mre11S—a yeast mutation that blocks double-strand-break processing and permits nonhomologous synapsis in meiosis

Knud Nairz¹ and Franz Klein²

Institut für Botanik, Abteilung für Zytologie und Genetik, 1030 Vienna, Austria

During meiotic prophase the repair of self-inflicted DNA double-strand break (DSB) damage leads to meiotic recombination in yeast. We employed a genetic screen to specifically characterize cellular functions that become essential after this DSB formation. As a result a new allele of *MRE11*, termed *mre11S* (for Separation of functions) was isolated that allows initiation but not processing and repair of meiotic DSBs similar to the well-characterized *rad50S* allele. In contrast, the *mre11-1* allele blocks initiation of meiotic DSBs as reported previously by others. The *mre11S* allele, which is mutated in the 5' part of the gene, can partially complement *mre11* alleles disrupted close to the 3' end that cannot initiate DSBs when homozygous. This suggests homodimerization of the Mre11 protein and the presence of separate domains for DSB initiation and 5' resection. The fact that two genes, *RAD50* and *MRE11*, required for DSB processing are also essential for DSB initiation dictates a model in which a bifunctional initiation/repair complex is required to initiate meiotic recombination. A subset of *mre11S* nuclei was shown to perform extensive but partially nonhomologous synapsis. We propose that the unprocessed DSBs present in *mre11S* allow for synapsis, but that homologous synapsis is only ensured at a later stage of recombination.

[Key Words: Meiosis; synaptonemal complex; *MRE11*; recombination; DNA double-strand breaks; *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*]

Received March 7, 1997; revised version accepted July 7, 1997.

All sexually reproducing organisms rely on a specialized cell division called meiosis to ultimately produce gametes for conjugation. During this unique process a single round of DNA replication is followed by two rounds of chromosome segregation, reducing the nuclear content by half. As a result of an elaborate homology search, sorting, and recombination processes, physical connections between homologs are formed. In yeast at least some of the chromosome sorting precedes the initiation of recombination by DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) because pairing of homologous DNA can be observed before DSBs appear and in mutants unable to induce DSBs (Scherthan et al. 1992; Loidl et al. 1994; Weiner and Kleckner 1994). Similarly, hot spots for recombination interact before DSBs are formed, because the degree of their homology was shown to influence the degree of DSB formation (Rocco and Nicolas 1996; Xu and Kleckner 1995). DSB initiation requires the gene products of at least 10 genes, namely *SPO11*, *MRE11*,

RAD50, *XRS2*, *MER2*, *MRE2*, *MEK1/MRE4*, *MEI4*, *REC104*, and *REC114* and, most likely, *REC102* (Alani et al. 1990; Cao et al. 1990; Bhargava et al. 1992; Ivanov et al. 1992; Menees et al. 1992; Johzuka and Ogawa 1995; Ogawa et al. 1995; Rockmill et al. 1995a; Bullard et al. 1996; S. Keeney; N. Leem, N. Satoh, and H. Ogawa, both unpubl.). Recently, evidence has been presented that Spo11p catalyzes DSB formation (Keeney et al. 1997; Bergerat et al. 1997), but the role of the other genes is less clear.

To characterize essential processes in the wake of DSB initiation we performed a mutant hunt for DSB-dependent meiotic lethals. The desired mutants are unable to complete meiosis successfully in a wild-type strain background where meiotic DSBs are initiated. Any meiotic mutant defective in DSB initiation can be rescued by an additional *spo13* mutation because such strains undergo only a single mitosis-like meiotic division. *spo13* alone, however, cannot rescue a DSB-dependent lethal because the DSBs initiate the defective recombination pathway. In contrast, a *spo13 rad50* double mutation blocks both recombination and reductional division and thus restores spore viability to the desired mutants (Malone and Esposito 1981). As a result of this mutant screen the

¹Present address: Zoologisches Institut, Universität Zürich, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland.

²Corresponding author.

E-MAIL fklein@s1.botanik.univie.ac.at; FAX +43(1)79794/131.

isolation of a new allele of *mre11* that unexpectedly caused this phenotype is presented below.

mre11 was originally described as a mutation interfering with meiotic recombination (Ajimura et al. 1993). *mre11* mutants produce dead spores because of a lack of meiotic recombination, but an additional *spo13* mutation restores spore viability. A hint that *MRE11* also has a role after DSB initiation came from the fact that a temperature-sensitive mutant entering meiosis at permissive temperature was unable to repair some of the breaks when shifted to restrictive temperature (Ogawa et al. 1995). Johzuka and Ogawa (1995) have cloned *MRE11* and have shown that a disruption mutant (*mre11::hisG*) cannot initiate meiotic DSBs. Consistent with its essential meiotic function *MRE11* transcription is highly up-regulated during meiosis as expected for a recombination enzyme. During vegetative growth *MRE11* is not essential but required for full radio resistance and control of mitotic recombination levels, indicating that Mre11p is required for DNA repair. The same functions are dependent on *RAD50* and *XRS2*.

Mre11p was shown to interact with itself physically, as well as with Rad50p (Johzuka and Ogawa 1995) by virtue of the two-hybrid system, suggesting that it may act as a heteromultimer in vivo. Recently, Moore and Haber (1996) and Tsukamoto et al. (1996) showed that Mre11p, Rad50p, and Xrs2p play major roles in nonhomologous end joining in mitotic cells. When repair of a HO-induced DSB was studied in a situation where homologous recombination was precluded, mutants in *mre11*, *rad50*, or *xrs2* decreased the yield of nonhomologous end joining, whereas most other members of the *rad52* epistasis group did not affect the process (Moore and Haber 1996).

Homologs of *MRE11* have been identified in various organisms. The human homolog of Mre11p was identified by Petrini et al. (1995) and was shown by coimmunoprecipitation to interact with the human homolog of Rad50p (Dolganov et al. 1996). Homologs to *MRE11* have also been found in *Caenorhabditis elegans*, in *Mus musculus*, and in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, where it is called *RAD32* (Tavassoli et al. 1995). *rad32* mutants show defects analogous to *mre11* mutants, namely impaired mitotic DSB repair activity, as well as decreased meiotic recombination and spore viability. Interestingly, a prokaryotic homolog of *MRE11*, called *sbcD* was identified from *Escherichia coli* by sequence comparison (Gibson et al. 1992; Sharples and Leach 1995). SbcC and SbcD proteins were shown to interact physically and to have double-strand exonuclease and single-strand endonuclease activity in vitro (Connelly and Leach 1996). Both reactions are dependent on chelatable divalent cations like Mn^{2+} , but only the exonuclease activity relies on ATP while endonucleolytic DNA degradation is ATP independent and can be performed by SbcD alone (J.C. Connolly and D.R.F. Leach, unpubl.). Therefore, SbcD might be the catalytic subunit of the SbcCD complex, which is modulated by SbcC in the presence of ATP. SbcC is homologous to *RAD50*, both being members of the Smc protein family, which is involved in

ATP-dependent chromosome condensation (Sharples and Leach 1995). The homology of the prokaryotic SbcC/SbcD pair with their eukaryotic counterparts Rad50/Mre11 suggests a nuclease function for the latter complex.

Data presented below show that Mre11p, in conjunction with Rad50p, is required for the 5' resection of meiotic DSBs by a 5' → 3' exonucleolytic activity or a single-strand endonucleolytic activity suggesting that Mre11/Rad50 presumably catalyzes this reaction.

Results

A genetic screen to identify new functions required after initiation of recombination

A screen for mutants in new genes and functions required after initiation of meiotic DNA DSBs was carried out. Such mutants are expected to derail meiosis because meiotic DSBs entail a series of events that require specific functions for their completion. For instance, failure to repair recombination intermediates may lead to mis-segregation in both meiotic divisions and/or may possibly cause cell cycle arrest by activating a checkpoint. However, the desired mutation is expected to be rescued by the inactivation of both the *RAD50* and the *SPO13* gene. Inactivation of the *RAD50* gene prevents DSB formation and initiation of meiotic recombination. Therefore chiasmata do not form, which results in subsequent failure of the reductional division. The need for physical connections can be alleviated by an additional *spo13* mutation that causes the cells to skip the first meiotic division. The resulting dyads contain diploid spores with viability similar to those from a *RAD50 spo13* meiosis (Table 1). Because all potentially lethal DSB-induced events are bypassed in a *rad50 spo13* strain, a function of the defined specificity is dispensable.

Figure 1 summarizes the mutant screen. The homoethallic strain Y136 is *rad50*, *ade2*, and *spo13* but carries *SPO13* on centromere (CEN)-plasmid pTW15 and *RAD50* linked to *ADE2* on CEN-plasmid p3. Single spores from Y136 were mutagenized with *N*-methyl-*N*-nitro-*N*-nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) and plated on syn-

Table 1. *rad50* is epistatic to *mre11S*

Strain	Relevant genotype (homozygous)	Spore viability (%)
Y9Dx2A	<i>spo13</i>	31.9
Y401	<i>rad50 spo13</i>	31.9
Y403	<i>mre11S spo13</i>	1.9
Y402	<i>rad50 mre11S spo13</i>	30.0

spo13 lowers spore viability in SK1 more than reported for other strains (Wagstaff et al. 1982). No further decrease is found in the *spo13 rad50* double mutant, but in a *mre11S spo13* double mutant viability is very low in the few spores formed. *rad50* is epistatic to *mre11S* because viability in the *rad50 mre11S spo13* is the same as in *rad50 spo13*, demonstrating that *RAD50* acts upstream of *MRE11*. Eighty dyads were dissected each.

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the mutant screen. Three crucial steps of the protocol for the mutant hunt are illustrated. Spores giving rise to red colonies are shaded, and dead spores are marked by a cross.

thetic complete medium lacking adenine (SC-ADE). For mutagenesis, MNNG was preferred over ethylmethane sulfonate (EMS) because mutations are “fixed” immediately (Klein et al. 1990). Shortly after germination *HO*-induced mating-type switching leads to diploidization, rendering all mutations homozygous and thereby eliminating mutants defective in mating-type switching (such as *rad52*). During subsequent proliferation on SC-ADE only plasmid p3 was selected for resulting in loss of plasmid pTW15. After 2 days colonies were transferred to sporulation medium (SPM⁺; see Materials and Methods), where cells grew for several generations without selective pressure for any two plasmids before they sporulated.

For wild-type cells, the presence of the two plasmids does not interfere with spore viability. Colonies derived from spores of such cells are white, owing to the relative stability of the CEN-vectors and the slow growth of *ade2 rad50* cells. In a mutant of the required specificity, however, only cells that lack both plasmids are able to complete meiosis successfully, giving rise to red colonies. After 2 days on SPM⁺, colonies were replica plated to rich medium and were exposed to ether to kill unsporulated cells that would otherwise cause a white background. Predominantly red colonies were scored as potential mutants.

One mutant candidate was chosen for further investigation because it displayed a strong phenotype and cytological examination suggested that synopsis of axial elements was reduced. We cloned the affected gene by complementation of the spore lethality phenotype (see Materials and Methods), identifying *MRE11* as the re-

sponsible element. Because *MRE11* had been described as being required for DSB initiation (Ajimura et al. 1993; Johzuka and Ogawa 1995; Ogawa et al. 1995) we were surprised to find that *MRE11* also functions downstream of *RAD50*. The *mre11* null mutant does not initiate meiotic recombination as a *rad50* null mutant does and therefore is predicted to ultimately form white colonies in our assay. The allele described here leads to a lethal event in *spo13* cells that initiates meiotic recombination. Because this indicates that the newly identified *mre11* allele is partially functional and because of the similarity of the phenotypes caused by this allele and by *rad50S* (Alani et al. 1990) it is referred to as *mre11S*.

Isolation of *mre11S*, a new non-null allele of *MRE11*

We cloned the *mre11S* allele by gap repair and sequenced it. The sequence of the open reading frame (ORF) recovered from the mutant differed from the sequence of the complementing plasmid at positions +250 and +563 (both C → T transitions), which predicts a proline-to-serine change at position 84 and a threonine-to-isoleucine change at position 188 of the protein (Fig. 2).

Several other differences to the reported sequence (Johzuka and Ogawa 1995) were found in both the complementing wild-type clone and the mutated allele, including a frameshift rendering the predicted protein 49 amino acids longer (to equal 692 amino acids). This carboxy-terminal tail is especially rich in arginine and lysine, as well as serine and threonine, and has a potential nuclear localization site. Two potential coiled-coil domains that span positions 326–351 and, with less likelihood, 506–526 were detected (see Materials and Methods). As mentioned previously by Sharples and Leach (1995) the amino terminus exhibits homology to the bacterial SbcD recombination enzyme. The region between Pro-63 and Pro-117 contains several amino acids, including Pro-84, which are conserved throughout the eukaryotic homologs known to date. However, it is devoid of any detectable homology to SbcD while being surrounded by modules well conserved from bacteria to human. The change from Thr to Ile at position 188, which is the second mutation contributing to the *mre11S* phenotype, is also located in a conserved eukaryotic domain.

To confirm the epistatic relationship between *rad50* and the newly isolated allele of *mre11* suggested by the result of the screen we investigated spore viability in various *spo13* strains by dissection of dyads (Table 1). The results clearly verified that *rad50* is epistatic to *mre11S* with respect to the spore viability phenotype.

Genetic evidence for homodimerization of *Mre11p*

We have generated a set of *mre11* alleles of various lengths by transposon mutagenesis in *E. coli* using the bacterial Tn3 (Seifert et al. 1986). The positions of these insertions were mapped by restriction analysis, and disruptions at different sites within the *MRE11*-ORF were selected for complementation studies (Fig. 3A). Strains with different combinations of *mre11* alleles were con-

Isolation of *mre11S*

<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Mre11	MDVPOPTIRITETITVAVSYNDPTIGKRWKTFHMMVANNVNVVSCDLEHETPSSKSLVQLKTLRLCMGDKKCELELLISDFSVHYDEFTNVAIVEDVAVNISTPVGISGN	
<i>H. sapiens</i> Mre11	9 DENTFKETVATIHLEFVETDAAGKNTTFTVTNETRLAQENEDPILLGAILHHEHCPKRTHTCTLELRLKYCAGDRVQFETLSDOSVNFQFSKFPWVNYQALNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>M. musculus</i> CD-1 Mre11	9 DEPTFKETVATIHLEFVETDAAGKNTTFTVTNETRLAQENEDPILLGAILHHEHCPKRTHTCTLELRLKYCAGDRVQFETLSDOSVNFQFSKFPWVNYQALNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>S. pombe</i> Rad32	16 NTRILISSEFVAVYGRKDPVTRNGDSFVSPNTEETARERDVTMILLGAILHHEHCPKRTHTCTLELRLKYCAGDRVQFETLSDOSVNFQFSKFPWVNYQALNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>C. elegans</i> Mre11	106 SEDITIKETVATIHLEFVETDAAGKNTTFTVTNETRLAQENEDPILLGAILHHEHCPKRTHTCTLELRLKYCAGDRVQFETLSDOSVNFQFSKFPWVNYQALNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>E. coli</i> SbcD	1 MRLHTSHHLSGNFYKSKREAEHQAFLDWLLETAQTHQVATIVADVQVGSF-----PSYARTLVNRFVNLQOTGCHLVVLAKS	
<i>B. subtilis</i> SbcD	1 MRLHTSHHLSGNFYKSKREAEHQAFLDWLLETAQTHQVATIVADVQVGSF-----PALAEQVYFESLSALSDRGKQIVVIAGN	
S		
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Mre11	125 HDAAGDGLLCPMILHATGLINFGKVIKSDIKVVFLLPFGKSTGLALVLAARDEELRTKPKGVTPFVPMREGENEDVAVNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>H. sapiens</i> Mre11	129 HDAAGDGLLCPMILHATGLINFGKVIKSDIKVVFLLPFGKSTGLALVLAARDEELRTKPKGVTPFVPMREGENEDVAVNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>M. musculus</i> CD-1 Mre11	129 HDAAGDGLLCPMILHATGLINFGKVIKSDIKVVFLLPFGKSTGLALVLAARDEELRTKPKGVTPFVPMREGENEDVAVNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>S. pombe</i> Rad32	134 HDAAGDGLLCPMILHATGLINFGKVIKSDIKVVFLLPFGKSTGLALVLAARDEELRTKPKGVTPFVPMREGENEDVAVNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>C. elegans</i> Mre11	226 HDAAGDGLLCPMILHATGLINFGKVIKSDIKVVFLLPFGKSTGLALVLAARDEELRTKPKGVTPFVPMREGENEDVAVNISTIEVESIHGN	
<i>E. coli</i> SbcD	84 HESVATLINESRDINAFINTIVASAGHAPQLPRRDG-----TPGAVLCPPIPLPRADIITSQAGLINGIEKQHLAAITDYYQOHYADACKLRDQDPLPIATVHILTVGASKSD	
<i>B. subtilis</i> SbcD	85 HESVATLINESRDINAFINTIVASAGHAPQLPRRDG-----LAVGALVYSEARHNEVLS-----DTFDEK---LLRHIVYVKIRQAFEMTSRFRDIAVIAASHIVYAGETKPT	
I		
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Mre11	219 -----HNTA-FLERFLPDPLDMVITGKRECEIPNLVHNPIKN-----FDVLGGSSVATSLCEAAQPKVVFILDEKYSEAPMTPIPLEVINTFRMKKISLQDVP---HLRP--HD	
<i>H. sapiens</i> Mre11	223 -----HGSTN-FIPEFLDDEIDLVITGKRECEIPNLVHNPIKN-----FYISQPGSSVATSLCEAAQPKVVFILDEKYSEAPMTPIPLEVINTFRMKKISLQDVP---HLRP--PD	
<i>M. musculus</i> CD-1 Mre11	223 -----HGSTN-FIPEFLDDEIDLVITGKRECEIPNLVHNPIKN-----FYISQPGSSVATSLCEAAQPKVVFILDEKYSEAPMTPIPLEVINTFRMKKISLQDVP---HLRP--PD	
<i>S. pombe</i> Rad32	228 -----HTPSS-VLPESTIQDQVDFVLMGKRECEIPNLVHNPIKN-----FTVVGSGSTIATSLCEAAQPKVVFILDEKYSEAPMTPIPLEVINTFRMKKISLQDVP---HLRP--PD	
<i>C. elegans</i> Mre11	322 -----HRSKFLERFLPDPLDMVITGKRECEIPNLVHNPIKN-----FDVLGGSSVATSLCEAAQPKVVFILDEKYSEAPMTPIPLEVINTFRMKKISLQDVP---HLRP--PD	
<i>E. coli</i> SbcD	195 AVRDVTYIGFLDAFPAQNFPP-ADYIALGSHIRRAQITIGMEHV-RYCGSPIT-----ELSFDECKSKVHLVTFENKLESVENLAVETQEMAVLKGDLASITQALQEMVQDEPPVWLD	
<i>B. subtilis</i> SbcD	192 QTRKLSAVKVTVAEESLPADAAYVALGSHIRRAQITIGMEHV-RYCGSPIT-----AYSFSEAGYAKSVITVDAPKGEATWQEVLLSSGKPLVKKAAAG-LSEVYS-WLDEGRDQNAWID	
T34		
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Mre11	322 KDATSKYLIEQVEEMIRIDANEETKQRLADDGEGDMVAELPQPHIRIRVLYGAPSNQSSIDYQVENPRPSNRFVGRVANKNNVQVYKRSPTVRSKSKGINCTSISDRDVEKLFSEGG	
<i>H. sapiens</i> Mre11	325 NPKVTQAIQSPCLKIEEMLENAERERLNGSH-----QPEKIVIRVLYGAPSNQSSIDYQVENPRPSNRFVGRVANKNNVQVYKRSPTVRSKSKGINCTSISDRDVEKLFSEGG	
<i>M. musculus</i> CD-1 Mre11	325 NPKVTQAIQSPCLKIEEMLENAERERLNGSH-----QPEKIVIRVLYGAPSNQSSIDYQVENPRPSNRFVGRVANKNNVQVYKRSPTVRSKSKGINCTSISDRDVEKLFSEGG	
<i>S. pombe</i> Rad32	332 KKSMTVLYLSKVRFAITENACQVYAOQVPPVVEN-EKPELIRIRVLYGAPSNQSSIDYQVENPRPSNRFVGRVANKNNVQVYKRSPTVRSKSKGINCTSISDRDVEKLFSEGG	
<i>C. elegans</i> Mre11	436 KHTDSRYIDETAIKINENITPAKAKRR-----TPQELPEIRIRVLYGAPSNQSSIDYQVENPRPSNRFVGRVANKNNVQVYKRSPTVRSKSKGINCTSISDRDVEKLFSEGG	
<i>E. coli</i> SbcD	309 IEITPT-DEYLDIQRKIALTESLPVEVLVRRSRQREKVLASQQRRTLSLSVSEVVENRRLALEELDESQQOQLHETTTTLHTLAGEHEA	
<i>B. subtilis</i> SbcD	306 LEIRVADQLSLEIRHLRKA	
C		
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Mre11	442 GELEVQTVLNDLL---NRMQLSLPEVGLNEAVKFKVDKERT-----ALKEFISHEISNEFVGLSTNEBFLRTDDAEMCALIKQVRANKSVRPTPPKENDETAFANGLSFR	
<i>H. sapiens</i> Mre11	425 TTRVEDLVKQVYQTAENQVLSLTERGMKHAQVDFVDEKED-----AIEELVKVLEKTQRFKERHIDALEDKIDEVRRFRFTQKVINNEEDDEVREAMTRAR... (181aa)	
<i>M. musculus</i> CD-1 Mre11	426 ATRVEDLVKQVYQTAENQVLSLTERGMKHAQVDFVDEKED-----AIEELVKVLEKTQRFKERHIDALEDKIDEVRRFRFTQKVINNEEDDEVREAMTRAR... (178aa)	
<i>S. pombe</i> Rad32	438 ---RVESLVNRYL---KTRNLEKLPEDSGLEAVNIFVDEKDRD-----AIKECVETQMLKQINLEKRVTEENLQKISSINDLKPTSTTRKDYELPEFVSET... (116aa)	
<i>C. elegans</i> Mre11	541 SATNLQTYINDYPIQNLVDQMTVQPKICIGIALEQVSAIEEGGLATSANRNFDSCLHMLQDVVTRKTRKMPPIPNDSLDQPFLLITKDLVYMKKADSRFKNPVAD... (122aa)	
T4		
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Mre11	551 SSNREVRVTSQPDITQSHVDNESRITHISQAESSKPTSKPKRVATKPKKIPAFSDSTVISDAENELGDNDAQDDVDIDENDIMVSDIBETASYGLNGRKTRKTRSAASTKTSRRG	
<i>S. cerevisiae</i> Mre11	671 KGRASRTPKTDILGSLAKKK	
T10		

Figure 2. Alignment of predicted *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Mre11 protein sequence with eukaryotic and prokaryotic homologs. Identical amino acids are shaded, **S** indicates the site of the proline 84 to serine, and **I** the threonine 188 to isoleucine exchange in *mre11S*. A potential nuclear localization site is underlined in bold. The first and last amino acids of predicted coiled-coil regions are marked with *C*. *T34*, *T4*, and *T10* indicate the approximate transposon insertion sites (see also Fig. 3A).

structed by crossing one *mre11S* strain to various partners harboring *MRE11*, *mre11S*, or different *mre11* transposon disruption alleles. The resulting diploids (wild type for all other sporulation genes) were sporulated for 2 days on solid medium at 30°C and tested for formation of viable spores either by patch assay (Fig. 3B) or by tetrad dissection (Table 2).

Comparison between *mre11S/mre11S* and *MRE11/mre11S* confirmed that *mre11S* is recessive regarding spore formation and spore viability. Alleles disrupted in the 5' part of the *MRE11* gene (*mre11-T20* through *mre11-T34*) could not complement *mre11S*. Such heterozygotes behave similar to a *mre11S* homozygote by showing greatly reduced sporulation. When the rare tetrads produced by these heterozygotes were dissected they exhibited higher spore viability than the *mre11S* homozygote, but because of the low sporulation efficiency the meiotic yield (number of tetrads produced times viable spores) remained low (~1%). All homozygous *mre11-T20*, *mre11-T4*, and *mre11-T10* disruption strains sporulated well, but spores were inviable.

In contrast, disruptions at the 3' end (*mre11-T4*, *mre11-T10*) lacking different parts of the evolutionary less-conserved carboxyl terminus complemented *mre11S*

to almost wild-type levels of both sporulation and spore viability (when sporulated on solid medium). Transposons *T4* and *T10* generate in-frame *mre11-lacZ* fusions (Materials and Methods). *mre11-T40* and *mre11-T16*, which map to the same positions and are equally oriented as *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T10*, respectively, but are out-of-frame, complemented also (Fig. 3). None of the disrupted *mre11* alleles produced a significant number of viable spores when homozygous (Table 2). Thus, there is intragenic complementation between *mre11S* and the 3' disruptions.

Two-hybrid studies by Johzuka and Ogawa (1995) have already shown that Mre11p can interact with itself. Our results are compatible with this finding and suggest that there is homodimerization while the proteins are active. Homozygous *mre11S* strains or strains heterozygous for *mre11S* and 5' *mre11* disruptions show strongly reduced tetrad formation, which is similar to the situation in *rad50S*, a partially functional allele of *RAD50* that blocks meiotic DSB processing and repair (Alani et al. 1990). When the two point mutations of *mre11S* were tested separately by dissection, each conferred a strong sporulation and spore viability defect (data not shown).

If the different combinations of *mre11* alleles behaved

Figure 3. Intragenic complementation between *mre11S* and 3' truncated alleles. (A) Transposon insertion sites at the *MRE11* locus. Arrows point in the direction of the *lacZ* ORF, and the name of the allele is given. Asterisks and S and I indicate the sites of the *mre11S* mutations. Transposon insertions *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T10* are in-frame *lacZ* fusions. (B) Patch assay to study intragenic complementation between *mre11S* and the various transposon insertion alleles. Complementation is apparent for *MRE11/mre11S* and to a high extent for heterozygotes involving *mre11-T4*, *mre11-T10*, *mre11-T40*, and *mre11-T16*. Scarce colonies arising in the *mre11S* homozygote and other heterozygotes may represent few viable spores or vegetative cells surviving ether treatment.

differently in DSB formation, this could result in different levels of induction of meiotic gene conversion. For meiotic mutants induction of meiotic gene conversion can be determined by a return-to-growth experiment (Sherman and Roman 1963), where cells re-enter the mitotic pathway after meiotic induction, but before meiotic chromosome segregation. For some meiotic mutants (e.g., *dmc1*, *zip1*), recombination intermediates that

would cause a block or even lethal damage to the cells on meiotic medium can be repaired mitotically during return to growth (Bishop et al. 1992; Sym et al. 1993).

We determined meiotic gene conversion between heteroalleles at the artificial *his4-LEU2* and the natural *ARG4* recombination hot spots (Cao et al. 1990; Storlazzi et al. 1995) during return to growth. Homozygous *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T20* strains are unable to induce

Table 2. Different allele combinations of *MRE11* fall into three different categories

Relevant genotype	Unsporulated, aberrant (%)	Dyads (%)	Tetrads (+triads) (%)	Spore viability (%) of tetrads (+triads)	% viability × % tetrads/100
<i>MRE11/mre11S</i>	39	4	57	96	55
<i>mre11S/mre11-T10</i>	52	22	26	75	20
<i>mre11S/mre11-T4</i>	58	11	31	66	20
<i>mre11S/mre11-T34</i>	90	8	2	30	0.6
<i>mre11S/mre11-T24</i>	87	9	4	3	0.1
<i>mre11S/mre11-T37</i>	84	11	5	30	1.5
<i>mre11S/mre11-T20</i>	84	9	7	28	2
<i>mre11S/mre11S</i>	73	18	9	1	0.1
<i>mre11-T4/mre11-T4</i>	11	24	65	<1	<0.6
<i>mre11-T10/mre11-T10</i>	31	13	53	<1	<0.5
<i>mre11-T20/mre11-T20</i>	30	18	52	<1	<0.5

The *mre11S* allele in combination with *MRE11* or with the complementing 3' disrupted alleles *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T10* exhibited high sporulation as well as high spore viability. Therefore, the sporulation yield [% spore viability in tetrads (triads) × % tetrads (triads)/100] was also relatively high. A value of >20 corresponds to viable patches after ether treatment in Fig. 3. Strains carrying *mre11S* combined with other *mre11* alleles, such as *mre11-T34*, had a very low frequency of tetrad formation, but the small fraction of tetrads formed contained relatively many living spores. Interestingly, the homozygous *mre11S* caused lower spore viability than a combination of *mre11S* and a possible null mutation (*mre11-T20*). Strains used were the same as in Fig. 3, except for Y324 as *mre11S/mre11-T4*, *mre11S*, *mre11-T4*, *mre11-T20*, and *mre11-T10* homozygotes were Y323, Y327, Y328, and Y442 × Y262, respectively. Cells were sporulated on plates, and 200 cells were counted each. Asci with microspores or only one spore were classified as aberrant. At least 100 spores were dissected each for the determination of spore viability.

meiotic recombination, whereas mitotic recombination is increased compared with wild type (Fig. 4A,B). Mitotic hyper-recombination has been observed previously in *mre11*, *rad50*, and *xrs2* null mutants (Malone and Esposito 1981; Ivanov et al. 1992; Ajimura et al. 1993). A *rad50S* strain is committed to about the same low level of meiotic gene conversion, but the mitotic rate remains almost unchanged compared to wild type. This results in a 5- to 10-fold meiotic induction of gene conversion in *rad50S*, a phenomenon also seen by Prinz et al. (1997). In the homozygote *mre11S*, gene conversion is induced considerably stronger than in *rad50S* (up to 10-fold, Fig. 4C,D). At the *ARG4* site almost wild-type levels of gene conversion could be observed in the *mre11S/mre11-T4* heterozygote thus supporting the notion of intragenic complementation between *mre11S* and 3' disruptions. No intragenic complementation was seen at the artificial *his4-LEU2* hot spot under these conditions in two experiments (see Materials and Methods). However, when the *mre11S/mre11-T4* heterozygote was sporulated on solid medium, viability was high (71%) and genetic distance between *URA3* inserted at *his4-LEU2* and

MAT was reduced only moderately compared with wild type (Table 3). So this strain clearly is proficient for reciprocal recombination at *his4-LEU2* under proper sporulation conditions. (A homozygous *mre11S* strain could not be tested because of low spore viability).

Because a *mre11* null mutant had been shown to be repair deficient (Ajimura et al. 1993) we tested the resistance to methylmethane sulfonate (MMS), a DNA-damaging agent that ultimately causes DNA DSBs, of various *mre11* mutants. Although the *MRE11/mre11S* heterozygote behaves like the isogenic wild type, the homozygous *mre11S* strain is partially MMS sensitive (Fig. 5). However, compared to the *mre11* disruptions (even when disrupted at the very 3' end as in *mre11-T4*), it is remarkably resistant. This is reminiscent of the situation in a *rad50S* mutant, where repair during vegetative growth is much less affected than meiosis (Alani et al. 1990). When the two point mutations in *mre11S* were tested separately, each exhibited MMS sensitivity between wild type and *mre11S* (data not shown). As for spore viability, intragenic complementation was also observed for MMS resistance, because the 3' disrupted

Figure 4. Meiotic recombination can be induced to an intermediate level in the *mre11S* homozygote and to clearly higher levels for *mre11S/mre11-T4* at *ARG4*. Recombinants are counted as prototrophs on SC-ARG (SC-HIS) per 10,000 colony-forming units (CFU) on YPD. Data were pooled from two independent experiments. (A,B) Gene conversions at two different meiotic hot spots in homozygous *mre11-T4*, *mre11-T20*, and *rad50S* strains. Mitotic recombination levels can be read at $t = 0$ and are elevated for *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T20*. Because mitotic recombination is not altered in the *rad50S* strain, a 10-fold induction during meiosis is apparent. A similar level of meiotic induction for *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T20* would be masked by the recombinants of mitotic origin. (C,D) Gene conversions at two different meiotic hot spots in *MRE11/mre11S*, *mre11S/mre11S* and *mre11S/mre11-T4* strains. Normal commitment was observed for *MRE11/mre11S*, but was reduced ~50-fold for the homozygous *mre11S*. The heterozygous *mre11S/mre11-T4* was between wild-type and homozygous *mre11S* at the *ARG4* locus but was similar to *mre11S* at *HIS4*. (E,F) Decrease of viability [expressed as $CFU(t)/CFU(t_0) \times 100$] during progression through meiosis of all strains shown in A–D.

Table 3. Genetic distance between *MAT* and *URA3* is significantly reduced in the complementing *mre11S/mre11-T4*

Relevant genotype	Spore viability (%)	Interval <i>MAT-URA3</i> (cM)
<i>MRE11/mre11S</i> (Y325)	97.5	45.3
<i>mre11S/mre11-T4</i> (Y324)	71	29.8

The genetic distance between *MAT* and *URA3* inserted at the *his4-LEU2* hot spot was determined in 99 complete tetrads of strain Y324 and 95 complete tetrads of strain Y325. The significance of the decrease in recombination rate was ($P < 0.025$) when a χ^2 test was performed (Bailey 1995). Strains were sporulated on plates.

mre11-T4 allele improved viability when heterozygous with *mre11S*. Comparing MMS tolerance of *mre11-T4/mre11-T4* and the 5' disrupted *mre11-T20/mre11-T20* suggests that *mre11-T4* retains some DNA repair activity, which is in good agreement with its ability to partially complement the *mre11S* allele. MMS sensitivity and mitotic hyper-recombination (seen at the $t = 0$ point in Fig. 4) seem to correlate, as the homozygous disruptions with the highest MMS sensitivity also exhibit the highest mitotic level of gene convertants. The same mutants behave like *rad50Δ* concerning sporulation (see above).

mre11S strains accumulate unresected DSBs

The molecular interaction of Rad50p and Mre11p (Doganov et al. 1996; Johzuka and Ogawa 1995), together with the similarity of *rad50S* and *mre11S* sporulation phenotype, suggested that *mre11S* mutants might not be able to process meiotic DSBs (Alani et al. 1990). Meiotic

DSBs were assayed at three different sites, the artificial *his4-LEU2* hot spot (Cao et al. 1990; Storlazzi et al. 1995) (Fig. 6A–D,F–H) as well as at the natural *THR4* and *DED81* hot spots (de Massy and Nicolas 1993; Goldway et al. 1993) (Fig. 6E). The results show clearly that *mre11S* mutants are defective in DSB processing at all sites (Fig. 6A,D,E). No difference concerning the location of the breakage sites was found for *rad50S* and *mre11S* (Fig. 6C,D). *mre11S* is also recessive to *MRE11* concerning the DSB kinetics because one functional allele can restore DSB processing, resulting in a faint, fuzzy band at the 4- and 5.5-hr time points that disappears due to repair (Fig. 6B). No DSBs could be detected in *mre11-T4* or *mre11-T20* homozygotes at *his4-LEU2*, as expected. Thus, although both of these genes seem not to be functional on their own, only *mre11-T20* is a null mutant because the truncated *mre11-T4* still is able to improve viability and recombination when heterozygous with *mre11S*. However, no difference was observed between *mre11S/mre11-T4* and *mre11S/mre11S* concerning accumulation of DSBs at the *his4-LEU2* hotspot (Fig. 6A,D,H), which is consistent with the absence of an effect of *mre11-T4* on induction of meiotic gene conversion at this locus. We attribute the apparent contradiction that *mre11-T4* can partially complement *mre11S* when testing for sporulation or MMS sensitivity (solid media), but not when testing for commitment to meiotic recombination and DSB repair at *his4-LEU2* (liquid media) to the different conditions used. Also complementation of the spore formation defect only worked when cells were sporulated on plates but not in liquid SPM (data not shown).

Intensities of parental and DSB-induced signals were determined for each lane using a PhosphorImager. The ratio of DSB versus parental band was used as the parameter to indicate DSB levels. Such quantification revealed that at both loci examined more broken DNA molecules

Figure 5. Residual resistance to MMS varies over several orders of magnitude for different diploid *mre11* mutants. Cells from late exponential phase were plated on YPD containing the indicated amount of MMS and scored after 3 days. Resistance decreased strongly in the strains in the following order except for the first two strains, which were similar: *MRE11/MRE11*, *MRE11/mre11S*, *mre11S/mre11-T4*, *mre11S/mre11S*, *mre11-T4/mre11-T4*, *mre11-T20/mre11-T20*. Y328 (*mre11-T20/mre11-T20*) did not form visible colonies after 3 days on plates containing 0.005% MMS. The symbol at the end of the dashed line therefore marks the lower limit of detection.

are present at later time points in *rad50S*(*KI81*) than in *mre11S* mutants, the relative difference depending on the locus (Fig. 6A,E).

Unlike in *rad50S* the *mre11S* DSB signals decrease at the 8.5- and 9-hr time points. If this decrease is attributable to residual single-strand degradation in *mre11S* strains (but to a lesser extent in *rad50S*) and the broken molecules are finally converted to recombination products, this may explain why *mre11S* is more inducible for gene conversion than *rad50S* in return-to-growth experiments.

DSBs also accumulate at another hot spot for recombination—*THR4*—both in *mre11S* and *rad50S* single mutants, as well as in a *rad50S mre11S* double mutant (Fig. 6E). In addition, a *com1 mre11S* mutant was tested (data not shown) and found to yield the same breakage pattern at *THR4* as *mre11S* and *com1/sae2* (McKee and Kleckner 1997; Prinz et al. 1997) alone.

mre11S mutants are defective in forming homologous synaptonemal complexes

Mutants that can be rescued by *spo13* are unable to synapse their axial elements (AEs) (Alani et al. 1990; Engbrecht et al. 1991; Bhargava et al. 1992; Menees et al. 1992; Loidl et al. 1994; Johzuka and Ogawa 1995), whereas mutants that require an additional *spo11* mutation to produce viable spores were shown to have reduced synaptonemal complex (SC) formation (Alani et al. 1990; Bishop et al. 1992; Loidl et al. 1994; Rockmill et al. 1995b; Prinz et al. 1997). In such mutants AEs much

longer than those in wild type have also been observed. In this study a considerable fraction of nuclei showed extensive, although not wild type-like, synapsis.

To relate DSB formation with a cytological phenotype, synapsis and DSBs were examined in the very same experiment for the *MRE11/mre11S*, the heterozygous *mre11S/mre11-T4*, and the homozygous *mre11-T4* and *mre11S* strains. Nuclei of wild-type and mutant cells were classified as belonging to five categories by their content of SC-related structures visualized in the transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Figs. 7A–I and 8A–E). One hundred eighty-one to two hundred seventy-five nuclei were counted per time point per strain. The kinetics of SC formation and degradation in the *MRE11/mre11S* heterozygote was as reported for a published wild type, and the kinetics of the *mre11-T4* strain was similar to *rad50Δ* (Padmore et al. 1991). *mre11S/mre11-T4* behaved like *mre11S/mre11S* with respect to SC formation, further indicating that intragenic complementation is not very pronounced during sporulation in liquid medium.

Short AEs comprise the first class of nuclei observed in wild type and mutants. However, they can differ, as very thick short AEs were found in nuclei of all *mre11* mutants but not of wild type. Wild-type nuclei of this class contain typically very faint AEs. Also, the total number of nuclei with short AEs is lower and decreases faster in wild type than in the mutants, indicating that the turnover of these precursors to the next stage is blocked or delayed in the mutants (Fig. 8A–D). The *mre11S/mre11S* and *mre11S/mre11-T4* strains exhibit a second category

Figure 6. *mre11S* mutants accumulate meiosis-specific unresected DNA DSBs at recombination hot spots. All experiments shown except for E, were done at the artificial *his4-XLEU2-MluI::BamHI/his4-BLEU2-MluI* hot spot and blots were hybridized with probe A (pNKY291). DSB-I and DSB-II mark the location of major cleavage sites. (A) Quantification of the amount of broken DNA at site DSB-I at *his4-LEU2* relative to the parental fragment is the result of two independent experiments. The symbols represent the mean value, whereas individual results are symbolized by the error bars. (■) Y329 (*rad50S/rad50S*); (□) Y324 (*mre11S/mre11-T4*); (○) Y323 (*mre11S/mre11S*). One set of data is C, D, and H. (B) For wild-type strain Y325 (*MRE11/mre11S*) processed breaks can be seen as a smear at 4 and 5.5 hr. Homozygous *rad50S* (C) and *mre11S* (D) strains accumulate unresected DSBs visible as sharp bands in a similar way. (E) Quantification of the amount of

broken DNA at the major DSB site close to *THR4* relative to the parental fragment (representation analogous to A). (■) Y329 (*rad50S/rad50S*); (○) Y323 (*mre11S/mre11S*); (●) Y292×294 (*mre11S rad50S/mre11S rad50S*). No DSBs were detectable in strains Y328 (*mre11-T20/mre11-T20*) (F) and Y327 (*mre11-T4/mre11-T4*) (G). Asterisks indicate signals corresponding to repetitive DNA on the ethidium bromide-stained gel (not shown; see also Storlazzi et al. 1995). (H) In Y324 (*mre11S/mre11-T4*) DSB formation was not different from that of *mre11S* homozygote (D).

Figure 7. Aberrant SC formation in *mre11* mutants shown by electron microscopy. Examples of nuclei classified according to their SC-related morphology are shown. SCs and their precursors are visible as electron dense linear structures, nucleoli (N) as prominent large irregularly shaped dots, and spindle pole bodies (S) as little, round, double spots (bars, 2 μ m). (A,B) Class 1 nuclei containing short, unsynapsed axial elements. *mre11* nuclei sometimes contain slightly thickened short axial elements as in (B); (C) class 2 nuclei contain both short unsynapsed axial elements and partially synapsed stretches; (D) class 3 nuclei containing elongated unsynapsed axial elements were predominantly observed in *mre11S* mutants; (E) extensive SC formation (class 4) in a *mre11S/mre11S* nucleus with only few SC ends visible (the arrow marks a potential partner switch); (F) class 5 nucleus devoid of SC structures possibly past prophase; (G) a rare example of SC formation in *mre11-T4* homozygotes; (H) wild-type SCs (class 4) from the *MRE11/mre11S* strain; (I) partner switches of axial elements confer a network-like appearance to some SCs in the *mre11S* mutant. Arrows indicate sites of presumed partner switches. A, B, and G are from strain Y327 (*mre11-T4/mre11-T4*); C–F and I are from Y323 (*mre11S/mre11S*) and H is from Y325 (*MRE11/mre11S*).

Figure 8. Kinetics of class 1–5 nuclei during progression through meiotic prophase. Quantitative analysis of SC-related structures of the time course experiment presented in Figs. 6 and 7. Cells past meiosis I are bi- and tetranucleate as observed after DAPI staining. (A–D) Symbols corresponding to class 1–3 and class 5 nuclei and meiotic progression are described in the upper right panel. (E) For clarity, class 4 nuclei exhibiting extensive SCs are presented separately. Wild type exhibited up to 30% SC formation, *mre11S* mutants up to 7%, but the *mre11-T4* homozygote only ~1%.

of unsynapsed AEs (class 3) that are elongated and thickened (Figs. 7D and 8B–D). They also have been described for *rad50S/rad50S* strains (Alani et al. 1990). This class may either exist as a direct consequence of the cell cycle delay in *mre11S* cells or, alternatively, represent AEs that were unstably synapsed in vivo, thus belonging to class 2 or 4 (see below), but had been disrupted by the spreading forces. Figure 7C shows an example of a nucleus with both unsynapsed AEs and synapsed stretches (class 2). This class is represented well in *mre11S/mre11S* and *mre11S/mre11-T4* strains, albeit slightly delayed relative to wild type (Fig. 8A,C,D). This also is interpreted as a consequence of a delay in the progression of one transition state (class 2) to the next (class 4). Class 2 nuclei are very rarely found for *mre11-T4/mre11-T4*, suggesting a role of DSBs for synapsis (see below). Because synapsing and degrading SCs are sometimes morphologically indistinguishable, we propose that class 2 nuclei contain mainly synapsing SCs before class 4 peaks and mainly degrading SCs after that.

Class 4 nuclei show extensive SC formation but no unsynapsed AEs (Fig. 7E,G–I). However, whereas in wild type there are usually a number of 10–16 discrete complexes corresponding to the paired chromosomes, the mutants give a more variable picture. Figure 7E depicts a *mre11S/mre11S* nucleus with extensive SC loops, but SCs are not separated from each other. Figure 7I shows a different *mre11S/mre11S* nucleus, where a network of SCs connected via AEs that perform partner switches can be observed. This situation is similar to that described earlier for haploids undergoing meiosis (Loidl et

al. 1991) indicating that synapsed AEs connect, at least in part, nonhomologous chromosomes. A very rare example of a *mre11-T4/mre11-T4* nucleus with extensive synapsis, showing far more than 16 synapsed entities is shown in Figure 7G. We also observed very small fractions of nuclei forming elongated AEs and showing extensive synapsis (Fig. 8E). We suspect that rare examples of SC formation arise from nuclei initiating some DSBs that are below the limit of detection in our Southern blot (Fig. 6G) and in return to growth (Fig. 4A). In general, most of the *mre11-T4* nuclei were only able to form short AEs (Fig. 7B), as expected from a mutant unable to initiate DSBs.

The parallel monitoring of DSB levels and synapsis allows the correlation of these two meiotic landmarks. For the wild type, DSBs reached their maximum level at 4 hr. At the same time, first synapsis, but no complete SC, was observed. In the *mre11S* mutants the first major increase in DSBs is at 5.5 hr, which is shortly before or at the time when the first synapsis can be demonstrated.

Both homologous pairing and SC formation are reduced in *mre11S*

The presence of partner switches in *mre11* mutants demonstrated by electron microscopy of silver-stained spreads indicated the occurrence of nonhomologous synapsis. We have combined fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and immunocytochemistry in an effort to study synapsis and homologous pairing—to compare the reduction of SC formation in the mutant with the quantity

Figure 9. SC formation and homologous pairing are reduced in *mre11S*. (A–C) Nuclei stained with anti-Zip1 antibody; (D–F) pairing status of chromosome I and IV signals (green and red, respectively). Strains are Y325 (*MRE11/mre11S*) and Y323 (*mre11S/mre11S*). (A) Wild-type pachytene SCs; (B) Zip1-positive *mre11S* nucleus without synapsis; (C) extensive synapsis in *mre11S*. The upper right patch corresponds to an overexposed Zip1 aggregate. (D) both chromosome I and IV signals are paired in wild type; (E) typical pairing behavior in the *mre11S* mutant; (F) very rarely both chromosome signals are paired in *mre11S*.

of homologous DNA pairing. The total amount of SCs in the mutant allows predictions about the minimum level of DNA pairing if synapsis were homologous. If SC formation exceeds DNA pairing, at least some of the SCs must connect nonhomologous chromosomes.

The approach of combining FISH and immunocytology is complicated by two facts: First, it is at present inapplicable to monitor synapsis by immunocytology and pairing by FISH in the same cell because of the dif-

ferent conditions required. Second, not all paired DNA sequences need to be in the context of a SC because pairing precedes SC formation (Scherthan et al. 1992; Weiner and Kleckner 1994). Below, we present statistical evidence that a deficit of homologous pairing relative to the amount of SCs was found, confirming that at least a fraction of the SCs observed by electron microscopy were nonhomologous.

Pairing was monitored in a *MRE11/mre11S* and a

Table 4. In *mre11S* synapsed nuclei are more frequent than nuclei with both chromosomes I and IV paired

Relevant genotype	Chromosome I paired (%)	Chromosome IV paired (%)	Both chromosomes I and IV paired (%)	Nuclei counted	(Nearly) complete synapsis judged by Zip1 (%)	Zip1 positive (%)	Nuclei counted
<i>mre11S/mre11S</i>	14.7	14.9	2.9	450	7.3	43.6	300
<i>MRE11/mre11S</i>	83	83	72	100	41	60	200

Spread nuclei from the same experiment were either hybridized with probes for chromosome I and IV or immunostained with anti-Zip1 antibodies. Cells were spread at time points when synapsis was maximal. Zip1-positive nuclei may contain unsynapsed axial elements and degrading SCs. Only continuous Zip1 axes were regarded as synapsed. Fewer nuclei have both chromosomes I and IV signals paired in *mre11S* than expected if synapsis were homologous.

mre11S/mre11S strain at stages corresponding to maximum SC formation, that is, at 5 hr in wild type and at 7 hr in the mutant (see Fig. 8E). Of each same preparation one slide was used for immunostaining with anti-Zip1 antibodies to decorate the SC (Sym et al. 1993) and one was hybridized with a mixture of two differently labeled cosmid probes originating from a small and a large chromosome (chromosomes I and IV) (Fig. 9).

Distances between the homologous signal pairs as well as between nonhomologous signals were determined. DNA sequences connected by a homologous SC are believed to be within 0.7 μ m (Weiner and Kleckner 1994), and such signals will be referred to as being closely paired. Table 4 lists the percentage of closely paired signals for wild-type and mutant. Each single signal was closely paired in only ~15% of the cases in the mutant compared to 83 % in the wild type. An even stronger difference is apparent when counting nuclei with both signals paired simultaneously. In only 2.9% of the mutant, but in 72% of wild-type nuclei, both signals were paired at once.

Synapsis was quantified by determining the fraction of nuclei with long, bright, continuous Zip1-positive axes (corresponding to class 4 nuclei in Figs. 7 and 8). These could be clearly differentiated from other Zip1-positive nuclei, because the Zip1 antibody binds strongly only to the synapsed regions of chromosomes (Sym et al. 1993). In wild type 41% of the nuclei had extensive SCs, with an average total length of 31.8 ± 7.0 μ m (data from 37 nuclei). Only 7.3% *mre11S* nuclei were largely synapsed, whereas the number of Zip1-positive nuclei was similar to wild type (Table 4). In these nuclei the total length of the SC complement was 28.3 ± 7.3 μ m (data from 29 nuclei). Therefore, SC length is not significantly different between wild type and mutant in the subpopulations described (class 4), the average mutant being only 3.5 μ m or a factor of 0.89 shorter than the average wild-type SC.

If the SCs were homologous in the *mre11* mutant the 7.3% of almost completely synapsed nuclei are expected to contribute largely to the fraction of nuclei with both signals paired. Double pairing may also occur in partially synapsed nuclei (class 2) or by presynaptic alignment in nuclei without synapsis. Therefore, the 7.3% contribution of the synapsed subpopulation alone represents a minimum estimate for the percentage of nuclei with both signals closely paired. The observed fraction of 2.9%, however, is clearly smaller than predicted by the amount of SC, indicating that a significant portion of the SCs formed in the *mre11S* mutant are connecting non-homologous chromosomes.

Discussion

rad50 is epistatic to *mre11S*

A screen for new genes and functions that become essential after initiation of meiotic DSBs was carried out which lead to the identification of a new allele of *MRE11* with unexpected properties. *MRE11* has been described before (Ajimura et al. 1993) as one of the genes required

for initiation of meiotic DSBs. The *mre11::hisG* mutant is epistatic to *rad50S*, a separation-of-function mutation that is only defective in the processing of the meiotic DSBs, whereas the other function of *RAD50*, namely formation of DSBs, is unaffected (Alani et al. 1990; Ogawa et al. 1995). The activity of the *mre11* allele described in this work mirrors this property in that it also forms DSBs, but the *rad50* null mutation is epistatic to the new allele, which is therefore called *mre11S*. We conclude that the respective proteins that have also been shown to interact physically in a two-hybrid assay (Johzuka and Ogawa 1995) are intimately associated with the processes immediately before and after DSB formation.

Sequencing of the mutant allele identified two changes, Pro to Ser at position 84 and Thr to Ile at position 188 of the predicted amino acid sequence. The identified S mutations thus maps to the amino-terminal part of the protein, which shows strong homologies to both eukaryotic homologs of Mre11 and the prokaryotic SbcD (SbcD has nuclease activity) (Connelly and Leach 1996), whereas the carboxyl terminus does not exhibit strong sequence conservation. This suggests that the core regions and a possible catalytic domain reside in the amino terminal half of the protein and the carboxyl terminus may have a more yeast specific role. Strong homology to the bacterial SbcD is limited to several short blocks throughout the amino terminus. Neither of the two point mutations identified in *mre11S* maps to such a block but to regions conserved among all eukaryotic Mre11 relatives. This may mean that these are newly evolved regions in eukaryotes to serve meiosis-specific functions. The affected domain may be involved in regulation of Mre11p activity or in conserved protein-protein interactions.

mre11S causes a defect in DSB processing and repair

The *mre11S* mutant was analyzed for possible defects during vegetative growth and in meiosis. Resistance to MMS in *mre11S* was between wild-type and homozygous disruptions. No indication for a similar mitotic hyper-recombination as in *mre11* disruptions was found at two heteroalleles tested. This implies that mitotic functions of the Mre11p are largely intact or only weakened and that a possible catalytic function is not seriously impaired. Commitment to meiotic recombination as assayed by return to growth was also intermediate, suggesting that many of the induced DSBs could be repaired on vegetative medium in a *mre11S* strain. However, serious defects were found when cells were required to complete meiosis.

Spore formation and spore viability are strongly reduced in *mre11S* and in the *mre11S spo13* mutants. On the other hand, a *mre11S rad50 spo13* triple mutation is viable in meiosis. The most obvious explanation is that *MRE11* function is dispensable because *rad50* prevents DSB formation. In this study meiotic DSBs were shown to accumulate in the *mre11S/mre11S* mutant; therefore, the defective function is required for processing and repair of DSBs. Quantification of the relative amount of

broken DNA revealed a difference between *rad50S* and *mre11S* at the *his4-LEU2* locus. After a similar initial increase DSBs accumulated to a higher level in *rad50S/rad50S* than in *mre11S/mre11S* or in *mre11S/mre11-T4*. In addition, the latter two leveled off at 7 hr and decreased by 8.5 hr, indicating loss of breaks. Although nonspecific degradation of a fraction of DNA ends cannot be ruled out, DNA repair is suggested to explain the loss of breaks because the bands remained sharp at 8.5 hr. Such repair may cause the observed partial recombination competence in a *mre11S* strain in the return-to-growth experiment. However, neither heteroduplex DNA nor physical recombinants could be detected at *his4-LEU2* in the homozygous *mre11S* strain under conditions where ~30% of wild-type levels would have been detected (data not shown). At the natural hot spot close to *THR4* as well as at *DED81* (data not shown) differences observed in DSB levels between *rad50S* and *mre11S* were less clear.

It is not known whether Spo11p, which remains covalently linked to the 5' ends of DSBs in *rad50S* and most likely also in *com1/sae2* mutants (de Massy et al. 1995; Keeney and Kleckner 1995; Liu et al. 1995; Keeney et al. 1997), also does so in *mre11S* mutants. It is likely because the DNA ends seem to be blocked from processing. However, a fraction of the DSBs finally disappears, suggesting that the DNA ends have been liberated. The higher instability of DSBs in *mre11S* versus *rad50S* observed at some recombination hot spots may be related to the higher yield of gene conversions in *mre11S* versus *rad50S* observed in return to growth. The fact that in such an experiment a considerable fraction of cells remains viable after induction of meiosis demonstrates that under vegetative conditions in a fraction of the cells every break can be repaired.

The homology of Mre11p/Rad50p to the SbcC/SbcD nuclease suggests that Mre11p might be the catalytic subunit of a Mre11p/Rad50p complex, being directly responsible for 5' resection at DSB sites either exo- or endonucleolytically. This is compatible with the results from physical DSB analysis and recombination studies assuming that a different pathway that works more efficiently during mitosis (as seen in return to growth) finally processes the breaks. Taking into account that mitotic functions are largely intact, as discussed above, we propose that a domain that regulates meiosis-specific Mre11p activity is mutated in Mre11Sp. Recent results from studies in vegetatively growing cells relate Mre11, Rad50, and Xrs2 to exonucleolytic function. Ivanov et al. (1996) have studied *HO*-induced illegitimate recombination and found a retardation of 5' resection in *rad50* and *xrs2* mutants. In *mre11* strains, repair thought to involve 5' resection is 10-fold reduced at *HO* cuts (Moore and Haber 1996) and 5' degradation is even slower in *mre11* than in *rad50*, but not absent (J. Haber, pers. comm.). These experiments show that there is residual 5' → 3' resection in the absence of *MRE11*. One important difference between vegetative and meiotic repair processes requiring Mre11p is the presumptive protection of 5' ends by Spo11p in meiosis (Keeney et al. 1997). A role for

Mre11p/Rad50p could therefore be to liberate Spo11p by a single strand endonucleolytic step. A compatible activity has also been demonstrated for SbcC/SbcD. In any case, Mre11p should be associated with meiotic chromosomes. When DSB processing was blocked in a *rad50S* mutant Myc-tagged Mre11p was found clustered in distinct foci of varying intensity within spread nuclei (Nairz 1997).

Intragenic complementation between mre11S and 3' disrupted alleles of MRE11

The fact that intragenic complementation was observed between *mre11S* and the 3' disruptions strongly suggests that DSB initiation and DSB processing functions reside in separate domains.

The regions truncated in the complementing alleles *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T10* lack strong sequence conservation. They are nevertheless essential for DSB initiation, probably for interaction with yeast-specific factors that allow access to the DNA or that activate Spo11 endonuclease. These interactions as well as binding to Rad50p, which has been shown to involve the amino terminus (Johzuka and Ogawa 1995), are intact in the *mre11S* mutant. The intragenic complementation is best explained by homotypic interaction of Mre11 proteins. This is in good agreement with the demonstration that Mre11p interacts with itself in two-hybrid studies (Johzuka and Ogawa 1995). Intragenic complementation for *mre11* alleles can thus be explained by a model in which a partially functional heteromultimeric complex contains both Mre11-T4(T10)p and Mre11Sp. Whereas Mre11Sp allows Spo11p to interact with the DNA, Mre11-T4(T10)p may contribute the 5' resecting activity either alone or in conjunction with another component. Less likely the carboxy-terminally truncated alleles reactivate the processing function of Mre11Sp through direct interaction or Mre11Sp and Mre11-T4p work independently and sequentially.

With *MRE11* and *RAD50* two genes are known to be required both for initiation and repair of DSBs and these functions can be separated in both genes. Furthermore, their products interact with each other physically. Therefore, we propose a model in which a complex, a "recombinosome", consisting of Rad50p, Mre11p, and other components required for recombinational repair, must be present at a given hot spot to allow Spo11p to cut. The use of such bifunctional complexes would greatly enhance repair efficiency by eliminating the damage recognition step. Others have presented indirect evidence that even the homologous template for DNA repair may already be in place at the time of DSB initiation (Rocco and Nicolas 1996; Xu and Kleckner 1995).

mre11S causes reduced, nonhomologous synapsis and decreases spore viability

The failure to process and repair the DSBs leads to a number of observable consequences. Spore formation is generally reduced in *mre11S/mre11S*, and the number of

dyads rises considerably at the cost of the number of complete tetrads. Of those spores formed, viability is extremely low (1%). The first meiotic division is delayed considerably in the SK1 strain background but not in the disruption mutants (Fig. 8A–D). The delay was also observed for *rad50S* (Alani et al. 1990) and for *com1/sae2* (Mc Kee and Kleckner 1997; Prinz et al. 1997). In other backgrounds these mutations may cause a complete block. In the case of *dmc1* the block has been shown to monitor DSBs, utilizing the checkpoint genes *MEC1*, *RAD17*, and *RAD24* (Lydall et al. 1996).

The spreading of yeast nuclei revealed other consequences of the absent repair of DSBs. SC precursors were enriched relative to wild type, and a new class of nuclei containing long unsynapsed AEs appeared possibly as a consequence of delayed synapsis, most likely caused by the absence of 3' single-stranded ends for homology search. However, even in the absence of repair of the majority of DSBs there was extensive SC formation in a fraction of *mre11S* nuclei. Such synapsis has also been observed in *rad50S* cells (Loidl et al. 1994) and in *com1/sae2* nuclei (Prinz et al. 1997). However, in all such cases, synapsis seemed aberrant in that sometimes network-like structures were observed but never the complete chromosome complement with 16 separate bivalents. In this study evidence for partner switches of axial elements is presented, which suggests nonhomologous synapsis. In corroboration of this finding the fraction of nuclei with homologously associated FISH signals was compared to the fraction of nuclei exhibiting extensive SCs. Considerably more nuclei were found with almost the complete chromosome complement synapsed than nuclei with two signals paired concomitantly. We conclude that at least in a fraction of the completely synapsed nuclei homologous signals were not paired, that is, that at least some synapsed regions were nonhomologous. It has been shown earlier for yeast that synapsis of nonhomologous chromosomes is possible in haploid yeast (Loidl et al. 1991). Also nonhomologous synapsis in haploids depends on *RAD50* and other DSB initiation genes (F. Klein, unpubl.). We therefore propose that synapsis requires the presence of DSBs but that homologous synapsis is only ensured by at least the formation of the 3'-overhanging single-stranded DNA ends. In DSB negative mutants (e.g., in *mre11-T4/mre11-T4*) synapsis does normally not take place, but in DSB-accumulating mutants the homologous registration fails while synapsis occurs by default and irrespective of homologies. The few cases where long axial elements and extensive synapsis is found in *mre11-T4/mre11-T4* may be explained by initiation of synapsis through DSBs below the limits of physical detection. These DSBs may only occur in a very small subpopulation and may not be sufficient to ensure viability.

A model presenting a unified view of the relation between DNA metabolism and formation of SCs and their precursors is introduced (Fig. 10). Axial elements do form in the absence of DSBs, as observed in many different null mutants. Unprocessed DSBs allow for synapsis which is at least partially nonhomologous, as shown for

Figure 10. Model of the relation between DSBs and synapsis. It is proposed that unprocessed DSBs are sufficient to allow for synapsis. Only when DSBs are repaired successfully is homologous synapsis possible. Rare synapsis observed in the *mre11-T4* homozygote, where neither induction of meiotic recombination nor DSBs were detected, could be explained if DSBs below the limit of detection were able to induce SC formation. (←) Increasing amount of either SCs or DSBs.

mre11S. Synapsis induced by unrepaired DSBs may represent a default pathway that comes into effect when no homologies are found representing the uncoupling of synapsis from homology search. Homologous synapsis is ensured only by the recombination processes that follow DSB formation, processing, and strand invasion. These processes are likely to establish homologous interactions that allows synapsis of the proper axial elements.

Materials and Methods

Media, genetic techniques, growth regimen, and ether killing

Yeast was grown in rich medium (YPD), synthetic complete medium lacking factors (SC-) or minimal medium supplemented with specific factors (SD+) as described (Rose et al. 1990). Presporulation medium (YPA) was 1% yeast extract (Difco), 2% peptone (Difco), 2% potassium acetate, and SPM was 2% potassium acetate (pH 7) (optionally solidified with 2% agar). However, sporulation of strain Y136 on solid medium was performed on SPM⁺ (0.25% yeast extract, 0.1% glucose, 1.5% potassium acetate plus one-fortieth volume of an amino acid and nucleotide mix containing 40 mg of Pro, 80 mg of Tyr, 200 mg of His, Leu, Lys, Met, Trp, and Arg, 400 mg of Ade and Ura, and 1 gram of Phe per 25 ml). MMS (Schuchardt) was added to YPD just prior to pouring plates. Asci were digested with 200 µg/ml of Zymolyase 20T (Seikagaku Kogyo Ltd) for 20 min at 37°C for tetrad dissection.

Sporulation for DSB assays, SC spreading, and return to growth was done as described by Loidl et al. (1994). Commitment to meiotic recombination was monitored in return-to-growth experiments as described (Sherman and Roman 1963; Alani et al. 1990). Samples of synchronized sporulating cells were taken at the specified time after transfer to liquid SPM, sonicated, diluted, and plated on at least two YPD, SC-HIS, and SC-ARG petri dishes. Ether treatment for enrichment of spores by selectively killing unsporulated cells was performed as described recently (Prinz et al. 1997).

Plasmids

p3 was derived from pNKY1070 (a YCP50-based *RAD50* plasmid from N. Kleckner, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA) by cutting with *SaI* and religating it to yield p1. p1 was digested with *EcoRI* and ligated to a 3.95-kb *EcoRI* fragment from R990 (S. Roeder, Yale University, New Haven, CT) containing *ADE2* (and 460 bp of *mer2* sequence). *URA3* was removed by deleting a 1.6 kb *SmaI*–*NruI* fragment. p21 was constructed by ligating a 2.2-kb *BamHI*–*NruI* fragment from pTW15 (R. Esposito, University of Chicago, IL) containing *SPO13* coding sequence into pRS315 (Sikorski and Hieter 1989), which was cut with *HindIII*, blunted by filling in, and then cut with *BamHI*. p265 originated from YCplac33 (Gietz and Sugino 1988) into which a 4.3-kb *BamHI* fragment containing *MRE11* was inserted. p266 is derived from p265 by gap repair (see Cloning and Sequencing, below).

Mutagenesis

Strain Y136 was grown on SC–ADE–URA for 2 days, transferred to YPD for 8 hr, and sporulated on 2% potassium acetate plates for 24 hr. Asci were resuspended in 150 μ l of 10 mM DTT with 50 μ g of Zymolyase 20T (Seikagaku), incubated for 90 min at 37°C, and sonicated for 5 $\times$ 5 sec on ice to obtain single spores. Spores (5×10^7) in 1 ml were mutagenized for 30 min at 30°C with 27 or 32 ng/ml of MNNG (Sigma) to a viability of 86% or 36% (corresponding to a 5- or 20-fold increase in canavanine-resistant colonies as a measure of mutational yield) and frozen in 50% glycerol at –80°C. After determination of viability the mutagenized spores were diluted and plated to a density of 180 or 275 colonies per SC–ADE plate. After 2 days colonies were replica-plated to SPM⁺. After 3 days of sporulation at 30° the colonies were stamped onto YPD, ether treated to select for spores, and incubated for another 3 days at 30°C. Twenty-two candidates of 18,000 colonies of the first mutagenesis and 16 candidates of 13,000 colonies of the second mutagenesis formed red patches and were isolated.

Cloning and sequencing

Because difficulties were expected in crossing out mutant alleles that prevent successful meiosis from a homothallic diploid strain, the affected gene was cloned by direct complementation of the spore viability defect. Among eight mutant candidates, number 220 was chosen for complementation. The candidate was transformed with a CEN-based genomic *URA3* library [a gift of Brian Jensen and Breck Byers (University of Washington, Seattle) made from a A364A derivative], and transformants were selected on SM–URA, SM–ADE, and SM–LEU to ascertain the presence of both the library plasmid and of plasmids p21 (*SPO13*, *LEU2*) and p3 (*RAD50*, *ADE2*), which allows initiation of recombination in cells with “white” progeny. The resulting colonies were sporulated on SPM⁺, replica plated to YPD, ether treated, and examined for the appearance of white patches. One such patch was detected, indicating that cells had regained the ability to form viable spores in the presence of *RAD50* and *SPO13*. Such spores were directly mated to strain Y7, and a descendant (*ho*, *RAD50*, *spo*[–]) was further crossed to strain Y5. In 12 of 12 complete tetrads from this cross, the sporulation defect segregated 2 : 2, indicating Mendelian behavior of a recessive gene. When 104 spores from this cross were analyzed, 51 were *spo*[–] and 53 were *SPO*⁺.

The complementing plasmid was isolated, the large insert was subcloned into YCplac33, and the resulting plasmid p265, containing a 4.3-kb *BamHI* fragment of the insert with a central

Clal site, proved sufficient to complement the sporulation defect of Y233. Neither the internal 2.0-kb *Clal*–*BamHI* nor the 2.3-kb *Clal*–*BamHI* fragment alone could complement identifying the *Clal* site as mapping within the coding sequence. Both fragments were subcloned into Bluescript SK– and sequenced from the *Clal* site by the dideoxy chain-termination method (Sanger et al. 1977) using the T7 sequencing kit (Pharmacia) and ³⁵S-labeled dATP (New England Nuclear). The result identified the sequence as a meiotic recombination gene, *MRE11*, that had just been published by Johzuka and Ogawa (1995).

The cloning and sequencing of *mre11S*

mre11S was recovered by gap repair (Orr-Weaver et al. 1983): A haploid strain (Y227 *mre11S*, *ura3*) was transformed to URA⁺ with the gel-purified 7.9-kb *AflIII*–*NruI* fragment of p265 devoid of the complete *MRE11* coding and of 170-bp *MRE11* upstream sequence (Fig. 3A). The gap-paired plasmid, p266, was recovered from a transformant, amplified in *E. coli*, and transformed into Y233 (*mre11S/mre11S*) for complementation of the *mre11S*-dependent sporulation defect. p266 did not restore sporulation in nine of nine transformants tested.

For sequencing of *mre11S* (p266) and *MRE11* (p265) various restriction fragments were subcloned into Bluescript (SK–). In addition, a set of exonuclease III-generated deletions were derived from *SphI*–*AflIII* double-digested p266 (Sambrook et al. 1989) and sequenced using universal primers MJ3 and MJ4 (MJ3, 5′-AGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGGA-3′, MJ4, 5′-CGCC-AGGGTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC-3′). The SK1-derived *mre11S* sequence differed from the published sequence (Johzuka and Ogawa 1995) at two positions in the promotor (–28 and –4), 4 redundant sites (+219, +786, +843, +1155), one site close to the stop codon leading to a frame shift (+1928), which renders the protein 49 amino acids longer [previously mentioned by J. Skelton and C.M. Churcher (unpubl.), GenBank accession no. S57592], one nonconservative change in this tail (+1975), as well as three nonconservative changes in the 3′ part of the gene (+250, +563, +1138). To clarify which of the base differences was responsible for the *mre11S* phenotype, *MRE11* from p265 was sequenced as well. *MRE11* on p265 and *mre11S* on p266 differ at one redundant and two nonconservative sites, namely at positions +250 and +563. In addition, wild-type *MRE11* was recovered from SK1 by gap repair and sequenced as well. It proved identical to the *MRE11* sequence of p265 for both position +250 and + 563. The SK1-derived sequence has been submitted to GenBank (accession no. U60829).

Transposon mutagenesis and complementation analysis

A 2.0-kb *BamHI*–*Clal* fragment containing the 5′ part of *MRE11* and a 2.3-kb *Clal*–*BamHI* fragment harboring the 3′ part were cloned into pHSS6 separately and subjected to *in vivo* transposon mutagenesis in *E. coli* using mTn3(*LEU2*, *lacZ* without promotor, and ATG) as described (Seifert et al. 1986). Orientation and location of inserted transposons was determined by restriction analysis, taking advantage of a *BamHI* site at the 5′ end of *lacZ* on the end of the 6.6-kb transposon. Appropriate clones were digested with *NotI* and used to construct *MRE11* disruptions in strain NKY857 by single-step gene replacement (Rothstein 1983) selecting for LEU prototrophs. Yeast transformation was done according to Gietz et al. (1992). Correct integration of disrupted DNA fragments was confirmed both by complementation analysis with a *mre11S* strain (Y224) and by Southern blotting probing with a mixture of the 2.0-kb and 2.3-kb *BamHI*–*Clal* fragments (not shown).

The transposon in *mre11-T20* mapped within 50 bp of the

start codon of *MRE11* and the *lacZ* ORF is oriented opposite to the *MRE11* ORF (Fig. 3A). In *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T10*, *lacZ* is fused in-frame to the remaining *mre11* fragment: Patches of sporulated and unsporulated *mre11S/mre11-T4* and *mre11S/mre11-T10* heterozygotes were transferred to nitrocellulose filters (Schleicher & Schuell) from YPD and sporulation plates, respectively, frozen at -80°C for 30 min and thawed to break up the cells. Ten microliters of X-gal solution (2 mg/ml in dimethylformamide) was added to the cells, which turned blue in sporulated, but not in unsporulated, patches, indicating expression of β -galactosidase activity from the *MRE11* promoter. *mre11-T40* and *mre11-T16*, which are disrupted at roughly the same positions as *mre11-T4* and *mre11-T10*, respectively, by equally oriented transposons do not show meiotically induced *lacZ* activity, suggesting that integration was out of frame.

DSB

Meiotic DNA DSBs were monitored at three hot spots, one close to *THR4* (Goldway et al. 1993), one at *DED81* (de Massy and Nicolas 1993), and one at the artificial *his4-LEU2* construct

(Cao et al. 1990; Storlazzi et al. 1995) Physical maps of the hot spots are given there. Yeast DNA was isolated as described by Cao et al. (1990) and modified by Bishop et al. (1992), except that the ether extraction after phenol/chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was replaced by a chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1) extraction. DNA was taken at the time indicated, digested appropriately, separated on 0.8% TAE-buffered agarose gels, and transferred to Hybond-N nylon membranes (Amersham) by alkaline blotting. Probes were gel purified by Elu-Quick (Schleicher & Schuell) according to the manufacturer's protocol and radioactively labeled by random priming using [α - ^{32}P]-dATP (NEN).

A 0.89-kb *HindIII* fragment from pMJ338 (M. Lichten, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD) mapping close to the *THR4* hotspot was used to probe *BglIII*-digested genomic DNA. For examination of the artificial *his4-LEU2* hot spot, DNA was digested with *PstI* and probed with a combination of a 0.7-kb and a 0.9-kb *PstI-EcoRI* fragment from pNKY155 (probe B) or a 1.8-kb *PstI-EcoRI* fragment from pNKY291 (probe A) (Storlazzi et al. 1995; Xu and Kleckner 1995). To probe for the hot spot close to *DED81*, an *EcoRV-BglIII* fragment of the *ARG4* gene was used (de Massy and Nicolas 1993).

Table 5. Strains used in this study

Strain (SK1)	Genotype (<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>HO</i>)
NKY857	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i>
Y5	<i>MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4B</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>ade2::hisG::URA3::hisG</i>
Y7	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>ade2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3</i>
Y136	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>HO</i> , <i>rad50::hisG</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4B</i> , <i>ade2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3</i> , pTW15 (<i>SPO13</i> , <i>URA3</i>), p3 (<i>RAD50</i> , <i>ADE2</i>)
Y224	<i>MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>ade2::hisG</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>mre11S</i>
Y227	<i>MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>ade2::hisG</i> , <i>mre11S</i>
Y233	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>HIS4/his4B</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>LEU2/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>SPO13/spo13::hisG</i> , <i>mre11S/mre11S</i>
Y253	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T20(-LEU2)</i>
Y254	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T37(-LEU2)</i>
Y255	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T24(-LEU2)</i>
Y256	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T34(-LEU2)</i>
Y257	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T4(-LEU2)</i>
Y258	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T40(-LEU2)</i>
Y261	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T16(-LEU2)</i>
Y262	<i>MATa</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>his4X</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T10(-LEU2)</i>
Y292 $\times$ 294	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>rad50S-KI81::URA3/rad50S-KI81::URA3</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>LEU2/leu2R</i> , <i>arg4Δ10/arg4Δ10</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>mre11S/mre11S</i>
Y323	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>his4B-LEU2-MluI/his4X-LEU2-MluI::BamHI-URA3</i> , <i>leu2::hisG/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>arg4-Bgl/arg4-Nsp</i> , <i>mre11S/mre11S</i>
Y324	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>his4B-LEU2-MluI/his4X-LEU2-MluI::BamHI-URA3</i> , <i>leu2::hisG/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>arg4-Bgl/arg4-Nsp</i> , <i>mre11S/mre11-T4(-LEU2)</i>
Y325	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>his4B-LEU2-MluI/his4X-LEU2-MluI::BamHI-URA3</i> , <i>leu2::hisG/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>arg4-Bgl/arg4-Nsp</i> , <i>mre11S/MRE11</i>
Y327	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>his4B-LEU2-MluI/his4X-LEU2-MluI::BamHI-URA3</i> , <i>leu2::hisG/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>arg4-Bgl/arg4-Nsp</i> , <i>mre11-T4(-LEU2)/mre11-T4(-LEU2)</i>
Y328	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>his4B-LEU2-MluI/his4X-LEU2-MluI::BamHI-URA3</i> , <i>leu2::hisG/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>arg4-Bgl/arg4-Nsp</i> , <i>mre11-T20(-LEU2)/mre11-T20(-LEU2)</i>
Y329	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>lys2/lys2</i> , <i>his4B-LEU2-MluI/his4X-LEU2-MluI::BamHI-URA3</i> , <i>leu2::hisG/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>arg4-Bgl/arg4-Nsp</i> , <i>rad50S::URA3/rad50S::URA3</i>
Y401	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>rad50::hisG::URA3::hisG/rad50::hisG::URA3::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>LEU2/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>HIS4/his4B</i> , <i>spo13::hisG/spo13::hisG</i>
Y402	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>rad50::hisG::URA3::hisG/rad50::hisG::URA3::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>LEU2/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>HIS4/his4B</i> , <i>spo13::hisG/spo13::hisG</i> , <i>mre11S/mre11S</i>
Y403	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>LEU2/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>HIS4/his4B</i> , <i>spo13::hisG/spo13::hisG</i> , <i>mre11S/mre11S</i>
Y442	<i>MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2</i> , <i>leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ade2::hisG</i> , <i>ura3</i> , <i>mre11-T10(-LEU2)</i>
Y9D $\times$ 2A	<i>MATa/MATα</i> , <i>ho::LYS2/ho::LYS2</i> , <i>ura3/ura3</i> , <i>LEU2/leu2::hisG</i> , <i>ADE2/ade2::hisG</i> , <i>HIS4/his4B</i> , <i>spo13::hisG/spo13::hisG</i>

Nairz and Klein

Quantification of radioactive label was done on a Phosphor-Imager (Storm 840 from Molecular Dynamics). For each lane, a two-dimensional intensity profile was generated and peaks corresponding to parental and DSB bands were integrated after removing background noise. Signal intensity was calculated as a ratio of DSB value versus parental value.

Spreading, immunocytology, FISH, and silver staining for electron microscopy

All cytological techniques used are described in detail elsewhere (Engbrecht et al. 1997). Spreads were prepared as described originally (Loidl et al. 1991). In brief, 10 ml of sporulation culture was centrifuged and resuspended in 1 ml of spheroplasting solution (2% potassium acetate, 0.8 M sorbitol, 10 mM DTT), including 7 μ l of Zymolyase 20T (10 mg/ml), and digested at 37°C until cells lysed in 1% sodium Sarkosyl. The reaction was stopped by adding 10 ml of ice-cold solution II [0.1 M 2-(N-morpholino) ethane sulfonic acid, 1 M sorbitol, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MgCl_2], and the spheroplasts were spun down at low speed and resuspended in 1 ml of solution II. On a clean slide 20 μ l of cell suspension was prefixed with 40 μ l of fixative (4% paraformaldehyde, 3.4% sucrose), lysed with 80 μ l of 1% lipsol, and fixed with 80 μ l of fixative.

For silver staining slides were dried overnight, washed with water, and air-dried. Slides were incubated in a humid chamber with 100 μ l of a silver nitrate solution (5 grams plus 5 ml of H_2O) under a nylon mesh at 60°C for 40 min. For electron microscopy silver-stained spreads were transferred on a plastic film (from 1% Formvar in chloroform) to microscopical grids and examined in a Zeiss EM 900 at 50 kV and 3000- or 7000-fold magnification. For immunostaining, drying of the preparations was prevented during all of the procedure. Slides were washed with PBS (10 $\times$ PBS: 75.97 grams of NaCl, 9.94 grams Na_2HPO_4 , 4.14 grams of NaH_2PO_4 /1 liter of H_2O), blocked for 10 min with 100 μ l of blocking buffer (0.5% BSA and 0.2% gelatin in 1 $\times$ PBS) under a coverslip, and incubated with 40 μ l of a 1:100 dilution of mouse anti-Zip1 antibody (in blocking buffer) at 4°C in a humid chamber overnight. After washing in PBS the slide was incubated with 40 μ l of FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Sigma) for 2 hr at room temperature, washed again in PBS, and mounted in antifade buffer (Vectashield) with DAPI (0.4 μ g/ml).

For FISH we followed a variation of the protocol of Scherthan et al. (1992) described in Engbrecht et al. (1997). A mixture of Cy3-labeled cosmid ATCC 70929 (34-kb insert from the right arm of chromosome IV) and biotin-labeled cosmid ATCC 70893 (33-kb insert from the left arm of chromosome I) was used to hybridize the respective loci in the spread nuclei. Extravidin-FITC [Sigma 1:200 in blocking solution (3% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/4 $\times$ SSC/0.1% Tween 20)], biotin (Sigma, 1:200 in blocking solution), and again extravidin-FITC (1:200) were applied in consecutive rounds to create the signal for the biotin-labeled probe. DNA was stained for 5 min with DAPI-containing buffer [40 ml of McIlvaine (9 mM citric acid, 80 mM NaH_2PO_4 , 2.5 mM MgCl_2), 5 ml of 10 $\times$ PBS, 5 ml 40% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.5), 250 μ l of DAPI (0.2 mg/ml)]. Samples were first dehydrated for 2 min in 70% EtOH, 2 min in 96% EtOH, and then mounted in antifade buffer.

Fluorescent microscopical examination was performed on a Zeiss Axioplan; pictures were digitalized by a Photometrics CCD camera and processed by IPLab software.

Sequence analysis

Sequence analysis was done via internet on the BCM search launcher (<http://kiwi.imgen.bcm.tmc.edu:8088/search-launcher/>

launcher.html) using BLAST and BEAUTY programs for sequence comparison (Altschul et al. 1990; Smith et al. 1996). Coiled-coils were predicted according to Lupas et al. (1991) via BCM using window sizes 21 and 14, and sequence motives were found with PROSITE (Bairoch et al. 1995; <http://expasy.hcuge.ch/sprot/prosite.html>).

Strains

All strains are isogenic derivatives of SK1 (Kane and Roth 1974) and are listed in Table 5. For intragenic complementation analysis, isogenic diploid strains were derived from the *MAT α* parent Y224 (*mre11S*) and the following *MATa* strains: Y253 (*mre11-T20*), Y254 (*mre11-T37*), Y255 (*mre11-T24*), Y256 (*mre11-T34*), Y257 (*mre11-T4*), Y258 (*mre11-T40*), Y261 (*mre11-T10*), Y262 (*mre11-T10*), and NKY857 (*MRE11*). For DSB assays, cytological analysis, test of MMS resistance, and return-to-growth experiments, the following strains were used: Y325 (*MRE11/mre11S*), Y327 (*mre11-T4/mre11-T4*), Y328 (*mre11-T20/mre11-T20*), Y329 (*rad50S/rad50S*), Y323 (*mre11S/mre11S*), and Y324 (*mre11S/mre11-T4*). They are descendants of strains NKY1303 and NKY1543 described in Storlazzi et al. (1995) and Xu and Kleckner (1995) carrying *arg4* heteroalleles (*arg4-Bgl* or *arg4-Nsp*) and *his4* heteroalleles (*his4X-LEU2-MluI::BamHI* or *his4B-LEU2-MluI*).

Acknowledgments

Many thanks go to Rochelle E. Esposito, Shirleen Roeder, Mike Lichten, and especially Liuzhong Xu and Nancy Kleckner for sharing plasmids and strains. We are indebted to Brian Jensen and Breck Byers for providing the library, to Quan-wen Jin for his expert advice in "fishing" and for providing probes, to Rainer de Martin for printing the color figure and help in sequencing, to Peter Moens for sending us anti-Zip1 antibody, and to Susanne Prinz for close cooperation. The ideas in this work were born during the stimulating time we spent in the laboratory of Breck Byers. We are grateful to Jim Haber, Hideyuki Ogawa, and Dieter Schweizer for critical comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants S5815-BIO and in part S5807-BIO of the Austrian Science Foundation (FWF) and Jubiläumsfonds-projekt nr. 5955 of the Österreichische Nationalbank.

The publication costs of this article were defrayed in part by payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 USC section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References

- Ajimura, M., S.H. Leem, and H. Ogawa. 1993. Identification of new genes required for meiotic recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **133**: 51–66.
- Alani, E., R. Padmore, and N. Kleckner. 1990. Analysis of wild-type and *rad50* mutants of yeast suggests an intimate relationship between meiotic chromosome synapsis and recombination. *Cell* **61**: 419–436.
- Altschul, S.F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E.W. Myers, and D.J. Lipman. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**: 403–410.
- Bailey, N.T.J. 1995. *Statistical methods in biology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Bairoch, A., P. Bucher, and K. Hofmann. 1995. The PROSITE database, its status in 1995. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **24**: 189–191.
- Bergerat, A., B. de Massy, D. Gadelle, P.-C. Varoutas, A. Nicolas, and P. Forterre. 1997. An atypical topoisomerase II from ar-

- chaea with implication for meiotic recombination. *Nature* **386**: 414–417.
- Bhargava, J., J. Engebrecht, and G.S. Roeder. 1992. The rec102 mutant of yeast is defective in meiotic recombination and chromosome synapsis. *Genetics* **130**: 59–69.
- Bishop, D. K., D. Park, L. Xu, and N. Kleckner. 1992. DMC1: A meiosis-specific yeast homolog of *E. coli* recA required for recombination, synaptonemal complex formation, and cell cycle progression. *Cell* **69**: 439–456.
- Bullard, S.A., S. Kim, A.M. Galbraith, and R.E. Malone. 1996. Double strand breaks at the HIS2 recombination hot spot in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **93**: 13054–13059.
- Cao, L., E. Alani, and N. Kleckner. 1990. A pathway for generation and processing of double-strand breaks during meiotic recombination in *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* **61**: 1089–1101.
- Connelly, J.C. and D.R.F. Leach. 1996. The sbcC and sbcD genes of *Escherichia coli* encode a nuclease involved in palindrome inviability and genetic recombination. *Genes Cells* **1**: 285–291.
- de Massy, B. and A. Nicolas. 1993. The control in cis of the position and the amount of the ARG4 meiotic double-strand break of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J.* **12**: 1459–1466.
- de Massy, B., V. Rocco, and A. Nicolas. 1995. The nucleotide mapping of DNA double-strand breaks at the CYS3 initiation site of meiotic recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *EMBO J.* **14**: 4589–4598.
- Dolganov, G.M., R.S. Maser, A. Novikov, L. Tosto, S. Chong, D.A. Bressan, and J.H. Petrini. 1996. Human Rad50 is physically associated with human Mre11: Identification of a conserved multiprotein complex implicated in recombinational DNA repair. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16**: 4832–4841.
- Engebrecht, J.A., K. Voelkel-Meiman, and G.S. Roeder. 1991. Meiosis-specific RNA splicing in yeast. *Cell* **66**: 1257–1268.
- Engebrecht, J., F. Klein, and J. Loidl. 1997. Genetic and morphological approaches for the analysis of meiotic chromosomes in yeast. *Methods Cell Biol.* (in press).
- Gibson, F.P., D.R.F. Leach, and R.G. Lloyd. 1992. Identification of sbcD mutations as cosuppressors of recBC that allow propagation of DNA palindromes in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J. Bacteriol.* **174**: 1222–1228.
- Gietz, R.D. and A. Sugino. 1988. New yeast—*Escherichia coli* shuttle vectors constructed with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. *Gene* **74**: 527–534.
- Gietz, D., A. St. Jean, R.A. Woods, and R. Schiestl. 1992. Improved method for high efficiency transformation of intact yeast cells. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **20**: 1425.
- Goldway, M., A. Sherman, D. Zenvirth, T. Arbel, and G. Simchen. 1993. A short chromosomal region with major roles in yeast chromosome III meiotic disjunction, recombination and double strand breaks. *Genetics* **133**: 159–169.
- Ivanov, E.L., V.G. Korolev, and F. Fabre. 1992. XRS2, a DNA repair gene of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, is needed for meiotic recombination. *Genetics* **132**: 651–664.
- Ivanov, E.L., N. Sugawara, J. Fishman-Lobell, and J.E. Haber. 1996. Genetic requirements for the single-strand annealing pathway of double-strand break repair in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **142**: 693–704.
- Johzuka, K. and H. Ogawa. 1995. Interaction of Mre11 and Rad50: Two proteins required for DNA repair and meiosis-specific double-strand break formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **139**: 1521–1532.
- Kane, S.M. and R. Roth. 1974. Carbohydrate metabolism during ascospore development in yeast. *J. Bacteriol.* **118**: 8–14.
- Keeney, S. and N. Kleckner. 1995. Covalent protein-DNA complexes at the 5' strand termini of meiosis-specific double-strand breaks in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 11274–11278.
- Keeney, S., C.N. Giroux, and N. Kleckner. 1997. Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. *Cell* **88**: 375–384.
- Klein, F., A. Karwan, and U. Wintersberger. 1990. Pedigree analyses of yeast cells recovering from DNA damage allow assignment of lethal events to individual post-treatment generations. *Genetics* **124**: 57–65.
- Liu, J., T.C. Wu, and M. Lichten. 1995. The location and structure of double-strand DNA breaks induced during yeast meiosis: Evidence for a covalently linked DNA-protein intermediate. *EMBO J.* **14**: 4599–4608.
- Loidl, J., K. Nairz, and F. Klein. 1991. Meiotic chromosome synapsis in a haploid yeast. *Chromosoma* **100**: 221–228.
- Loidl, J., F. Klein, and H. Scherthan. 1994. Homologous pairing is reduced but not abolished in asynaptic mutants of yeast. *J. Cell. Biol.* **125**: 1191–1200.
- Lupas, A., M. van Dyke, and J. Stock. 1991. Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. *Science* **252**: 1162–1164.
- Lydall, D., Y. Nikolsky, D.K. Bishop, and T. Weinert. 1996. A meiotic recombination checkpoint controlled by mitotic checkpoint genes. *Nature* **383**: 840–843.
- Malone, R.E. and R.E. Esposito. 1981. Recombinationless meiosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **1**: 891–901.
- McKee, A. and N. Kleckner. 1997. A general method for identifying recessive diploid-specific mutations in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, its application to the isolation of mutants blocked at intermediate stages of meiotic prophase and characterization of a new gene SAE2. *Genetics* **146**: 797–816.
- Menees, T. M., P.B. Ross-MacDonald, and G.S. Roeder. 1992. MEI4, a meiosis-specific yeast gene required for chromosome synapsis. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **12**: 1340–1351.
- Moore, J.K. and J.E. Haber. 1996. Cell cycle and genetic requirements of two pathways of nonhomologous end-joining repair of double-strand breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **16**: 2164–2173.
- Nairz, K. 1997. “*mre11S*—A new yeast mutation that blocks double-strand break processing and leads to nonhomologous synapsis,” Ph.D. thesis. University of Vienna, Austria.
- Ogawa, H., K. Johzuka, T. Nakagawa, S.H. Leem, and A.H. Hagi-hara. 1995. Functions of the yeast meiotic recombination genes, MRE11 and MRE2. *Adv. Biophys.* **31**: 67–76.
- Orr-Weaver, T.L., J.W. Szostak, and R.J. Rothstein. 1983. Genetic applications of yeast transformation with linear and gapped plasmids. *Methods Enzymol.* **101**: 228–245.
- Padmore, R., L. Cao, and N. Kleckner. 1991. Temporal comparison of recombination and synaptonemal complex formation during meiosis in *S. cerevisiae*. *Cell* **66**: 1239–1256.
- Petrini, J.H., M.E. Walsh, C. Di Mare, X.N. Chen, J.R. Korenberg, and D.T. Weaver. 1995. Isolation and characterization of the human MRE11 homologue. *Genomics* **29**: 80–86.
- Prinz, S., A. Amon, and F. Klein. 1997. Isolation of *COM1*, a new gene required to complete meiotic double-strand break induced recombination in *S. cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **146**: 781–795.
- Rocco, V. and A. Nicolas. 1996. Sensing of DNA non-homology lowers the initiation of meiotic recombination in yeast. *Genes Cells* **1**: 645–661.
- Rockmill, B., J.A. Engebrecht, H. Scherthan, J. Loidl, and G.S. Roeder. 1995a. The yeast MER2 gene is required for chromosome synapsis and the initiation of meiotic recombination. *Genetics* **141**: 49–59.
- Rockmill, B., M. Sym, H. Scherthan, and G.S. Roeder. 1995b.

Nairz and Klein

- Roles for two RecA homologs in promoting meiotic chromosome synapsis. *Genes & Dev.* **9**: 2684–2695.
- Rose, M.D., F. Winston, and P. Hieter. 1990. *Methods in yeast genetics. A laboratory course manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Rothstein, R.J. 1983. One step gene disruption in yeast. *Methods Enzymol.* **101**: 202–211.
- Sambrook, J., E.F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A.R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **83**: 735–739.
- Scherthan, H., J. Loidl, T. Schuster, and D. Schweizer. 1992. Meiotic chromosome condensation and pairing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* studied by chromosome painting. *Chromosoma* **101**: 590–595.
- Seifert, H.S., E.Y. Chen, M. So, and F. Heffron. 1986. Shuttle mutagenesis: A method of transposon mutagenesis for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **83**: 735–739.
- Sharples, G.J. and D.R. Leach. 1995. Structural and functional similarities between the SbcCD proteins of *Escherichia coli* and the RAD50 and MRE11 (RAD32) recombination and repair proteins of yeast [letter]. *Mol. Microbiol.* **17**: 1215–1217.
- Sherman, F. and H. Roman. 1963. Evidence for two types of allelic recombination in yeast. *Genetics* **48**: 255–261.
- Sikorsky, R.S. and P. Hieter. 1989. A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **122**: 19–27.
- Smith, R.F., B.A. Wiese, M.K. Wojzynski, D.B. Davison, and K.C. Worley. 1996. BCM Search Launcher—An integrated interface to molecular biology data base search and analysis services available on the World Wide Web. *Genome Res.* **6**: 454–462.
- Storlazzi, A., L. Xu, L. Cao, and N. Kleckner. 1995. Crossover and noncrossover recombination during meiosis: Timing and pathway relationships. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **92**: 8512–8516.
- Sym, M., J.A. Engebrecht, and G.S. Roeder. 1993. ZIP1 is a synaptonemal complex protein required for meiotic chromosome synapsis. *Cell* **72**: 365–378.
- Tavassoli, M., M. Shayeghi, A. Nasim, and F.Z. Watts. 1995. Cloning and characterisation of the *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* rad32 gene: A gene required for repair of double strand breaks and recombination. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **23**: 383–388.
- Tsukamoto, Y., J. Kato, and H. Ikeda. 1996. Effects of mutations of RAD50, RAD51, RAD52, and related genes on illegitimate recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* **142**: 383–391.
- Wagstaff, J.E., S. Klapholz, and R.E. Esposito. 1982. Meiosis in haploid yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **79**: 2986–2990.
- Weiner, B.M. and N. Kleckner. 1994. Chromosome pairing via multiple interstitial interactions before and during meiosis in yeast. *Cell* **77**: 977–991.
- Xu, L. and N. Kleckner. 1995. Sequence non-specific double-strand breaks and interhomolog interactions prior to double-strand break formation at a meiotic recombination hot spot in yeast. *EMBO J.* **14**: 5115–5128.

***mre11S*—a yeast mutation that blocks double-strand-break processing and permits nonhomologous synapsis in meiosis**

Knud Nairz and Franz Klein

Genes Dev. 1997, 11:

Access the most recent version at doi:[10.1101/gad.11.17.2272](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.11.17.2272)

References

This article cites 56 articles, 28 of which can be accessed free at:
<http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/11/17/2272.full.html#ref-list-1>

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](#).