging strand DNA syntheses at the replication fork. This has been shown directly for the *E. coli* polymerase III holoenzyme complex (Stukenberg and O'Donnell 1995), which contains two active polymerases tethered to each other by the τ dimer (Studwell-Vaughan and O'Donnell 1991; Onrust et al. 1995). Despite the disparate functions of its two core polymerases, this holoenzyme is symmetric, with both core polymerases capable of polymerizing

Figure 6. Model of dimeric yeast telomerase bound to two telomeric substrates. The two telomeric substrates have both been partially extended by the two active sites in a single telomerase RNP. Stable association of the enzyme complex with the telomeric substrates is mediated both by Watson-Crick interactions between the telomeric DNA and template RNA and by interactions between the telomeric DNA and a second primer binding site (open spaces containing primers) in the RNP. The extent of the single-stranded telomeric 3' overhang, shown here as ~25 nucleotides, is not known.

leading strand synthesis in vitro (Yuzhakov et al. 1997). HIV-1, HIV-2, and Rous sarcoma virus (RSV) contain dimeric reverse transcriptases (RTs) (Hottiger and Hub-scher 1996). However, unlike yeast telomerase, only one active site (that in the 66-kD subunit) in the two sub-units of HIV-1 RT has polymerase activity (Restle et al. 1992), even though the 51-kD proteolytically derived subunit (Farmerie et al. 1987; Mous et al. 1988) contains all of the RT active site residues.

Specificity and stability of the telomerase-product complex

We have demonstrated that the telomerase RNP remains tightly associated with its telomeric reaction products, in a complex that is stable to gel filtration, native gel electrophoresis, and affinity chromatography. Furthermore, the stability of this complex is determined by additional interactions between the primer and enzyme besides the predicted Watson-Crick base-pairing interactions between the 3' end of the primer and the template domain of the TLC1 RNA. Purification of this enzyme-product complex away from free substrate and dNTPs allowed us to specifically cross-link reaction products, which had been ³²P-labeled by telomerase at the 3' end (i.e., at the enzyme's active site), to an ~103-kD protein subunit of the telomerase RNP. Candidates for this labeled protein are the 103-kD Est2p, a protein containing conserved RT motifs that is required for telomere maintenance in vivo and for telomerase activity in vitro (Lendvay et al. 1996; Lingner et al. 1997), and the 103-kD Cdc13p, which binds single-stranded telomeric DNA in vitro (Nugent et al. 1996). However, in these experiments any Cdc13p that may have been present would have been competed for by the vast excess of unlabeled telomeric DNA primer oligonucleotide. Hence, the ~103-kD cross-linked protein is likely to be Est2p, consistent with Est2p being the catalytic protein subunit of *S. cerevisiae* telomerase.

A nondissociative dimeric telomerase can account for previous in vivo results with template mutants

The data reported here suggest that rather than dissociating prematurely from the telomere, as has been proposed previously (Singer and Gottschling 1994; Cohn and Blackburn 1995), the elongating *S. cerevisiae* telomerase has a high probability of relaxing into an unproductive, stalled conformation containing bound telomeric DNA. This can occur after binding a telomeric substrate and also after each polymerization step along the template. Our data suggest that the association between telomeric DNA and the telomerase RNP is stabilized by interactions of the newly synthesized telomeric DNA with both the RNA template and some other component of telomerase. These findings raise the possibility that telomerase may be "clamped" to the telomere during at least some fraction of the cell cycle, perhaps helping to cap the newly synthesized 3' overhang. This model can account for two hitherto poorly understood observations on telomeres in vivo.

First, telomeric DNA synthesized in vivo in the presence of both a wild-type and a template mutant telomerase contains a highly nonrandom distribution of wild-type and mutant repeats: nearly all of the mutant repeats were clustered into tracts of closely interspersed mutant and wild-type repeats. Such clustering is consistent with synthesis by a dimeric telomerase in which the second template has a high probability of being the next one copied. This finding implies that the telomerase complex spends sufficient time at the telomere at which it has recently acted to increase the probability that the same complex is used for the next elongation event. The telomeric DNA synthesized in vivo in this situation has patterns consistent with all the properties of the telomerase reaction we have observed in vitro (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). Most of the newly added telomeric DNA consisted of uninterrupted tracts of wild-type repeats, consistent with the in vitro observation that the mutant telomerases are less active than the wild-type enzyme (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). Telomere addition by wild-type homodimers, which are likely to be the most active form of the enzyme, would generate the purely wild-type telomeric tracts, whereas addition by mutant/wild-type heterodimers would give rise to the interspersed wild-type and mutant repeats. The lack of long stretches of uninterrupted mutant repeats are consistent with both the inherently low processivity of yeast telomerase and the observed decreased activity of the mutant homodimers.

A second unexpected in vivo result is that senescence in *tlc1-476GUG* cells began when telomeres were ~100 bp longer than the short, but stable, telomeres seen in other template mutant strains analyzed that showed no signs of senescence (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). This observation suggests that the early onset of senescence of the *tlc1-476GUG* cells is not due solely to telomere shortening below some critical length. We propose that in *tlc1-476GUG* cells, the lack of a telomerase RNP that would normally be present on the telomere following polymerization could contribute to the early senescence. Proteins that specifically bind the telomeric 3' overhang and could act in the capping of the telomere have been characterized in the ciliated protozoa *Euplotes crassus* and *Oxytricha nova* (Gottschling and Zakian 1986; Price and Cech 1987; Price 1990). Although proteins have been identified in *Xenopus* (Cardenas et al. 1993), *Chlamydomonas* (Petracek et al. 1994), and *S. cerevisiae* (Lin and Zakian 1994; Konkel et al. 1995) based on their ability to bind telomeric G-strand single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) in vitro, their roles and presence at telomeres are unknown, and these *S. cerevisiae* proteins are not essential for telomere maintenance. Two additional *S. cerevisiae* proteins that are required for normal telomere maintenance in vivo, Cdc13p and Est1p, bind telomeric G-strand ssDNA in vitro (Nugent et al. 1996; Virta-Pearlman et al. 1996), although their presence at telomeres has not been tested. The novel properties we described here for *S. cerevisiae* telomerase suggest that this RNP polymerase might itself be a structural component of a telomere cap, helping to protect the newly synthesized

Prescott and Blackburn

3' overhang from recombination and degradation activities. Telomerase may remain stably bound to the telomere throughout all or part of the cell cycle, may be displaced by a replication fork or helicase during DNA replication, or may be replaced at some point in the cell cycle by putative end-binding proteins such as Cdc13p and Est1p.

Possible roles for higher-order telomerase interactions in telomere–telomere association

Associations between telomeres have been observed in both meiotic and mitotic cells of many eukaryotes (Chikashige et al. 1994; Dernberg et al. 1995; Gotta et al. 1996; Scherthan et al. 1996; Kirk et al. 1997). The demonstration here that a single yeast telomerase enzyme complex can remain stably associated with two different telomeric oligonucleotide reaction products opens the possibility that the telomerase RNP is involved in mediating telomere–telomere associations in vivo (Fig. 6). In *S. cerevisiae*, telomeres cluster in the nucleus, and although they colocalize with Rap1p, Sir3p, and Sir4p (Gotta et al. 1996), deletion of either *SIR3* or *SIR4* does not prevent telomere clustering (Palladino et al. 1993). It was recently demonstrated that expressing a template mutant telomerase in *Tetrahymena* cells caused a block in late anaphase, with newly replicated chromosomes becoming stretched to up to twice their normal length and failing to separate, suggesting aberrant telomere–telomere associations (Kirk et al. 1997). A telomerase containing two or more active sites, each of which remains stably bound to its newly elongated product at the telomeric terminus, is one candidate for the “glue” that initiates, facilitates, or even mediates normal or abnormal telomere–telomere associations. It will be interesting to see how telomere association is affected in cells lacking functionally interacting telomerase RNAs.

Materials and methods

Extract preparation, fractionation, and in vitro telomerase reactions

Whole-cell extracts were prepared, fractionated on DEAE-agarose, and concentrated 8- to 10-fold, as described previously (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). Unless indicated otherwise, reactions containing 50% (vol/vol) DEAE fraction, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8), 1 mM spermidine, 1 mM DTT, 7.5 μ M [α - 32 P]dTTP (400 Ci/mmol), 10 μ M each unlabeled dNTP, and 1 μ M primer were incubated at 30°C for 30 min, and analyzed as described previously (Prescott and Blackburn 1997). In the time course reaction, all reaction components were prewarmed to 30°C before being mixed together, and aliquots were stopped with one-tenth volume of stop buffer (2% SDS; 250 mM EDTA; 250 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.7) at the indicated times. Primer challenge reactions were incubated for 7 min with the initiating primer followed by another 7 min with the challenge primer. Reaction products were quantified using a Molecular Dynamics PhosphorImager. Product yield represents the sum of the +1 through +7 reaction products, taking into account the different specific activities of the variously elongated reaction products, and is expressed in arbitrary units.

Sephacryl S-300 filtration chromatography, native gel electrophoresis, and Northern analysis

Seventy-five-microliter reactions were incubated at 30°C for 7 min, loaded onto a 2-ml Sephacryl S-300 column (Pharmacia), and eluted in TMG. Half of each 60- μ l fraction was Cerenkov counted and then separated on a 15% denaturing acrylamide gel. In addition to the +1 to +7 telomerase reaction products shown, reactions contained high-molecular-weight, RNase-insensitive, primer-independent, nontelomerase reaction products (data not shown). The other half was loaded onto a 3% acrylamide (80:1 acrylamide/bis-acrylamide)/0.6% agarose nondenaturing gel and electrophoresed in 50 mM Tris-acetate at 200 V. Following a 48-hr exposure to film, the gel was incubated in 50% urea for 2 min and transferred to Hybond Plus nylon membrane (Amersham) in 0.5 $\times$ TBE. The membrane was first exposed to film for 2 hr and then hybridized to a 32 P-labeled 1.3-kb DNA fragment containing the TLC1 gene, according to Church and Gilbert and re-exposed to film for 2 hr. The heterogeneously sized reaction products are assumed to be the nontelomerase-generated reaction products mentioned above and described previously (Cohn and Blackburn 1995; Prescott and Blackburn 1997).

UV cross-linking

In vitro telomerase reactions containing 1 μ M GGTGTGGTGTGUGGG and 1 μ M GGUGTGGTGTGTGGG (U is 5' IdU) were separated on Sephacryl S-300 as described above. Fifty-microliter fractions were collected in a 96-well plate and exposed to 254 nm UV light (Stratagene Stratalinker) for 5 min on ice. Void volume fractions eluting prior to free oligonucleotides and [32 P]dNTPs were pooled, digested with 20 μ g/ml of RNase A and 0.005 units of DNase I (Promega), precipitated with 10% TCA and 6% acetone, separated on a 9% acrylamide SDS gel, and exposed to film.

Glycerol gradient sedimentation

Seventy-five microliter telomerase containing DEAE fraction (Prescott and Blackburn 1997) was loaded onto a 12-ml 25%–45% glycerol gradient containing 0.1% Triton X-100 in the presence of 3 mg/ml each of thyroglobulin, ferritin, catalase, and aldolase and centrifuged at 40,000 rpm for 24 hr in an SW41 rotor at 4°C. Fractions of 130 μ l were collected and TLC1 RNA quantitated by dot blot hybridization with a TLC1 gene probe. Protein size standards were visualized by SDS-PAGE followed by staining with Coomassie brilliant blue.

Streptavidin affinity chromatography

Immobilized NeutrAvidin Plus (Pierce) was preblocked for 30 min at 4°C in TMG containing 0.75 mg/ml each of BSA, lysozyme, casein, and cytochrome c and 0.15 mg/ml each of glycogen, tRNA, and yeast RNA, washed twice (15 minutes each) at 4°C in TMG, and resuspended in TMG containing 0.2 mg/ml of tRNA, 0.1 mg/ml each of nonspecific oligonucleotides (AAC-CCGACTATGCTATTTTAATC and GTACACCACATACCTAATCAAATCCCTATAGTCAGTCGTATTA), 0.2 mg/ml of casein, and 1% Triton X-100. Telomerase reactions were incubated at 30°C for 5 min. Preblocked Immobilized NeutrAvidin Plus (15 μ l/50- μ l DEAE fraction) was then added and reactions were continued for 10 min at 30°C followed by 15 min on ice. The resin was washed three times at 4°C in TMG containing 0.1 mg/ml of casein, 0.5% Triton X-100, and 0.4 M NaOAc, once in the same buffer containing 0.6 M NaOAc at 30°C, and twice at room temperature in the same buffer containing 0.4 M NaOAc. Bound reaction products were eluted by incubation at 65°C

with 0.2% SDS followed by phenol extraction. Total and unbound fractions each represent 10% of the total reaction, avidin-bound fractions represent the remaining 80%.

Quantitation of streptavidin copurification

Reaction products (+1 through +7 bands) were quantitated from two independent experiments on a PhosphorImager. The unbiotinylated 14-474 primer was extended 4.2 times more efficiently than the biotinylated B-42-474 primer when both were present in the same reaction (i.e., 81% unbiotinylated and 19% biotinylated reaction products). From this, we calculate that 66% ($81\% \times 81\%$) of the dimeric polymerases will contain two unbiotinylated reaction products and therefore are not expected to bind to streptavidin; 4% ($19\% \times 19\%$) will contain two biotinylated reaction products; and 31% [$2(81\% \times 19\%)$] will contain one of each, and these complexes can both bind streptavidin. Hence, for a telomerase with two active sites, 39 ($31 + 4 + 4$) biotinylated reaction products are expected to bind streptavidin for every 31 unbiotinylated reaction product, giving a predicted ratio of 1.25:1 biotinylated to unbiotinylated reaction products. Our observed ratio of 2.7:1 can be explained by the fact that only the +5, +6, and +7 reaction products, which account for 50% of the 14-474-derived reaction products, remain bound through the extensive washes of the streptavidin resin.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jagoree Roy, Sandy Johnson, and Tom Cech for critical reading of this manuscript, and members of the Blackburn laboratory for helpful discussions. This work was supported by grants GM26259 and DE11356 from the National Institutes of Health (to E.H.B.). J.P. was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Damon Runyan-Walter Winchell Cancer Fund.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Blackburn, E.H. 1994. Telomeres: No end in sight. *Cell* **77**: 621–623.
- Blasco, M.A., W. Funk, B. Villeponteau, and C.W. Greider. 1995. Functional characterization and developmental regulation of mouse telomerase RNA. *Science* **269**: 1267–1270.
- Cardenas, M.E., A. Bianchi, and T. de Lange. 1993. A *Xenopus* egg factor with DNA-binding properties characteristic of terminus-specific telomeric proteins. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 883–894.
- Chikashige, Y., D.Q. Ding, H. Funabiki, T. Haraguchi, S. Mashiko, M. Yanagida, and Y. Hiraoka. 1994. Telomere-led premeiotic chromosome movement in fission yeast. *Science* **264**: 270–273.
- Chong, L., B. van Steensel, D. Broccoli, H. Erdjument-Bromage, J. Hanish, P. Tempst, and T. de Lange. 1995. A human telomeric protein. *Science* **270**: 1663–1667.
- Cohn, M. and E.H. Blackburn. 1995. Telomerase in yeast. *Science* **269**: 396–400.
- Collins, K. and C.W. Greider. 1993. *Tetrahymena* telomerase catalyzes nucleolytic cleavage and nonprocessive elongation. *Genes & Dev.* **7**: 1364–1376.
- Collins, K., R. Kobayashi, and C.W. Greider. 1995. Purification of *Tetrahymena* telomerase and cloning of genes encoding the two protein components of the enzyme. *Cell* **81**: 677–686.
- Dernberg, A.F., J.W. Sedat, W.Z. Cande, and H.W. Bass. 1995. Cytology of telomeres. In *Telomeres* (ed. E. Blackburn and C. Greider), pp. 96–105. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Farmerie, W.G., D.D. Leob, N.C. Casavant, and C.A.D. Hutchinson. 1987. Expression and processing of the AIDS virus reverse transcriptase in *Escherichia coli*. *Science* **236**: 305–308.
- Feng, J., W.D. Funk, S.S. Wang, S.L. Weinrich, A.A. Avilion, C.P. Chiu, R.R. Adams, E. Chang, R.C. Allsopp, J. Yu et al. 1995. The RNA component of human telomerase. *Science* **269**: 1236–1241.
- Fitzgerald, M.S., T.D. McKnight, and D.E. Shippen. 1996. Characterization and developmental patterns of telomerase expression in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 14422–14427.
- Gotta, M., T. Laroche, A. Formenton, L. Maillet, H. Scherthan, and S. Gasser. 1996. The clustering of telomeres and colocalization with Rap1, Sir3, and Sir4 proteins in wild-type *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J. Cell Biol.* **134**: 1349–1363.
- Gottschling, D.E. and V.A. Zakian. 1986. Telomere proteins: specific recognition and protection of the natural termini of *Oxytricha* macronuclear DNA. *Cell* **47**: 195–205.
- Greider, C.W. 1996. Telomere length regulation. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **65**: 337–365.
- Greider, C.W. and E.H. Blackburn. 1985. Identification of a specific telomere terminal transferase activity in *Tetrahymena* extracts. *Cell* **43**: 405–413.
- . 1989. A telomeric sequence in the RNA of *Tetrahymena* telomerase required for telomere repeat synthesis. *Nature* **337**: 331–337.
- Harrington, L., T. McPhail, V. Mar, W. Zhou, R. Oulton, A.E. Program, M.B. Bass, I. Arruda, and M. Robinson. 1997. A mammalian telomerase-associated protein. *Science* **275**: 973–977.
- Henderson, E. 1995. Telomere DNA structure. In *Telomeres* (ed. E. Blackburn and C. Greider), pp. 11–34. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Hottiger, M. and U. Hubscher. 1996. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase. *Biol. Chem. Hoppe-Seyler* **337**: 97–120.
- Kirk, K.E., B.P. Harmon, I.K. Reichardt, J.W. Sedat, and E.H. Blackburn. 1997. Block in anaphase chromosome separation caused by a telomerase template mutation. *Science* **275**: 1478–1481.
- Klobutcher, L.A., M.T. Swanton, P. Donini, and D.M. Prescott. 1981. All gene sized molecules in four species of hypotrichs have the same terminal sequence and an unusual 3' terminus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **78**: 3015–3019.
- Konkel, L.M., S. Enomoto, E.M. Chamberlain, P. McCune-Zierath, S.J. Iyadurai, and J. Berman. 1995. A class of single-stranded telomeric DNA-binding proteins required for Rap1p localization in yeast nuclei. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 5558–5562.
- Krauskopf, A. and E.H. Blackburn. 1996. Control of telomere growth by interactions of RAP1 with the most distal telomeric repeats. *Nature* **383**: 354–357.
- Lendvay, T.S., D.K. Morris, J. Sah, B. Balasubramanian, and V. Lundblad. 1996. Sequence mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* with a defect in telomere replication identify three additional EST genes. *Genetics* **144**: 1399–1412.
- Li, B. and A.J. Lustig. 1996. A novel mechanism for telomere size control in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 1310–1326.
- Lin, J.J. and V.A. Zakian. 1994. Isolation and characterization of two *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* genes that encode proteins that bind to (TG1-3)_n single strand telomeric DNA in vitro.

Prescott and Blackburn

- Nucleic Acids Res.* **22**: 4906–4913.
- Lingner, J. and T.R. Cech. 1996. Purification of telomerase from *Euplotes aediculatus*: Requirement of a primer 3' overhang. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 10712–10717.
- Lingner, J., T.R. Hughes, A. Shevchenko, M. Mann, V. Lundblad, and T.R. Cech. 1997. Reverse transcriptase motifs in the catalytic subunit of telomerase. *Science* **276**: 561–567.
- Lundblad, V. and J.W. Szostak. 1989. A mutant with a defect in telomere elongation leads to senescence in yeast. *Cell* **57**: 633–643.
- Makarov, V.L., Y. Hirose, and J.P. Langmore. 1997. Long G tails at both ends of human chromosomes suggest a C strand degradation mechanism for telomere shortening. *Cell* **88**: 647–655.
- McEachern, M.J. and E.H. Blackburn. 1995. Runaway telomere elongation caused by telomerase RNA gene mutations. *Nature* **376**: 403–409.
- Melek, M.E., E.C. Greene, and D.E. Shippen. 1996. Processing of nontelomeric 3' ends by telomerase: default template alignment and endonucleolytic cleavage. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16**: 3437–3445.
- Meyerson, M., C.M. Counter, E.N. Eaton, L.W. Ellisen, P. Steiner, S.D. Caddle, L. Ziaugra, R.L. Beijersbergen, M.J. Davidoff, Q. Liu, S. Bacchetti, D.A. Haber, and R.A. Weinberg. 1997. hEST2, the putative human telomerase catalytic subunit gene, is up-regulated in tumor cells and during immortalization. *Cell* **90**: 785–795.
- Morin, G.B. 1989. The human telomere terminal transferase enzyme is a ribonucleoprotein that synthesizes TTAGGG repeat. *Cell* **59**: 521–529.
- Mous, J., E.P. Heimer, and S.F.J. LeGrice. 1988. Processing protease and reverse transcriptase from human immunodeficiency virus type 1 polypeptide in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Virol.* **62**: 1433–1436.
- Nakamura, T.M., G.B. Morin, K.B. Chapman, S.L. Weinrich, W.H. Andrews, J. Lingner, C.B. Harley, and T.R. Cech. 1997. Telomerase catalytic subunit homologs from fission yeast and human. *Science* **277**: 955–959.
- Nakayama, J.-I., M. Saito, H. Nakamura, A. Matsuura, and F. Ishikawa. 1997. TLP1: A gene encoding a protein component of mammalian telomerase is a novel member of WD repeats family. *Cell* **88**: 875–884.
- Nudler, E., M. Kashlev, V. Nikiforov, and A. Goldfarb. 1995. Coupling between transcriptional termination and RNA polymerase inchworming. *Cell* **81**: 351–357.
- Nugent, C.I., T.R. Hughes, N.F. Leu, and V. Lundblad. 1996. Cdc13p: A single strand telomeric DNA-binding protein with a dual role in yeast telomere maintenance. *Science* **274**: 249–252.
- Olovnikov, A.M. 1973. A theory of marginotomy. *J. Theor. Biol.* **41**: 181–190.
- Onrust, R., J. Finkelstein, J. Turner, V. Naktinis, and M. O'Donnell. 1995. Assembly of a chromosomal replication machine: Two DNA polymerases, a clamp loader, and sliding clamps in one holoenzyme particle. III. Interface between two polymerases and the clamp loader. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**: 13366–13377.
- Palladino, F., T. Laroche, E. Gilson, A. Axelrod, L. Pillus, and S.M. Gasser. 1993. SIR3 and SIR4 proteins are required for the positioning and integrity of yeast telomeres. *Cell* **75**: 543–555.
- Petracek, M.E., L.M. Konkel, M.L. Kable, and J. Berman. 1994. A *Chlamydomonas* protein that binds single-stranded G-strand telomere DNA. *EMBO J.* **13**: 3648–3658.
- Prescott, J. and E.H. Blackburn. 1997. Telomerase RNA mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* alter telomerase action and reveal non processivity in vivo and in vitro. *Genes & Dev.* **11**: 528–540.
- Price, C.M. 1990. Telomere structure in *Euplotes crassus*: Characterization of DNA-protein interactions and isolation of a telomere-binding proteins. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **10**: 3421–3431.
- Price, C.M. and T.R. Cech. 1987. Telomeric DNA-protein interactions of *Oxytricha* macronuclear DNA. *Genes & Dev.* **1**: 783–793.
- Promisel Cooper, J., E.R. Nimmo, R.C. Allshire, and T.R. Cech. 1997. Regulation of telomere length and function by a Myb-domain protein in fission yeast. *Nature* **385**: 744–747.
- Prowse, K.R., A.A. Avilion, and C.W. Greider. 1993. Identification of a nonprocessive telomerase activity from mouse cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **90**: 1493–1497.
- Restle, T., B. Muller, and R.S. Goody. 1992. RNase H activity of HIV reverse transcriptase is confined exclusively to the dimeric forms. *FEBS Lett.* **300**: 97–100.
- Sandell, L.L. and V.A. Zakian. 1993. Loss of a yeast telomere: Arrest, recovery, and chromosome loss. *Cell* **75**: 729–739.
- Scherthan, H., S. Weich, H. Schwengler, C. Heyting, M. Harle, and T. Cremer. 1996. Centromere and telomere movements during early meiotic prophase of mouse and man are associated with the onset of chromosome pairing. *J. Cell Biol.* **134**: 1109–1125.
- Shippen-Lentz, D. and E.H. Blackburn. 1989. Telomere terminal transferase activity from *Euplotes crassus* adds large numbers of TTTTGGGG repeats onto telomeric primers. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **9**: 2761–2764.
- Shore, D. 1994. RAP1: A protean regulator in yeast. *Trends Genet.* **10**: 408–412.
- Singer, M.S. and D.E. Gottschling. 1994. TLC1: Template RNA component of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* telomerase. *Science* **266**: 404–409.
- Studwell-Vaughan, P.S. and M. O'Donnell. 1991. Constitution of the twin polymerase of DNA polymerase III holoenzyme. *J. Biol. Chem.* **266**: 19833–19841.
- Stukenberg, P.T. and M. O'Donnell. 1995. Assembly of a chromosomal replication machine: Two DNA polymerases, a clamp loader, and sliding clamps in one holoenzyme particle. V. Four different polymerase-clamp complexes on DNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* **270**: 13384–13391.
- van Steensel, B. and T. de Lange. 1997. Control of telomere length by the human telomeric protein. TRF1. *Nature* **385**: 740–743.
- Virta-Pearlman, V., D.K. Morris, and V. Lundblad. 1996. Est1 has the properties of a single-stranded telomere end-binding protein. *Genes & Dev.* **10**: 3094–3104.
- Wang, H. and E.H. Blackburn. 1997. De novo telomere addition by *Tetrahymena* telomerase in vitro. *EMBO J.* **16**: 866–879.
- Watson, J.D. 1972. Origin of concatomeric T7 DNA. *Nature New Biol.* **239**: 197–201.
- Wellinger, R.J., A.J. Wolf, and V.A. Zakian. 1993. *Saccharomyces* telomeres acquire single-strand TG1-3 tails late in S phase. *Cell* **72**: 51–60.
- Yu, G.L. and E.H. Blackburn. 1991. Developmentally programmed healing of chromosomes by telomerase in *Tetrahymena*. *Cell* **67**: 823–832.
- Yuzhakov, A., J. Turner, and M. O'Donnell. 1997. Replisome assembly reveals the basis for asymmetric function in leading and lagging strand replication. *Cell* **86**: 877–886.
- Zahler, A.M. and D.M. Prescott. 1988. Telomere terminal transferase activity in the hypotrichous ciliate *Oxytricha nova* and a model for replication of the ends of linear DNA molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **16**: 6953–6972.
- Zakian, V.A. 1995. Telomeres: Beginning to understand the end. *Science* **270**: 1601–1607.

Functionally interacting telomerase RNAs in the yeast telomerase complex

John Prescott and Elizabeth H. Blackburn

Genes Dev. 1997, **11**:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.11.21.2790](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.21.2790)

References

This article cites 63 articles, 35 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/11/21/2790.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).